

DAMAGE-ORIENTED DESIGN CRITERIA FOR EARTHQUAKE-RESISTANT CONCRETE STRUCTURES

vorgelegt von
M. Sc. Nilay Çelik
geb.in Istanbul

von der Fakultät VI – Planen Bauen Umwelt
der Technischen Universität Berlin
zur Erlangung des akademischen Grades

Doktorin der Ingenieurwissenschaften
- Dr.- Ing. -

genehmigte Dissertation

Promotionsausschuss:

Vorsitzender: Prof. Dr.- Ing.Wolfgang Huhnt
1. Gutachter: Prof. Dr.- Ing. habil. Yuri Petryna
2. Gutachter: Prof. Dr. Ahmet Saygun

Tag der wissenschaftlichen Aussprache: 14. November 2014

Berlin 2015

Hefreihe des Instituts für Bauingenieurwesen
Book Series of the Department of Civil Engineering
Technische Universität Berlin

Band 21

Nilay Çelik

**Damage-Oriented Design Criteria for
Earthquake-Resistant Concrete Structures**

D 83 (Diss. TU Berlin)

Shaker Verlag
Aachen 2015

Bibliographic information published by the Deutsche Nationalbibliothek

The Deutsche Nationalbibliothek lists this publication in the Deutsche Nationalbibliografie; detailed bibliographic data are available in the internet at <http://dnb.d-nb.de>.

Zugl.: Berlin, Techn. Univ., Diss., 2014

Damage-Oriented Design Criteria for Earthquake-Resistant Concrete Structures

Dissertationsschrift von Nilay Çelik
Fakultät VI – Planen, Bauen, Umwelt
der Technischen Universität Berlin

Gutachter: Prof. Dr.- Ing. habil. Yuri Petryna
Prof. Dr. Ahmet Saygun

Tag der wissenschaftlichen Aussprache: 14.11.2014

Copyright Shaker Verlag 2015

All rights reserved. No part of this publication may be reproduced, stored in a retrieval system, or transmitted, in any form or by any means, electronic, mechanical, photocopying, recording or otherwise, without the prior permission of the publishers.

Printed in Germany.

ISBN 978-3-8440-4027-2

ISSN 1868-8357

Shaker Verlag GmbH • P.O. BOX 101818 • D-52018 Aachen
Phone: 0049/2407/9596-0 • Telefax: 0049/2407/9596-9
Internet: www.shaker.de • e-mail: info@shaker.de

to my parents Selma and Prof.Dr. Tuncer Çelik

PREFACE

This work came into existence during the time as a PhD scholar at Technical University Berlin (TUB) and as a research assistant at Istanbul Technical University (ITU). Although a dissertation is an independent contribution to scientific research and development, many people deserve thanks for their help during the study.

First and foremost I would like to express my deepest gratitude to my supervisor Prof. Dr.-Ing. habil. Yuri Petryna from TUB for his guidance, motivation, friendly advices, patience and support throughout this work. My appreciation is also extended to Prof. Dr. Ahmet Saygun from ITU for his time and willingness to serve as second committee member. I would also like to thank Prof. Dr.-Ing. Wolfgang Huhnt for his kindly serving as the chairman of the committee.

I am deeply indebted to TUB for the PhD Fellowship. Moreover, I wish also express my thanks for the permission of ITU to carry on my research at TUB.

I would like to extend my special thanks to my colleagues Dr. Yavuz Durgun from ITU and Dr.-Ing. André Brendike from TUB for their help and discussions.

Thanks are also due to my dear friends in Berlin and in Istanbul. My special thanks are due to Dipl.-Ing. Juliane Stopper and Dr.-Ing. Arda Karasu for their friendly help and support.

Further to all I am specially grateful to my big family including also my husband Nitel for their continuous love, understanding, support and encouragement.

Nilay Çelik

September 2015

ABSTRACT

In the present work, new seismic damage indices are developed as explicit seismic design or assessment criteria for reinforced concrete (RC) moment frames. These new explicit damage indices are mechanically well-founded for nonlinear static and dynamic analyses and applicable both to individual members as local damage index and to entire structure as global damage index. Both indices vary between 0 non-damaged state and 1 failure state.

Recent codes stipulate to design and assess the structure by using performance-based criteria. Here, various performance levels are specified by utilizing either descriptive criteria or displacement limits. However, the damage state of the structure is rather related to an explicit damage index than to its displacement capacity. Considering this fact, a new performance-based design procedure called as damage-based seismic design is proposed by using the new damage indices.

To follow and verify this new design, a reliable finite element program “FRAME” is developed with the new damage indices within the “MATLAB” environment for 2D nonlinear static and dynamic analyses. The plausibility of the new damage indices and the reliability of the program are tested on laboratory structures given in literature. It is seen that the new damage index is more plausible than the Park and Ang damage index and the results of the program “FRAME”, such as horizontal top displacements, correlate better to the experimental results than those of the programs “IDARC2D” and “RUAUMOKO”.

Finally, the proposed damage-based evaluation procedure is performed on a reference frame designed according to the conventional rules of Turkish Earthquake Code (TDY 2007). Hereby, the frame is subjected to pushover and nonlinear dynamic analyses, and additionally to cyclic pushover analysis, which is proposed in the present work to cover the full load cycle. At the end, the damage-based evaluation is compared with the displacement-based evaluation given in TDY 2007 by means of the frame. The comparison shows that the damage state of the frame is much better quantified in damage-based evaluation than in displacement-based one.

ZUSAMMENFASSUNG

In dieser Arbeit sind neue Schädigungsindikatoren als explizite erdbebensichere Bemessungs- oder Bewertungskriterien für momentenbeanspruchte Stahlbetonrahmentragwerke entwickelt worden. