



Technische Universität Darmstadt

Institut für Numerische Methoden
und Informatik im Bauwesen

Anna Wagner

Linked Product Data

Describing Multi-Functional and Parametric Building Products
using Semantic Web Technologies

Linked Product Data: Describing Multi-Functional, Parametric Building Products using Semantic Web Technologies

Zur Erlangung des akademischen Grades Doktor-Ingenieur (Dr.-Ing.)
vorgelegte Dissertation von Anna Wagner aus Weimar
Tag der Einreichung: 22.01.2020, Tag der Prüfung: 13.03.2020

1. Gutachten: Univ.-Prof. Dr.-Ing. Uwe Rüppel
 2. Gutachten: Univ.-Prof. Dr. Jakob Beetz
- Darmstadt – D 17



TECHNISCHE
UNIVERSITÄT
DARMSTADT

Civil and Environmental
Engineering Department
Institut für Numerische
Methoden und Informatik
im Bauwesen

Linked Product Data: Describing Multi-Functional, Parametric Building Products using Semantic Web Technologies

Doctoral thesis by Anna Wagner

1. Review: Univ.-Prof. Dr.-Ing. Uwe Rüppel
2. Review: Univ.-Prof. Dr. Jakob Beetz

Date of submission: 22.01.2020
Date of thesis defense: 13.03.2020

Darmstadt – D 17

Bitte zitieren Sie dieses Dokument als:
URN: urn:nbn:de:tuda-tuprints-urn:nbn:de:tuda-tuprints-116444
URL: <http://tuprints.ulb.tu-darmstadt.de/11644>

Dieses Dokument wird bereitgestellt von tuprints,
E-Publishing-Service der TU Darmstadt
<http://tuprints.ulb.tu-darmstadt.de>
tuprints@ulb.tu-darmstadt.de

This publication is under the following Creative Commons license
Attribution-NonCommercial-ShareAlike 4.0 International
<https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/>

Berichte des Instituts für Numerische Methoden
und Informatik im Bauwesen

Band 1/2020

Anna Wagner

Linked Product Data

Describing Multi-Functional and Parametric Building Products
using Semantic Web Technologies

D 17 (Diss. TU Darmstadt)

Shaker Verlag
Düren 2020

Bibliographic information published by the Deutsche Nationalbibliothek

The Deutsche Nationalbibliothek lists this publication in the Deutsche Nationalbibliografie; detailed bibliographic data are available in the Internet at <http://dnb.d-nb.de>.

Zugl.: Darmstadt, Techn. Univ., Diss., 2020

Copyright Shaker Verlag 2020

All rights reserved. No part of this publication may be reproduced, stored in a retrieval system, or transmitted, in any form or by any means, electronic, mechanical, photocopying, recording or otherwise, without the prior permission of the publishers.

Printed in Germany.

ISBN 978-3-8440-7378-2

ISSN 1860-9430

Shaker Verlag GmbH • Am Langen Graben 15a • 52353 Düren

Phone: 0049/2421/99011-0 • Telefax: 0049/2421/99011-9

Internet: www.shaker.de • e-mail: info@shaker.de

Everything is connected. And nothing. Nothing is also connected.

— Dirk Gently

Preface

The thesis at hand was created during my employment at the Institute of Numerical Methods and Informatics in Civil Engineering from the Technische Universität Darmstadt. In that time, the research projects SolConPro and SCOPE, in collaboration with the Fraunhofer Institute for Solar Energy (ISE) and Ed. Züblin AG, had an impact on this work.

I thank Prof. Dr.-Ing. Rüppel for supporting me on my endeavours that led me to this point and assuming his role as first referent, for his encouragement to discover new areas of interest, counsel in the scientific environment, and endorsement to participate actively in research communities. I extend my gratitude to Prof. Dr. Jakob Beetz for being interested in my work, hosting me at his chair, and accepting the role as second referent.

Furthermore, I wish to thank Dr. Uwe Zwinger, who led me to the area of computer science in civil engineering, as well as Drs. Kristian Schatz and Christian Schwöbel for supervising my Bachelor's and Master's thesis. Their guidance woke my interest in research and teaching activities.

For being a great office-mate, friend, and supporter, I am grateful to Meiling Shi, and hope to be able to continue our engaging discussions and pleasing collaboration, in the future.

