

Forschungsberichte aus dem  
**wbk** Institut für Produktionstechnik  
Karlsruher Institut für Technologie (KIT)

Fabian Johannes Ballier

**Systematic gripper arrangement for  
a handling device in lightweight  
production processes**

Band 222

Research report from  
wbk Institute of Production Science  
Karlsruhe Institute of Technology (KIT)

Editor: Prof. Dr.-Ing. Jürgen Fleischer  
Prof. Dr.-Ing. Gisela Lanza  
Prof. Dr.-Ing. habil. Volker Schulze

Research report  
Fabian Johannes Ballier

## **Systematic gripper arrangement for a handling device in lightweight production processes**

Volume 222



# **Systematic gripper arrangement for a handling device in lightweight production processes**

Zur Erlangung des akademischen Grades eines / einer  
DOKTORS / DOKTORIN DER INGENIEURWISSENSCHAFTEN (Dr.-Ing.)

von der KIT-Fakultät für Maschinenbau des  
Karlsruher Instituts für Technologie (KIT)  
genehmigte

DISSERTATION

von  
Dipl.-Ing. Fabian Johannes Ballier

Tag der mündlichen Prüfung: 03.12.2018  
Hauptreferent: Prof. Dr.-Ing. Jürgen Fleischer  
Korreferent: Prof. Dr.-Ing. Jill Urbanic

**Bibliographic information published by the Deutsche  
Nationalbibliothek**

The Deutsche Nationalbibliothek lists this publication in the Deutsche  
Nationalbibliografie; detailed bibliographic data are available in the internet at  
<http://dnb.d-nb.de>.

Zugl.: Karlsruhe, Karlsruher Institut für Technologie, Diss., 2018

Copyright Shaker Verlag 2019

All rights reserved. No part of this publication may be reproduced, stored in a  
retrieval system, or transmitted, in any form or by any means, electronic,  
mechanical, photocopying, recording or otherwise, without the prior permission  
of the publishers.

Printed in Germany.

ISBN 978-3-8440-6704-0

ISSN 0724-4967

Shaker Verlag GmbH • Am Langen Graben 15a • 52353 Düren

Phone: 0049/2421/99011-0 • Telefax: 0049/2421/99011-9

Internet: [www.shaker.de](http://www.shaker.de) • e-mail: [info@shaker.de](mailto:info@shaker.de)

## **Preface by editor**

The fast and efficient implementation of innovative technologies is supported by the following factors. The globalization of the economy is the decisive economic factor for manufacturing companies. As "value-added partners", universities can make a significant contribution to the competitiveness of industry by developing scientific foundations, new methods and technologies as well as actively supporting the implementation process in practical applications. Against this background, this series of publications will report the current research results of the Institute of Production Engineering (wbk) at the Karlsruhe Institute of Technology (KIT). Our research work is concerned with increasing the performance of manufacturing processes, associated machine tool and handling technologies as well as with the holistic consideration and optimization of the entire production system. On the same time technological and organizational aspects are considered here.

Prof. Dr.-Ing. Jürgen Fleischer

Prof. Dr.-Ing. Gisela Lanza

Prof. Dr.-Ing. habil. Volker Schulze



## **Preface by author**

This work was created during my work as a research associate at the wbk Institute for Production Engineering of the Karlsruhe Institute of Technology (KIT) since March 2014.

First of all, I would like to thank Prof. Dr.-Ing. Jürgen Fleischer for taking over the main referent and for five years of successful cooperation. The freedom of decision that he grants to his research associate is one of the reasons why they have the opportunity to develop themselves professionally and personally. I would also like to thank my co-referent Prof. Dr.-Ing. Jill Urbanic for constructive discussions and the productive visit that I was able to experience in Canada, which helped me a lot in my dissertation.

My institute colleagues were an important support for me in the creation of this work, taking time for me despite having a full schedule. The friendly and open atmosphere of our institute is an important aspect of our success and I hope it will be preserved. I would also like to express my special thanks to the numerous staff members at the institute who make it possible for the research associates to work scientifically to this extent in the first place. In addition, I would like to thank the workshop employees, all colleagues in the IT, secretariats and finance department who take over a lot of the organizational work from us, which enables us to carry out our research. I think we all know that the scientific staff sometimes causes more chaos than necessary.

I would also like to mention my students who contributed to the success of this work. I would especially like to mention Michael Steinlein, Moritz Gegenbauer, Tobias Dmytruk, Uli Hörmann and Amal Abderrahman for their support.

