

Systematic Metric Systems Engineering: Reference Architecture and Process Model

Von der Fakultät für Mathematik, Informatik und Naturwissenschaften
der RWTH Aachen University zur Erlangung des akademischen Grades
eines Doktors der Naturwissenschaften genehmigte Dissertation

vorgelegt von

Diplom-Informatiker
Matthias Vianden
aus
Aachen

Berichter: Prof. Dr. rer. nat. Horst Lichter
Prof. Dr. rer. nat. Stefan Wagner

Tag der mündlichen Prüfung: 20.07.2016

Diese Dissertation ist auf den Internetseiten der Hochschulbibliothek online verfügbar.

Aachener Informatik-Berichte, Software Engineering

herausgegeben von
Prof. Dr. rer. nat. Bernhard Rumpe
Software Engineering
RWTH Aachen University

Band 26

Matthias Vianden
RWTH Aachen University

Systematic Metric Systems Engineering

Reference Architecture and Process Model

Shaker Verlag
Aachen 2017

Bibliographic information published by the Deutsche Nationalbibliothek

The Deutsche Nationalbibliothek lists this publication in the Deutsche Nationalbibliografie; detailed bibliographic data are available in the Internet at <http://dnb.d-nb.de>.

Zugl.: D 82 (Diss. RWTH Aachen University, 2016)

Copyright Shaker Verlag 2017

All rights reserved. No part of this publication may be reproduced, stored in a retrieval system, or transmitted, in any form or by any means, electronic, mechanical, photocopying, recording or otherwise, without the prior permission of the publishers.

Printed in Germany.

ISBN 978-3-8440-5111-7

ISSN 1869-9170

Shaker Verlag GmbH • P.O. BOX 101818 • D-52018 Aachen

Phone: 0049/2407/9596-0 • Telefax: 0049/2407/9596-9

Internet: www.shaker.de • e-mail: info@shaker.de

Abstract

In the recent past, the research community contributed a considerable amount of work to extend the understanding of the theoretical foundations of metric systems. However, a dedicated approach for engineering of metric systems is still missing. As a result, they are often developed chaotically. This thesis introduces MeDIC – a dedicated metric systems engineering approach, which fills this gap. MeDIC supports flexible conception, design, construction, and operation of metric systems. The approach is based on two pillars: the *MeDIC process model* and the *MeDIC reference architecture*. They integrate software engineering best practices, emerging concepts, and well-established metric-related standards and techniques. The MeDIC reference architecture provides technical guides with a layered architecture blue-print of loosely interconnected micro-services. The MeDIC process model provides ready-to-use process elements and artifacts (fragments), which drastically ease the setup of a specific engineering process. The reference architecture and process model are based on formal foundations, which provide additional benefits for conceptual analysis of metrics systems. Various field studies, in cooperation with multiple industry partners, were used to evaluate the approach. This thesis provides insight into three selected field studies, which utilize various aspects of MeDIC in industrial environments. The evaluation shows the practical application, usefulness, and efficiency of MeDIC. Challenges associated with the development and operation of metric systems in industrial environments can thus be overcome by MeDIC. As a result, the engineering of better, more reliable, and sustainable metric systems is possible.