Also, to my friends and colleagues at the institute, I bear deepest gratitude. Thank you for the interesting technical discussions, enjoyable work environment, and support amongst each other. In this aspect, I especially thank Barbara Kohane, Dr. Philipa Petkova, Laura-Kristina Möller, Luisa Kuhn, Christian (Thiele/Leifgen/Eller), Steffen Franz, Michael Kreger, Dr. Robert Irmeler, Marcus Dombois, Timo Bittner, André Hoffmann, Tim-Jonathan Huyeng, Patrick Scheich, and Yinhua Xia, as well as our student workers, Michael Disser, Pascal Mosler, Jens Wala, and Simon Marschall.

Moreover, I want to thank my (inter)national colleagues, whom I had the extraordinary pleasure to work with at Ghent University and RWTH Aachen. I am grateful for the invitations of Prof. Dr. Pieter Pauwels and Prof. Dr. Jakob Beetz, that allowed me to discover new insights. In my time as research visitor, I was received with open arms, for which I want to thank Mathias Bonduel, Nilofaar Amini, Jeroen Werbrouck, Madhumitha Senthilvel, Thomas Stachelhaus, and Anja Müller.

Finally, I thank my family for always having my back and the interesting discussions at dinner tables, and my husband for being so understanding and supportive.

Anna Wagner

Abstract

The digitisation in the domain of Architecture, Engineering and Construction (AEC) results in a variety of software tools with different requirements. Especially from the perspective of product descriptions, this heterogeneity is troublesome, as they should suit each potential use case and involved software application. Product descriptions, therefore, either cause information overflow for singular use cases, or hold redundant information within multiple, differently focused descriptions of the same product. Considering innovative, multi-functional and parametric products, further questions arise, e.g. how to model their uncommon structure, innovative technologies, and priorly unknown properties. Even if those unusual characteristics can be described, additional domains are involved during the building and product lifecycle. In the case of energy active façade components, for example, heating, electricity, design, structure, and building physics must be addressed. Thus, descriptions of innovative and multi-functional product reach higher complexities than common product descriptions.

In order to sufficiently describe building products in the digital world of AEC, open and vendor-neutral product description schemes are needed. Current open product description schemes include the template-driven directive VDI 3805 from the *Verein Deutscher Ingenieure* and the Industry Foundation Classes (IFC) schema that is originally introduced for entire building models. As neither of these schemes support the use case of innovative, multi-functional and parametric products, a novel product description schema is needed. Such a new schema needs to be flexible to allow semantically meaningful descriptions and fast integration of innovative and multi-functional products. Furthermore, the schema should be modular to avoid information or schema overhead, and subsequent performance-loss. To ensure common understanding of the parametric dependencies of the product, the dependencies also need to be part of the neutral and open description and separated from proprietary software applications.

In this thesis, an analysis of the current state is conducted, and suitable technologies, i.e. the Semantic Web and Linked Data, are evaluated. With this analysis in mind, two concepts for product descriptions using Semantic Web technologies are presented: Linked

Product Data, aiming to serve as a generic product description schema, and Linked Building Product Data, its implementation for the AEC domain. This thesis, hence, discusses the suitability of the Semantic Web and Linked Data as product description framework and introduces a novel methodology to create Linked Product Data, which is evaluated on the implementation of Linked Building Product Data.

Based on the Semantic Web, the concept of Linked Product Data stands as a flexible, modular, and machine-readable product description schema that can be adapted for any use case or domain. The AEC adaptation, Linked Building Product Data, is demonstrated with an example configuration for innovative, multi-functional and parametric products. As generic core for Linked Building Product Data, the *Building Product Ontology* (BPO) for defining product compositions, component interconnections, and product attributes is introduced. The extension of Linked Building Product Data and its core is shown on the example of geometry descriptions, wherefore the *Ontology for Managing Geometry* (OMG) is proposed and applied. A third ontology, the *Ontology for Parametric Systems* (OPS) is created to indicate how interconnections between multiple domains and ontologies can be realised within Linked Building Product Data on the example of parametric product descriptions.

The configuration of Linked Building Product Data is evaluated for the use case of energy active façade components. The evaluation results show overall benefits of the novel approach over the established VDI 3805 and IFC schemes regarding modularity, flexibility, parametric modelling, and machine readability. Concluding, Linked Building Product Data poses as a neutral, flexible and modular product description schema. Its functionality and potential are shown by the application of Linked Building Product Data to innovative, multi-functional and parametric products, and a generalisation of Linked Building Product Data to Linked Product Data seems easily conceivable.

