

# A generalised hybrid damage mechanics model for steel sheets and heavy plates

Von der Fakultät für Georesourcen und Materialtechnik  
der Rheinisch-Westfälischen Technischen Hochschule Aachen

zur Erlangung des akademischen Grades eines  
**Doktors der Ingenieurwissenschaften**  
genehmigte Dissertation

vorgelegt von Master of Science

**Junhe Lian**

aus Datong, China

**Berichter:** Univ.-Prof. Dr.-Ing. Wolfgang Bleck

Univ.-Prof. Dr.-Ing. Stefanie Reese

Tag der mündlichen Prüfung: 18. 09. 2015

Diese Dissertation ist auf den Internetseiten der Universitätsbibliothek online verfügbar.





**Berichte aus dem  
Institut für Eisenhüttenkunde**

**Junhe Lian**

---

**A generalised hybrid damage mechanics model  
for steel sheets and heavy plates**

---

**Herausgeber:**

Prof. Dr.-Ing. W. Bleck  
Prof. Dr.rer.nat. Dr.-Ing.e.h. W. Dahl  
Prof. Dr.-Ing. H.W. Gudenhau  
Prof. Dr.-Ing. D. Senk

---

**Band 9/2015**

**Shaker Verlag**

**Bibliographic information published by the Deutsche Nationalbibliothek**

The Deutsche Nationalbibliothek lists this publication in the Deutsche Nationalbibliografie; detailed bibliographic data are available in the Internet at <http://dnb.d-nb.de>.

Zugl.: D 82 (Diss. RWTH Aachen University, 2015)

Copyright Shaker Verlag 2015

All rights reserved. No part of this publication may be reproduced, stored in a retrieval system, or transmitted, in any form or by any means, electronic, mechanical, photocopying, recording or otherwise, without the prior permission of the publishers.

Printed in Germany.

ISBN 978-3-8440-4063-0

ISSN 0943-4631

Shaker Verlag GmbH • P.O. BOX 101818 • D-52018 Aachen

Phone: 0049/2407/9596-0 • Telefax: 0049/2407/9596-9

Internet: [www.shaker.de](http://www.shaker.de) • e-mail: [info@shaker.de](mailto:info@shaker.de)

*To Ailin Liu & Kang Zhong*



## Acknowledgements

My sincere gratitude notably goes to Prof. Wolfgang Bleck, my primary advisor, who has given me the chance to work on this topic and inspired me to fall in love with research and development in general. The professional growth that I had in the past years benefiting from his experience, wisdom and visionary mindset is invaluable. The insightful advices and constructive comments from Prof. Stefanie Reese and Prof. Dieter Senk are also greatly appreciated.

I would like to particularly express my gratitude to Dr. Sebastian Muenstermann, the group leader of material mechanics group, who has supervised me for study-integrated thesis, master thesis, and finally the Ph.D. thesis. The continuous recognition and trust; the countless encouragements and discussions; and the permanent optimism and charms have leaped me over all the challenges and obstacle to reach this point.

I am very grateful to have had all the inspiring and directional discussions with Prof. Tomasz Wierzbicki from Massachusetts Institute of Technology and Prof. Yuanli Bai from University of Central Florida for our initiation to develop in the damage mechanics field. Many thanks to Dr. Peter Langenberg form IWT, Prof. Dirk Mohr from Ecole Polytechnique, Prof. Aida Nonn from OTH Regensburg, Dr. Holger Aretz from Hydro and Dr. Yanshan Lou from TU Dortmund for their consistent interests and pertinent suggestions related to my work.

It has been a pleasant and fruitful journey for me to work in the institute only owning to the tremendous help that I gained from all the greatest colleagues, technicians and students. I would like to thank Dr. Goetz Hessling, Ms. Nicole Olles, Ms. Christiane Beumers and Ms. Martina Sparrer for taking care of so many administrative issues for me. Special thanks to Thorsten Labbude, Maria Wildau, Ning Ma, Adrian Rueskamp, Tobias Ingendahl, Julia Daamen, Juergen Dartenne, Wilhelm Meier and Robert Gier for all the aspects concerning experiments, manufacturing specimens, conducting tests, evaluating and discussing results, providing valuable insights and supporting my various ideas and singular wishes. Generous gratitude is also dedicated to my lovely colleagues in the group for creating such a nice atmosphere for working and communication as well as to my excellent master students that provided numerous contributions in obtaining the results of my thesis.

My beloved colleagues, Mick and Moe. It is truly a fortune that we started together and finished together here. There have been too many memories in the past couple of years. It just from time to time occurs to me that we still work together in the office till late in the evening and have dinner together in restaurants during weekends. And Micky, we have even constantly made our girlfriends feel jealous about the companies that we afford to each other and do not even mention the beds that we have shared together. I could not imagine how less fun we would have had in this long run without each other. It is my treasure to have you as colleagues and as friends.