Kurzfassung

In der Vergangenheit wurde vermehrt an den theoretischen Grundlagen der (Software-) Metriken gearbeitet. Das allgemeine Verständnis hat sich seitdem stark weiterentwickelt und neben den theoretischen Grundlagen hat sich auch das Verständnis des Metrikmanagement weiterentwickelt. Hieraus entwickelte sich ein etablierter Stand der Technik, welcher sich in diversen Standards widerspiegelt (ISO 15939, CMMI MA). Es fehlt allerdings immer noch ein spezieller Engineering-Ansatz für Metriksysteme und die damit verbundene Messinfrastruktur, was dazu führt, dass diese oft chaotisch entwickelt werden. Der in dieser Arbeit vorgestellte flexible Engineering-Ansatz MeDIC schließt diese Lücke und adressiert sowohl die klassischen Phasen des Software-Engineerings: Konzeption, Entwurf und Konstruktion, als auch Betrieb von Metriksystemen. MeDIC besteht aus zwei fundamentalen Teilen: dem MeDIC-Prozessmodell und der MeDIC-Referenzarchitektur. Diese integrieren best-practices der Software-Entwicklung, moderne Konzepte und etablierte Techniken und Standards im Bereich der Metriken miteinander. Die MeDIC-Referenzarchitektur stellt eine Blaupause einer geschichteten Architektur von lose gekoppelten Micro-Services zur Verfügung und erhöht damit das Verständnis der technischen Konzepte. Das MeDIC-Prozessmodell enthält fertig verwendbare Prozessbausteine und Artefakte (-Fragmente), welche den Aufbau eines dedizierten Engineering-Prozesses drastisch vereinfachen. Die Referenzarchitektur und das Prozessmodell sind mit einer formalen Basis untermauert, welche zusätzlich die Analyse von Metriksystemen auf einem theoretischen und konzeptuellen Niveau ermöglicht. MeDIC wurde in zahlreichen industriellen Feldstudien evaluiert. Diese Arbeit stellt drei ausgewählte Feldstudien vor, welche unterschiedliche Aspekte von MeDIC im industriellen Umfeld verwenden. Hierdurch wird die praktische Anwendbarkeit, Nützlichkeit und Effektivität des Ansatzes gezeigt. MeDIC hilft, viele der praktischen Probleme beim Entwickeln und Betreiben von Metriksystemen im industriellen Umfeld zu überwinden. In der Zukunft können diese Systeme mit Hilfe von MeDIC demnach besser, zuverlässiger und nachhaltiger entwickelt werden.

Contents

I. Introduction and Foundations	1
1. Introduction	3
1.1. Metric Systems Engineering Challenges	6
1.1.1. Large Software Development Companies	6
1.1.2. Small and Medium Software Development Companies	7
1.1.3. Main Challenges	9
1.1.4. Summary	10
1.2. Top-Level Requirements	12
1.2.1. Literature Analysis	13
1.2.2. Summary	16
1.3. Research Questions and Contribution	17
1.3.1. Contribution	17
1.4. Research Field and Central Related Work	19
1.4.1. Service-Oriented Measurement Infrastructures	19
1.4.2. Software Project Control Centers	22
1.4.3. Summary and Conclusion	25
1.5. MeDIC - A Metric Systems Engineering Approach	27
1.5.1. Flexibility	29
1.5.2. Information Need Driven	30
1.5.3. Usable Metric Systems	32
1.6. Summary	33
2. Conceptual Foundations	35
2.1. Metric Portfolio	36
2.1.1. Metric Terminology	36
2.1.2. Metrics System Dynamics and Measurement Data Flow	38
2.1.3. Summary	40
2.2. Metric Reuse	41
2.2.1. Metric Reuse Dimensions	41
2.2.2. Metric Reuse in the Literature	42
2.2.3. Metric Reuse by Metric Variability	43
2.2.4. Formal Foundation to Metric Variability	44
2.2.5. Summary	45
2.3. Formal Foundation to Metric System Dynamics	46
2.3.1. Related Work	46
2.3.2. Overview	47
2.3.3. Preface	48
2.3.4. Measurement Data and Measurements	49
2.3.5. Compatibility	51
2.3.6. Satisfiability	55