Writing a dissertation always involves a great deal of time, which has a considerable influence on leisure activities. For this reason, such work is only possible if friends and family support this project which is not self-evident.



I would like to thank my friends for understanding that I did not always have time for them. Furthermore, I have to thank my wife for the time she gave me to write my thesis and most importantly I would like to thank my parents who have always given me the right measure of freedom and support so that I can write these lines today. You have all been directly or indirectly involved in this work and have been an important part of my life. Thanks to all of you.

Karlsruhe, Dezember 2018

Fabian Johannes Ballier



## **Abstract**

Handling devices are an integral part of automated production processes. Nevertheless, they are generally regarded as non-value-adding and therefore their planning and projecting should be as effective as possible, with only a small amount of time and personnel expenditure. Still, they remain an important part of the process chain and they must meet certain conditions in this context. In order to ensure their functionality and invest little time in their project planning, handling devices are often oversized. Especially for flat parts, this results in heavy handling solutions where the weight of the object and the handling device are in a disproportionate relationship.

The objective of the present work is to automate the project planning of handling devices as much as possible. This process is presented using the example of the process chain for the production of lightweight parts using the sheet molding compound (SMC) and resin transfer molding (RTM) processes.

As a first step, a modular handling device is developed and built-up, which enables a large number of gripper arrangements. This device then makes it possible to measure the resulting deflection of flat parts in the handling process. In order to ensure that it is not always necessary to measure the deflections, a model is built-up with ABAQUS to enable a simulated estimation. Using this simulation model, a design logic for the arrangement of the grippers on any shaped parts is presented.

This design logic works in two steps and is based on the approach of growing neural gas (GNG), which is adapted to the problem by implementing additional rules. First, an initial gripper configuration is created based on the geometry of the object, which is then improved by an iterative process of simulation and adaptation. Since the production of lightweight parts often requires more than just one type of sheet, various solutions for the different sheets are combined systematically at the end to form one gripper arrangement and a method is shown concerning how this can be implemented using the previously developed modular handling device.

## Kurzfassung

Handhabungsgeräte sind ein integraler Bestandteil automatisierter Produktionsprozesse. Dennoch werden sie in der Regel als nicht wertschöpfend angesehen, weshalb ihre Planung und Projektierung mit geringem Zeit- und Personalaufwand so effektiv wie möglich sein sollte. Gleichzeitig bleiben sie ein wichtiger Teil der Prozesskette und müssen in diesem Zusammenhang bestimmte Bedingungen erfüllen. Um ihre Funktionalität zu gewährleisten und wenig Zeit in die Projektierung zu investieren, sind Handhabungsgeräte oft überdimensioniert. Insbesondere bei flachen Teilen führt dies zu schweren Handhabungslösungen, bei denen das Gewicht des Handhabungsobjekts und des Handhabungsgerätes in einem Missverhältnis zueinander stehen.

Ziel der vorliegenden Arbeit ist es, die Projektierung von Handhabungsgeräten so weit wie möglich zu automatisieren. Dieser Prozess wird am Beispiel der Prozesskette zur Herstellung von Leichtbauteilen mit den Verfahren „sheet molding compound“ (SMC) und „resin transfer molding“ (RTM) dargestellt.

In einem ersten Schritt wird ein modulares Handhabungsgerät entwickelt und aufgebaut, das eine große Anzahl von Greiferanordnungen ermöglicht. Mit diesem Handhabungsgerät kann dann die resultierende Durchbiegung von flachen Bauteilen mit verschiedenen Greiferanordnungen gemessen werden. Um sicherzustellen, dass es nicht immer notwendig ist die Durchbiegungen zu messen, wird mit ABAQUS ein Modell aufgebaut, das eine Simulation der Durchbiegung ermöglicht. Anhand dieses Simulationsmodells wird eine Designlogik für die Anordnung der Greifer entwickelt.

Diese Designlogik arbeitet in zwei Schritten und basiert auf dem Ansatz des „growing neural gas“ (GNG), das durch die Implementierung zusätzlicher Regeln an das Problem angepasst wird. Zuerst wird eine erste Greiferkonfiguration basierend auf der Geometrie des Objekts erstellt, die dann durch einen iterativen Prozess aus Simulation und Anpassung verbessert wird. Da die Herstellung von Leichtbauteilen oft mehr als nur einen Zuschnitt erfordert, werden am Ende systematisch verschiedene Lösungen für die verschiedenen Zuschnitte zu einer Greiferanordnung zusammengefasst und ein Verfahren gezeigt, wie dies mit dem zuvor entwickelten modularen Handhabungsgerät realisiert werden kann.