Due to its flexibility, Linked Building Product Data allows manufacturers to exhaustively model their products and offer the resulting product descriptions, which they can enrich with multiple geometry descriptions for diverse use cases and software applications. Users can easily filter relevant information and geometry descriptions for their individual use cases and software applications. With Linked Building Product Data and Linked Building Data, error-prone file-based data exchange can be renounced. Instead, products can be offered distributively, applying Linked Data methods to include product descriptions into Linked Building Data models. Consequently, a common, machine-understandable data source based on Linked Building (Product) Data can serve as anchor for the heterogeneous software applications, replacing data exchange with automated synchronisation.

Contents

List of Figures	xv
List of Tables	xvii
List of Abbreviations	xix
1 Introduction	1
1.1 Digital Distribution of Product Descriptions in Architecture, Engineering, and Construction	2
1.1.1 Current Issues for Manufacturers	3
1.2 Emerging Alternatives based on Semantic Web Technologies	4
1.3 Linked Product Data	7
1.3.1 Outline	8
2 Technological Foundation	9
2.1 The Semantic Web	9
2.1.1 Foundational Concepts	9
2.1.2 Functionalities	10
2.1.3 Modelling Process	18
2.1.4 Challenges	20
2.1.5 Alignments	22
2.2 Product Configuration for Parametric Products	26
2.2.1 Product Constraints	26
2.2.2 Constraint Satisfaction Problem	27
2.2.3 MathML	28
3 Related Work	31
3.1 Digital Product Descriptions	31
3.1.1 Product Descriptions for Architecture, Engineering and Construction	32



3.1.2	Uniform Definitions of Concepts and Properties	33
3.1.3	Geometry Descriptions	35
3.1.4	Mass Customisation	37
3.2	Application of the Semantic Web and Linked Data	38
3.2.1	Linked Building Data	38
3.2.2	Linked Product Data	41
3.2.3	Summary	51
4	Analysis of the Current State	53
4.1	Requirements for Descriptions of Multi-Functional, Parametric Products . .	53
4.1.1	Generic Product Descriptions	53
4.1.2	Describing Multi-Functional Parametric Products	55
4.1.3	Summary	56
4.2	Reflection of Related Work	57
4.2.1	Approaches to Describe Building Products	57
4.2.2	Generic Product Descriptions and Compositions on the Semantic Web	59
4.2.3	Describing Geometry in a Semantic Web Context	61
4.2.4	Including Parametric Descriptions into Semantic Web Graphs . . .	69
5	Introducing an Ontology-Based Product Description	73
5.1	Linked Product Data: Modular Product Descriptions	73
5.1.1	Extending the Core Structure	75
5.2	Implementing Linked Product Data: Linked Building Product Data	77
6	Building Product Ontology (BPO): The Core Structure for Linked Product Data	81
6.1	Requirements and Scope	82
6.2	Describing Assembled Products	83
6.2.1	Example Product for Demonstration	84
6.2.2	Compositional Structure	85
6.2.3	Component Interconnections	88
6.2.4	Component Attributes	90
6.2.5	Customisability	95
6.3	Alignment of the BPO	97
6.4	Linked Data Taxonomies	100
6.4.1	Combining Non-RDF Taxonomies with BPO	100
6.4.2	Reflection on Linked Data Taxonomies	101

7	Ontology for Managing Geometry (OMG): Relating Geometry Descriptions to Linked Product Data	105
7.1	Requirements for a Dedicated Connector Ontology	105
7.2	Managing Geometry in a Semantic Web	107
7.2.1	Level 1: Immediate Connections	108
7.2.2	Level 2: Relating and Grouping Multiple Geometry Descriptions	109
7.2.3	Level 3: Version History of Geometry Descriptions	112
7.2.4	Deriving Attributes from Geometry Descriptions	116
7.3	File Ontology for Geometry Formats: Specifying Applied Geometry Schemes	118
8	Ontology for Parametric Systems (OPS): Describing Variables and Constraint Solution Problems in a Semantic Web Context	121
8.1	Requirements for a Uniform Core Ontology	121
8.2	Parametric Systems in a Semantic Web Context	123
8.2.1	Uniform Definition of Variables and Parameters	123
8.2.2	Restricting and Preferring Variable Values	126
8.2.3	Parameters of Constraints	128
8.2.4	Constraints and Parametric Systems	129
9	Evaluation of Linked Product Data	131
9.1	Proof of Concept	131
9.1.1	Example Products	133
9.1.2	BPO and Linked Building Product Data Models	134
9.1.3	Modularity of Linked Building Product Data	135
9.1.4	Freedom of Modelling	137
9.1.5	Parametric Product Descriptions	139
9.1.6	Machine-Readability of Linked Building Product Data	141
9.2	Comparison to Traditional Product Descriptions	141
9.2.1	Describing Multi-Functional Innovative Products	142
9.2.2	Providing Modular Product Descriptions	143
9.2.3	Obtaining Freedom of Modelling	144
9.2.4	Describing Parametric Dependencies	145
9.2.5	Creating Machine-Readable Product Descriptions	145
9.2.6	Integrating Product Descriptions into Digital Building Models	146
9.2.7	Conclusion	147