2.3.7. Measurement Producer	56
2.3.8. Calculation Termination	60
2.4. Summary	62
II. MeDIC Reference Architecture	63
3. Introduction, Requirements and Foundations	65
3.1. Design Foundations and Reference Architecture Requirements	67
3.1.1. Polylithic Micro Service-based Measurement Infrastructures	67
3.1.2. Specific Requirements	68
3.1.3. Reference Architecture Requirements Summary	73
3.2. The API Specification Language	74
3.3. Integration Architecture Alternatives	77
4. Logical Reference Architecture and Physical System View	79
4.1. Logical Reference Architecture	79
4.2. Physical System View	83
4.2.1. Data Provider Systems	83
4.2.2. Support Systems	84
4.2.3. Core Systems	85
5. Technical Reference Architecture	87
5.1. Overview	88
5.1.1. Measurement Data Flow	90
5.1.2. Concept to Implementation Mapping	92
5.1.3. Discussion and Design Alternatives	92
5.2. Data Transport and Integration	97
5.2.1. Enterprise Measurement Data Bus (EMDB)	98
5.2.2. EMDB Messages	99
5.2.3. Integration and Reuse	100
5.2.4. Important EMDB Services	101
5.2.5. Additional Service Topics	107
5.2.6. Summary	107
5.3. Calculation Access	109
5.3.1. Design Decisions and Related Work	111
5.3.2. EUrEKA Indicator Access APIs	112
5.3.3. EUrEKA Kernel Description Meta Model	114
5.3.4. EUrEKA Registry	118
5.3.5. EUrEKA Producer Gateway (optional)	120
5.3.6. EUrEKA Consumer	122
5.3.7. EUrEKA Indicator Wrapper (optional)	123
5.3.8. Summary	125

5.4.	Data Adapter Reference Architecture	127
5.4.1.	Adaption Patterns and Dynamic View	127
5.4.2.	Static Reference Architecture	134
5.4.3.	Summary	136
5.5.	Metric Kernel Reference Architecture	137
5.5.1.	Design Alternatives	138
5.5.2.	Dynamic View	141
5.5.3.	Summary	143
5.6.	Visualization Reference Architecture	145
5.6.1.	Metric-based Monitoring Dashboards	146
5.6.2.	Visualization Frontend Classification	146
5.6.3.	Component View	147
5.6.4.	Dynamic View	148
5.6.5.	Summary	151
5.7.	Technical Integration of Operation Services	152
5.8.	Summary of the Technical Reference Architecture	154
6.	Operation Systems and Services	155
6.1.	Monitoring System	156
6.1.1.	Information Needs Satisfied by the Monitoring System	156
6.1.2.	Monitoring System Reference Architecture	162
6.1.3.	Monitoring System Summary	167
6.2.	Logging System	169
6.2.1.	Information Needs Satisfied by the Logging System	169
6.2.2.	Logging System Reference Architecture	171
6.2.3.	Logging System Summary	172
6.3.	Lookup System (optional)	173
6.3.1.	Use Cases and Requirements for the Lookup System	173
6.3.2.	Directory System Reference Architecture	174
7.	MeDIC Reference Architecture Formalisms	179
7.1.	Formalism for Service States	180
7.1.1.	Maintenance	180
7.1.2.	Sync with Data Provider	181
7.2.	Formal Basis of the Technical Reference Architecture	182
7.2.1.	Preface	182
7.2.2.	Measurement Messages	183
7.2.3.	Data Adapter	184
7.2.4.	Metric Kernel	185
7.2.5.	Data Processing in an EMI	189
7.2.6.	Formalism Summary	191
7.3.	Formalism Example: Ticket Statistics	192
7.3.1.	Introduction and Definition of the EMI	192
7.3.2.	Metric Definition	192