I reserve the deepest part of my heart for my family. My father Zhongru Lian, my mother Ailin Liu: It is your unconditional support, tolerance and love that foster me and push me to pursue higher goals. I will be forever in debt to you for the incredible dedication and sacrifice. The joys brought by my sister Min Lian and especially my two lovely daughters Sophie and Chloe are always the sunshine to start a day.

And the last, Kang, my wife – my biggest fan, my best friend and my forever Little MM... You are the magician that makes this routine, plain and challenging journey so colorful, so fun and so breathtaking. All the measures will after all fade away in this variable life, yet it is only your love to be the constant.

Junhe Lian

Aachen, September 2015.

## Abstract

The damage onset and evolution are of significant importance in the forming processes of high strength steels. These two features and their influence on fracture have challenged the predictive capability of the conventional damage mechanics models. The present thesis contributes to the accurate fracture prediction of both high strength steel sheets and heavy plates by proposing a new generalised hybrid damage mechanics model. A dual-phase steel sheet (DP600) and a high strength low alloy steel plate (S355J2+N), which show very different relation patterns between damage and fracture, are investigated. For both steels, an easy and systematic material parameter calibration procedure with different experiments is designed. Good prediction applying the model to Nakajima tests for the steel sheet and to bending tests for the heavy plate is achieved. This validates the generalised transferability and flexibility of the proposed model for high strength steels with complex damage-fracture relation under various stress states. As the model is formulated in a phenomenological sense, it also suffers from two drawbacks: having a large number of material parameters and a weak link to the material microstructure. Therefore, two approaches are provided to overcome these shortcomings: justified simplification of the model formulation for specific applications and linking the microstructure to the phenomenological material parameters by multiscale modelling.



## Kurzzusammenfassung

Die Schädigungsinitierung und -entwicklung spielen eine signifikante Rolle in den duktilen Versagensmechanismen, die in den Umformprozessen moderner hochfester Stähle dominieren. Dies stellt eine Herausforderung für die konventionellen Schädigungsmodelle dar. In der aktuellen Arbeit wird ein neues hybrides Schädigungsmodell vorgestellt, mit dem eine genaue Beschreibung des Versagens von Stahlblechen verschiedener Dicken erzielt werden kann. Untersucht werden ein Feinblech aus Dualphasen-Stahl (DP600) und ein Grobblech aus einem mikrolegierten Stahl (S355J2+N), die sehr unterschiedliche Schädigungsabhängigkeiten des Versagens aufweisen. Für beide Stähle wird ein einfaches und zuverlässiges Verfahren zur Kalibrierung der Materialparameter anhand von verschiedenen Experimenten entwickelt. Bei Modellanwendungen zur Simulation von Nakajima- und Biegeversuchen konnten gute Übereinstimmungen erreicht werden. Dies bestätigt die allgemeine Übertragbarkeit und die Flexibilität des vorgestellten Modells bei Stahl-Anwendungen, gekennzeichnet durch den komplexen Zusammenhang zwischen Schädigung und Versagen bei unterschiedlichen Spannungszuständen. Da es sich um ein phänomenologisches Modell handelt, leidet es unter zwei Nachteilen: Eine hohe Anzahl von Materialparametern und eine schwache Verbindung zum Gefüge. Zur Überwindung dieser Defizite werden zwei Ansätze präsentiert: zum einen wird die Modellformulierung bei bestimmten Anwendungen vereinfacht und zum anderen wird mithilfe der Multiskalenmodellierung eine Verbindung zwischen dem Gefüge und den phänomenologischen Materialparametern hergestellt.



# Table of contents

|  |     |
|--|-----|
| Acknowledgements .....   | i   |
| Abstract.....  | iii |
| Kurzzusammenfassung.....   | v   |
| Table of contents .....  | vii |
| Notations .....  | xi  |
| Chapter 1 Introduction.....  | 1   |
| 1.1    Background and problem definition .....                                       | 1   |
| 1.2    Aim and scope of the thesis .....   | 6   |
| 1.3    Outline of the thesis.....  | 7   |
| Chapter 2 Theoretical background .....   | 11  |
| 2.1    Definitions.....  | 11  |
| 2.2    Damage mechanism for modern high strength steels.....                         | 13  |
| 2.3    Experimental damage evaluation .....  | 16  |
| 2.3.1    Change of macroscopic physical properties.....                              | 16  |
| 2.3.2    Changes in microscopic microstructural morphology .....                     | 20  |
| 2.4    Numerical damage evaluation .....   | 21  |
| 2.4.1    Ductile damage modelling.....   | 22  |
| 2.4.2    Microstructure based modelling .....  | 27  |
| Chapter 3 A generalised hybrid damage mechanics model .....                          | 31  |
| 3.1    General introduction of the model .....                                       | 31  |
| 3.2    Formulation of the model.....   | 35  |
| 3.2.1    Characterization of stress state.....                                       | 35  |
| 3.2.2    Constitutive equations of the model .....                                   | 37  |
| 3.2.3    Numerical implementation algorithm .....                                    | 41  |
| 3.2.4    Extension of the model with an stress-state-dependent yield criterion ..... | 46  |
| Chapter 4 Materials.....   | 53  |
| 4.1    Material selection.....   | 53  |
| 4.2    Chemical composition .....  | 54  |
| 4.3    Microstructure .....  | 54  |
| Chapter 5 Experimental techniques.....   | 57  |
| 5.1    Tests for parameter calibration and validation .....                          | 57  |