10 Conclusion	149
10.1 Discussion of the Achieved Results	149
10.1.1 Technical Obstacles	150
10.1.2 Suitability of Linked Building Product Data	151
10.1.3 Advantages and Disadvantages over Current Approaches	151
10.1.4 Acceptance in the Research Community	152
10.1.5 Integration of Linked Building Product Data into BIM Processes and Models	153
10.1.6 Generalisation to Linked Product Data	153
10.2 Conclusion	154
10.3 Future Work	155
Glossary	157
References	183
A Publications	185
B Gebäude N Product Properties	189
C Example Product Descriptions	191
D Evaluation Queries to Demonstrate the Freedom of Modelling	193
E Overview of Introduced Ontologies	195

List of Figures

1.1	Information loss caused by data exchange	2
1.2	Proposed Workflow of the SCOPE Research Project	6
2.1	Semantic Web Layer Cake	11
2.2	Schematic Display of Triples	12
2.3	Schematic Display of Data in the RDF Data Model with Tbox (top) and Abox (bottom)	13
2.4	Modelling Process for Designing Ontologies	19
2.5	Overview of OWL2 Profiles	21
2.6	Example of an Ordered List in RDF	22
2.7	Relating Individuals to Multiple Domains	23
2.8	Aligning Ontologies	24
2.9	Alignment of Multiple Specifications and Domains via Upper-Level Ontolo- gies	25
3.1	Schematic Overview of Property Levels	40
4.1	Overview of the Identified Approaches for Integrating Geometry Descrip- tions into a Semantic Web Context	61
4.2	Schematic Presentation of Approach 1	62
4.3	Schematic Presentation of Approach 2	63
4.4	Schematic Presentation of Approach 3	65
4.5	Schematic Presentation of Approach 4	66
4.6	Comparison of the Approaches	67
5.1	Schematic Depiction of an Example Setup for Linked Product Data based on a Core Structure	74
5.2	Approaches for Extending the Core Structure	75

5.3	Schematic Depiction of the Demonstrated Setup of Linked Building Product Data	77
5.4	Architecture of the Demonstrated Setup of Linked Building Product Data	78
6.1	Overview of Introduced Classes and Class-Hierarchy	84
6.2	Example Product to Elaborate Functionalities	85
6.3	Composition of Assemblies	87
6.4	Demonstration of the Entity Structure	88
6.5	Architecture of Component Connections	90
6.6	Adding Attributes to Components	91
6.7	Complex Attributes with Intervals	92
6.8	Complex Attributes with Multiple or Correlating Values	94
6.9	Remodelled Example of Entities using a Dynamic Entity	96
6.10	Concepts for Defining a Ranged Attribute with its Value Boundaries	97
6.11	Alignment of the BPO Product Class	98
6.12	Alignment of Newly Introduced Concepts for Defining Attributes	99
6.13	Referencing the bSDD with BPO	101
6.14	Suggestion for Defining Attributes in Linked Data Taxonomies	102
6.15	Suggestion for Defining Attributes in Linked Data Taxonomies	103
7.1	OMG Level 1 Architecture	109
7.2	OMG Level 2 Geometry Nodes	110
7.3	OMG Level 2 Geometry Contexts	111
7.4	OMG Level 2 Relating Geometry Nodes	111
7.5	OMG Level 3 Geometry State Nodes	113
7.6	OMG Level 3 Combining Geometry and Property State Nodes	114
7.7	OMG Level 3 Derived Geometry states	115
7.8	Defining Implicitly Derived Non-Geometric Properties	117
7.9	Defining Explicitly Derived Non-Geometric Properties	118
7.10	Defining Explicitly Derived Non-Geometric Properties	119
8.1	Hierarchy and Base Property of Parameters	124
8.2	Modelling Constants with OPS	124
8.3	Declaring Variables in OPS	125
8.4	Enhancing Variables with Preferred Values and Tolerable Deviations	126
8.5	Variable Boundaries in OPS	127
8.6	Relating Parameters to Constraints	128
8.7	Parametric Systems and Constraints	129