7.3.3.	Metric Kernel: Measurement Consumer	195
7.3.4.	Metric Kernel: Data Storage	195
7.3.5.	Correctness Proof of the Storage Function	197
7.3.6.	Metric Kernel: Measurement Producer	200
7.3.7.	Termination Proof of the Kernel and the EMI	200
7.3.8.	Example Summary	201
III.	MeDIC Process Model	203
8.	Process Model Foundations	205
8.1.	Process Environment Assumptions	207
9.	The Metric System Engineering Process Model	209
9.1.	Process Model Core	210
9.2.	Process Overview	213
9.3.	Roles	215
9.3.1.	Metric Customer	215
9.3.2.	Metric Expert	216
9.3.3.	Architect	218
9.3.4.	Developer	219
9.3.5.	Operator	220
9.3.6.	Role Involvement	222
9.4.	Process Initialization	224
10.	The Conception Phase	227
10.1.	Requirements Gathering	229
10.1.1.	Activity Overview	229
10.1.2.	Plan Requirements Gathering and Information Need identification	230
10.1.3.	Execute RE Plan	231
10.1.4.	Process Results	233
10.2.	Prototype and Evaluate	234
10.2.1.	Consolidate Info Needs	234
10.2.2.	Design Monitors, Design Metrics and Prepare Prototypes	235
10.2.3.	Evaluate With Metric Customers	236
10.3.	Plan Increment	238
10.3.1.	Integrate Information Needs and Design Logical Architecture	238
10.3.2.	Review and Prioritize Increment Plan	239
10.3.3.	Finish Increment Planing	239
10.4.	Conception Summary	240
11.	The Design Phase	241
11.1.	Identify Metric Services	242
11.1.1.	Setup the Design Plan and Design Document	243

11.2. Design and Evaluate	244
11.2.1. Design Services and Integration	244
11.2.2. Design Metric Service Tests	245
11.2.3. Evaluate design	245
12. The Construction and the Operation Phase	247
12.1. The Construction Phase	247
12.2. The Operation Phase	249
12.2.1. Deploy and Setup a new Metric Kernel	249
12.2.2. Best Practices for Handling Common Errors and Exceptions	249
12.2.3. Triggering a new Iteration	255
12.3. Summary	256
IV. Evaluation, Tool Support, and Lessons Learned	257
13. Evaluation by Selected Field Studies	259
13.1. Project Risk Metric System for a Large IT Service Provider	260
13.1.1. Process	261
13.1.2. Risk Metrics Architecture	265
13.1.3. Experience and Best Practices	268
13.2. Software Project Metrics System for SSE Lab	271
13.2.1. Process	271
13.2.2. Architecture	273
13.2.3. Experience	275
13.3. Flow-based Visual Ticket Analysis	277
13.3.1. Key Concepts	277
13.3.2. Architecture - First Version	280
13.3.3. Architecture - Second Version	282
13.3.4. Experience	286
14. Tooling	289
14.1. MeDIC Metric Documentation Tools	290
14.2. MeDIC Metric Management Support Tool	293
14.3. MeDIC Dashboard and SCREEN	296
14.3.1. Architecture - MeDIC Dashboard	298
14.3.2. Architecture - SCREEN	299
14.4. EMI Services	301
14.4.1. EMS - EMI Monitoring Service	301
14.4.2. ELS - EMI Logging Service	302
14.4.3. EDS - EMI Directory Service	303
14.4.4. ERS - EMI Render Service	303
14.5. EMI Framework	306

15. Lessons Learned and Discussion	309
15.1. Security	309
15.2. Flexibility	311
15.3. Ease-of-Use	311
15.3.1. Ease-of-Use of the Reference Architecture	311
15.3.2. Ease-of-Use of the Process Model	312
15.4. Effectiveness	312
15.4.1. Effectiveness of the Reference Architecture	312
15.4.2. Effectiveness of the Process Model	313
15.5. Efficiency	313
V. Conclusion and Future Work	315
16. Conclusion and Future Work	317
16.1. Future Work	317
16.2. Conclusion	318
VI. Appendix	321
A. Symbol Lists	323
A.1. Symbols used in the Foundation Formalism	323
A.2. Symbols used in the Reference Architecture Formalism	325
B. Process Guides, Checklists, and Document Descriptions for the Process Model	327
B.1. Conception Phase	327
B.1.1. Information Need Gathering – Guidelines for the Execution	327
B.1.2. Plan Increment – Guidelines for Coherent Increments	329
B.2. Design Phase	330
B.2.1. Services Reuse Decision Aid – Checklist	330
B.2.2. Design Guides for EMI Services	332
B.2.3. Design Guide for Test Selection and Test Stage Description	334
B.3. The Design Document	336
B.3.1. Rough Design of the Complete Metric Application	336
B.3.2. Exception Behavior	336
B.3.3. Fine Design of the Integration	337
B.3.4. Fine Design of each Services	337
B.3.5. Tests	339
C. Student Theses in the Context of this Thesis	341
C.1. Diploma Theses	341
C.2. Master Theses	341
C.3. Bachelor Theses	342