# Table of contents

<b>Table of contents</b>	<b>I</b>
<b>Table of abbreviations</b>	<b>IV</b>
<b>1 Introduction</b>	<b>1</b>
1.1 Motivation	1
1.2 Structure of the thesis	3
<b>2 Background</b>	<b>4</b>
2.1 Terms and definitions	4
2.2 Materials for lightweight production processes	5
2.2.1 From Co- to Dico- to CoDico-material	5
2.2.2 Dry fiber textiles	6
2.2.3 Pre-impregnated materials	7
2.3 Representation of a handling process by VDI 2860	8
2.4 Machine learning	10
2.4.1 Basic concepts of unsupervised machine learning	10
2.4.2 Self-organizing maps	12
2.4.3 Neural gas	13
2.4.4 Growing cell structure	13
2.4.5 Growing neural gas	14
<b>3 State of the art</b>	<b>17</b>
3.1 Lightweight production processes	17
3.1.1 RTM process	17
3.1.2 SMC process	20
3.2 Gripper technologies for flat non-rigid parts	21
3.2.1 Introduction of gripper technologies for flat non-rigid parts	21
3.2.2 Conclusion on gripper technologies for flat non-rigid parts	24
3.3 Energy consumption of handling processes	25
3.4 General classification of gripper arrangements	27

3.5	Research fields for handling flat non-rigid parts	27
3.5.1	Development and dimensioning of grippers	28
3.5.2	Selecting gripper technology	29
3.5.3	Handling device design/ conception	29
3.6	Conclusion from the state of the art	32
<b>4</b>	<b>Objective and own approach</b>	<b>35</b>
4.1	Objective	35
4.2	Own approach	35
4.3	Thesis procedure	38
<b>5</b>	<b>Experimental setup for handling processes</b>	<b>40</b>
5.1	Handling device	40
5.1.1	Requirements for handling devices in lightweight production processes	41
5.1.2	System design of the modular handling device	48
5.2	Test setup for reproducible handling operations	56
5.3	Test procedure and systematic description	58
5.4	Use-cases for handling tests for RTM and SMC process	60
5.4.1	Use-cases for SMC	60
5.4.2	Use-cases for dry fiber textiles in the RTM process	60
<b>6</b>	<b>Simulation and measurement of material deflection</b>	<b>62</b>
6.1	Definition of the system boundaries	62
6.1.1	Acceleration and velocity in a vertical handling process	62
6.1.2	Experimental analysis of time behavior	65
6.2	Simulation model and acquisition of material data	67
6.2.1	Selection of a simulation model	68
6.2.2	Material data for the simulation	73
6.3	Comparison of simulation results and scan results	77
6.3.1	Configuration of the simulation	78
6.3.2	Processing of scan data	78
6.3.3	Comparison of measured scan data and simulated data	83

---

<b>7</b>	<b>Gripper configuration</b>	<b>90</b>
7.1	Concepts for an initial gripper configuration process	90
7.1.1	Initial gripper configuration by full-body gripper	91
7.1.2	Initial gripper configuration by pre-simulated basic geometries	91
7.1.3	Initial gripper configuration by self-organizing maps algorithm	95
7.1.4	Conclusion of the different approaches	98
7.2	Initial gripper configuration without specific deflection data of the shape	99
7.2.1	Modification of GNG for initial gripper configuration	99
7.2.2	Influence of parameters on the initial gripper configuration	104
7.2.3	Initial gripper configuration for use-cases	118
7.2.4	Conclusion for initial gripper configuration	122
7.3	Adjustment of gripper configuration with deflection data	122
7.3.1	Modification of GNG for the gripper configuration adjustment	123
7.3.2	Gripper configuration for use-cases	130
7.3.3	Conclusion for gripper configuration adjustment	135
7.4	Number of grippers to maintain a user defined deflection	135
<b>8</b>	<b>Solution composition</b>	<b>136</b>
8.1	Combination of solutions to one gripper configuration	136
8.2	Implementation on the modular handling device	141
<b>9</b>	<b>Summary and Outlook</b>	<b>148</b>
9.1	Summary and conclusion	148
9.2	Outlook	151
<b>10</b>	<b>References</b>	<b>I</b>
	<b>List of figures</b>	<b>XIV</b>
	<b>List of tables</b>	<b>XX</b>
	<b>List of source code</b>	<b>XXI</b>
	<b>Appendix</b>	<b>XXII</b>