9.1	Visualisation of the Example Products using the GEOM ontology and visualiser	134
9.2	<i>Gebäude N</i> OCC Geometry	136
9.3	Direct and Intermediate Modelling Approach	138

List of Tables

1.1 Overview of the Contributed Ontologies Including Their Prefixes and Namespaces.	8
3.1 Overview of Related Ontologies Including Their Prefixes and Namespaces.	52
4.1 Observed qualification of the IFC, VDI, and RFA product description schemes.	57
6.1 Overview of Used Namespaces and Ontologies of the BPO and its Alignment.	81
6.2 Valid Attribute Values for the Leg's Width and Depth.	85
7.1 Overview of Used Namespaces and Ontologies of the OMG and FOG and their Alignment.	106
9.1 Overview of the Example Products and their Characteristics	133
9.2 Overview of the Linked Building Product Data Models of the Example Products	135
9.3 Overview of Querying Results.	139
9.4 Evaluation Results of the Parametric <i>Gebäude N</i> Module	141
9.5 Comparison of the IFC, VDI 3805, and Linked Building Product Data (LBPd)	147

List of Abbreviations

- Abox** *Assertion Box* xiii, 12–14, 18, 23, 51, 71, 76, *Glossary*: Assertion Box (Abox)
- AEC** *Architecture, Engineering and Construction* 1, 7, 8, 26, 31, 34, 35, 37, 38, 41–43, 47, 53, 58, 59, 78, 79, 81, 82, 98, 102, 106, 132, 144, 145, 147, 149–159, 162, 163
- BIM** *Building Information Modelling* 1–4, 32–36, 41, 54, 142, 146, 147, 150, 153, *Glossary*: Building Information Modelling (BIM)
- BIPV** *Building Integrated Photovoltaic* 5, 7, 8, 32, 55, 133, 134, 140, 191
- BIST** *Building Integrated Solar Thermal* 5, 7, 8, 32, 55, 133, 134, 191
- BOT** *Building Topology Ontology* 38, 39, 74, 99, 150, 155, *Glossary*: Building Topology Ontology (BOT)
- BPO** *Building Product Ontology* 7, 8, 78, 79, 81–86, 88, 90, 91, 93, 97–100, 103, 108, 123, 131, 133–135, 137, 138, 141, 147, 149–151, 153–156
- bsDD** *buildingSMART Data Dictionary* 34, 43, 58, 78, 81, 100–102, 104, 135, 151, 153, 155, 156, *Glossary*: buildingSMART Data Dictionary (bsDD)
- CAD** *Computer Aided Design* 1, 44, 46, 48, 69
- CPU** *Central Processing Unit* 13, 14
- CSP** *Constraint Satisfaction Problem* 27, 37, 49, 69, 71, 121–123, 126, 156, *Glossary*: Constraint Satisfaction Problem (CSP)
- CSV** *Comma-Separated Values* 34, 134
- FOG** *File Ontology for Geometry formats* 105, 118, 119, 136, 154

FPRO *Feature-based Product Ontology* 45, 60, 75, 85

GML *Geographic Markup Language* 37, 48, 65

GoodRelations *GoodRelations Ontology* 42, 43, 59, 97–99, 161, *Glossary: GoodRelations*

GUID *Globally Unique Identifier* 34, 100–102

HVAC *Heating, Ventilation and Air Conditioning* 32, 58, 142–144, 163

IDM *Information Delivery Manual* 4, 33, 153, 158

IFC *Industry Foundation Classes* xx, 2–4, 33–38, 41, 47, 48, 57–59, 64, 73, 102, 131, 142–147, 149, 151, 158, *Glossary: Industry Foundation Classes (IFC)*

ifcOWL *IFC Ontology* 38, 47, 57–59, 62, 144, 146, *Glossary: IFC Ontology (ifcOWL)*