List of Figures

1.1.	Metric system decomposition	4
1.2.	Development gap in metric systems engineering.	11
1.3.	The main parts of MeDIC	27
1.4.	MeDIC metric system engineering: Overview	28
2.1.	Static relations between metric portfolio terms	37
2.2.	Metric dynamics of a metric system by the means of measurement producers and measurement consumers	38
2.3.	Metric dynamics and measurement data flow example	39
2.4.	Conceptual model of the data flow in a metric system	39
2.5.	Static view on central metric variability concepts	44
2.6.	Overview of the central parts to our formal foundations to metric system dynamics	48
2.7.	Measurement producer connecting metric, measurement, and the variability model	56
4.1.	Model for the logical reference architecture as UML class diagram	80
4.2.	Example for the logical architecture and logical decomposition of an enterprise measurement infrastructure	81
4.3.	System View	83
5.1.	Technical layers and services in an enterprise measurement infrastructure	88
5.2.	Simplified data and control flow in an EMI	91
5.3.	Metric concepts and their corresponding implementations in an enterprise measurement infrastructure	93
5.4.	Zoom into the data transport and integration layer of the MeDIC reference architecture	97
5.5.	Publish/subscribe topics inside the EMDB	98
5.6.	Example for a EMDB message specialization hierarchy and relation to EMDB topics	100
5.7.	Integration between Data Adapter and Metric Kernel via EMDB Messages	100
5.8.	Reusing a general metric kernel with specific EMDB messages	101
5.9.	Message Gateway internal component view	102
5.10.	Message Cache internal component view	104
5.11.	Zoom into the calculation access layer of the MeDIC reference architecture	109
5.12.	Overview and layers of the enterprise uniform metric kernel access (EUrEKA) design	110
5.13.	EUrEKA metric kernel description meta model as UML class diagram . .	115
5.14.	Example for a risk matrix that can be feed by the risk data type from the previous example	117
5.15.	EUrEKA registry service internal component view	118

5.16. EUREKA overview using the EUREKA producer gateway service	120
5.17. EUREKA producer gateway service internal component view	121
5.18. EUREKA consumer internal component view	122
5.19. Wrapper Configuration Model and Wrapper production	123
5.20. EUrEKA indicator wrapper service internal component view	124
5.21. Zoom into the data adapter layer of the MeDIC reference architecture .	127
5.22. Icons for the different data adapter pattern	127
5.23. UML sequence diagram for the concept of the push-forward adapter pattern	128
5.24. UML sequence diagram for the concept of the pull-forward adapter pattern	129
5.25. UML sequence diagram for the concept of the invoke-pull adapter pattern	130
5.26. UML sequence diagram for the concept of the invoke-dump adapter pattern	132
5.27. Static reference architecture for Data Gateways	134
5.28. Static reference architecture for pull-based data adapter	135
5.29. Zoom into the metric kernel related layers of the MeDIC reference architecture	137
5.30. Static monolithic metric kernel reference architecture.	138
5.31. Static metric kernel reference architecture with separated components. .	140
5.32. EMDB Message Processing	141
5.33. UML sequence diagram for the behavior of the metric kernel components when data is requested via an indicator access API	143
5.34. Zoom into the visualization layer of the MeDIC reference architecture .	145
5.35. Dashboard Application - Component View	148
5.36. Typical M ² dashboard visualization dynamic as UML sequence diagram .	150
5.37. Typical analysis tool visualization dynamic as UML sequence diagram .	151
5.38. Integration in an Operation System between the Operation Service and EMI Services	152
5.39. Operation System Component View	153
6.1. Static reference architecture for the monitoring client agent	163
6.2. UML activity diagram for the behavior of the monitoring service and monitoring client agent during an alive-check.	165
6.3. UML sequence diagram for the production of the performance indicators in a monitoring client agent.	167
6.4. Static reference architecture for the logging client agent	171
6.5. Static reference architecture for the directory system	175
8.1. Scope of the metric systems engineering process model	205
9.1. Metric Systems Engineering Process: phases, increments, and iterations .	210
9.2. MeDIC engineering process model: phase details and core activities . .	213
9.3. Workload of the roles in the different phases of the development process .	222
10.1. Conception phase overview	227
10.2. Requirements Gathering activity as BPMN diagram	230
10.3. Prototype and evaluate activity as BPMN diagram	234