|                  |   |            |
|------------------|---|------------|
| 5.1.1            | Selected tests for steel sheet DP600 .....                                | 61         |
| 5.1.2            | Selected tests for heavy plate S355J2+N .....                             | 64         |
| <b>5.2</b>       | <b>Tests selected for model application.....</b>                          | <b>68</b>  |
| 5.2.1            | Steel sheet: Nakajima test .....  | 68         |
| 5.2.2            | Heavy plate: Bending test .....   | 70         |
| <b>Chapter 6</b> | <b>Material parameter calibration and model validation .....</b>          | <b>73</b>  |
| 6.1              | Material parameter calibration strategy and methodology.....              | 73         |
| 6.2              | Parameter calibration and validation for steel sheet DP600 .....          | 74         |
| 6.2.1            | Parameter calibration .....   | 74         |
| 6.2.2            | Validation and discussion .....   | 85         |
| 6.3              | Parameter calibration and validation for heavy plate S355J2+N.....        | 88         |
| 6.3.1            | Calibration programme and FE models .....                                 | 88         |
| 6.3.2            | Parameter calibration .....   | 91         |
| 6.3.3            | Validation and discussion .....   | 99         |
| 6.4              | Summary of the calibrated material parameters .....                       | 99         |
| <b>Chapter 7</b> | <b>Model application .....</b>  | <b>109</b> |
| 7.1              | Nakajima test of steel sheet DP600.....                                   | 109        |
| 7.1.1            | Motivation of the prediction of forming limits .....                      | 109        |
| 7.1.2            | Experimental data and analysis .....                                      | 110        |
| 7.1.3            | Prediction of the FLC at necking.....                                     | 111        |
| 7.1.4            | Prediction of the FLC at ductile fracture .....                           | 115        |
| 7.2              | Bending test of heavy plate S355J2+N .....                                | 122        |
| 7.2.1            | Motivation of the simulation of the bending test.....                     | 122        |
| 7.2.2            | FE model .....  | 123        |
| 7.2.3            | Results and discussion .....  | 126        |
| 7.3              | Conclusions .....   | 128        |
| <b>Chapter 8</b> | <b>Model improvement to reduce the number of material parameters ..</b>   | <b>131</b> |
| 8.1              | Introduction .....  | 131        |
| 8.2              | Constitutive equations .....  | 132        |
| 8.3              | Experimental and numerical procedures .....                               | 134        |
| 8.4              | Results and discussion.....   | 136        |
| 8.4.1            | Experimental investigation on the damage development during loading ..... | 136        |
| 8.4.2            | Parameter calibration .....   | 138        |

|            |  |     |
|------------|--|-----|
| 8.4.3      | Validation of the model and discussion .....   | 143 |
| 8.5        | Conclusions .....  | 148 |
| Chapter 9  | Including a mechanism description by multiscale modelling .....  | 151 |
| 9.1        | General ideas .....  | 151 |
| 9.2        | Experimental study on the damage initiation .....  | 153 |
| 9.3        | Numerical procedures for RVE simulation.....   | 154 |
| 9.3.1      | RVE construction and data evaluation .....   | 154 |
| 9.3.2      | Flow curve calibration.....  | 159 |
| 9.4        | Results and discussion.....  | 161 |
| 9.4.1      | RVE simulation under uniaxial tension loading condition.....   | 161 |
| 9.4.2      | Prediction of damage initiation under different stress states .....  | 165 |
| 9.5        | Conclusions .....  | 168 |
| Chapter 10 | Conclusions and future studies .....   | 171 |
| 10.1       | Concluding remarks .....   | 171 |
| 10.2       | Future studies .....   | 173 |
| Appendix   | .....  | 177 |
|            | Transformation between the space of $(\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}, \eta)$ and $(\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}_1, \boldsymbol{\varepsilon}_2)$ under the plane stress condition ..... | 177 |
| Literature | .....  | 181 |