JSON *JavaScript Object Notation* xx, 12, 61, 63, 67, 68

JSON-LD *JSON for Linked Data* 12, 61, 63, 67, 68

LBD *Linked Building Data* 8, 38, 39, 41, 74, 82, 102, 146, 147, 150, 152, 154–156, *Glossary: Linked Building Data (LBD)*

LDWG *Linked Data Working Group* 38, 152

LUPO *Lightweight Upper Level Ontology* 45, 60, 75, 85

MathML *Mathematical Markup Language* 26, 28, 29, 50, 51, 70, 79, 123, 129, 140, *Glossary: MathML*

MVD *Model View Definition* 4, 33, 153, 158

OCC *Ontology for Open CASCADE* 47, 79, 136

OMG *Ontology for Managing Geometry* 7, 8, 79, 105–110, 112–119, 123, 129, 131, 134, 136, 147, 149–151, 153–156

OPM *Ontology for Property Management* 39, 98, 106–108, 113–115, 117, 150, 151, 155, 156, *Glossary: Ontology for Property Management (OPM)*

OPS *Ontology for Parametric Systems* 7, 8, 79, 121, 123, 126, 129, 131, 139, 140, 147, 149–151, 153–156

OWL *Web Ontology Language* 12, 14–17, 21, 38, 40, 41, 49, 65, *Glossary: Web Ontology Language (OWL)*

PDF *Portable Document Format* 1, 3, 133

PROV-O *Provenance Ontology* 51, 102, 108, 112, 150, *Glossary: Provenance Ontology (PROV-O)*

PV *Photovoltaic* 135, 137, 139, 140, 191

QUDT *Quantities, Units, Dimensions, and Data Types* 43, 91, 92, 96, *Glossary: QUDT*

Rbox *Role Box* 12, 14, 26, 70, 162, *Glossary: Role Box (Rbox)*

RDF *Resource Description Framework* xiii, 11–13, 17, 18, 20, 22, 38, 39, 41–44, 46–50, 57–70, 79, 82, 93, 100–102, 105, 106, 109, 112, 113, 115–119, 123, 124, 126, 127, 129, 136, 144, 146, 151, 152, 155–163, *Glossary: Resource Description Framework (RDF)*

RDFS *Resource Description Framework Schema* 12–14, 102, *Glossary: RDF schema (RDFS)*

RFA *Revit Family File* 2, 33, 57–59

SCOPE *Semantic Construction Project Engineering* 4, 56, 77, 131, 136, 153

SEAS *Smart Energy Aware Systems Ontology* 39, 98, 99, 108, 114, 117, *Glossary: Smart Energy Aware Systems Ontology (SEAS)*

SHACL *Shapes Constraint Language* 18, 49, 71, 153, 156, *Glossary: Shapes Constraint Language (SHACL)*

SolConPro *Solar Construction Processes* 4, 56, 81, 121, 131, 153

SPARQL *SPARQL Protocol And RDF Query Language* 12, 17, 48, 49, 58, 64, 65, 70, 100, 101, 125, 141, 146, 147, 153, 154, 161, 162, *Glossary: SPARQL Protocol and RDF Query Language (SPARQL)*

STEP *Standard for the Exchange of Product model data* 36, 37, 44, 47, 57, 64, 85, 158, *Glossary: Standard for the Exchange of Product model data (STEP)*

SWEET *Semantic Web for Earth and Environment Technology Ontology* 50, 150, 156

Tbox *Terminology Box* xiii, 12–15, 17, 18, 22, 23, 26, 51, 69–71, 75, 96, 99, 135, 161, *Glossary: Terminology Box (Tbox)*

TTL *Turtle* 12–14, 134, *Glossary: Turtle (TTL)*

URI *Uniform Resource Identifier* 10, 21, 67, 70, 150, 163

URL *Uniform Resource Locator* 10, 11, 66, 195

VDI *Verband Deutscher Ingenieure* xxii, 2, 32

VDI 3805 *VDI Directive 3805* 2, 3, 32, 57, 58, 73, 131, 142–147, 149, 151, *Glossary: VDI Directive 3805*

W3C LBD CG *W3C Linked Building Data Community Group* 38, 39, 152

W3C *World Wide Web Consortium* xxii, 28, 38, 43, 50, 51, 156, 160–163, *Glossary: W3C*

WKT *Well Known Text* 48, 65, 99, *Glossary: Well Known Text (WKT)*

XML *Extensible Markup Language* 12, 29, 32, 124, 145, 160, 163