10.4. Plan Increment activity as BPMN diagram	238
11.1. Design phase overview as BPMN diagram	241
11.2. Metric Service identification activity as BPMN diagram	242
13.1. BPMN diagram of the main process steps used to develop the metric system at the IT service provider	262
13.2. Prototypes for metric-based risk monitors: Risk Matrix and Open Risk Control	263
13.3. Static architecture overview of the data adapter and metric kernel of the EMI for risk metrics at our cooperation partner.	266
13.4. UML activity diagram for the data adaption for risk metrics.	267
13.5. UML activity diagram for the data storage and pre-calculation of the risk metric kernel.	268
13.6. UML class diagram for the data model of the risk metric kernel.	268
13.7. Prototype for the specific SSE Lab metric-based monitoring dashboard.	272
13.8. Static architecture overview of the EMI core for the software project metrics in sse lab.	274
13.9. Screenshot of the realization of the SSE Lab metric-based monitoring dashboard	275
13.10 Ticket flow visualized as Senkey diagram in the RiVER analysis tool (taken from [Cha12] p.75).	278
13.11 Indication of the smell “loosing the battle of the inbox” using a radiogram visualization for the overview page of the RiVER analysis tool (taken from [Gji13] p.76).	279
13.12 Specific ticket status changes visualized as work-item-history in the detail view of the RiVER analysis tool (taken from [Gji13] p.77).	280
13.13 Static architecture overview of the first version of the RiVER analysis tool.	281
13.14 Senkey diagram from the second version of the RiVER analysis tool showing approximately 1.5 million ticket status changes (taken from [Rab15] p.26). We drastically simplified the visualization (e.g. removed the names of the nodes) to make it more compact.	283
13.15 Static architecture overview of the second version of the RiVER analysis tool.	284
13.16 Comparison of the Senkey diagram provision speed of the two versions of the RiVER analysis tool based on the number of status changes in the databases (smaller is better).	285
14.1. Overview of the modeling workflow of the tool.	290
14.2. Screenshot of the metric documentation model in the documentation tool.	291
14.3. Screenshot of a web page of the generated metric documentation.	292
14.4. Screenshot of the overview page for a project in the metric management support tool.	293

14.5. Screenshot of the edit page for a monitor and its metrics in the metric management support tool.	294
14.6. Screenshot of the dashboard frontend from MeDIC Dashboard.	296
14.7. Screenshot of the dashboard frontend from SCREEN.	297
14.8. High level architecture overview of MeDIC Dashboard.	298
14.9. Architecture overview of SCREEN and its connection to the Render-Service and the Window-Service.	299
14.10Screenshot of the EMI Monitoring Service graphical user interface.	301
14.11Screenshot of the technical log view in the GUI of the EMI Logging Service (taken from [Dör14] p. 71)	302
14.12Screenshot of the logger configuration in the GUI of the EMI Logging Service (adapted from [Dör14] p. 68).	302
14.13Screenshot of the EMI Directory Service graphical user interface.	303
14.14Screenshot of the configuration of a specific renderer in the GUI of the EMI Render Service (adapted from [Röl13] p. 61).	304
14.15UML package diagram of parts of the common core of the EMI Framework.	306
14.16Example for the implementation of a measurement cache using EMI Framework components.	307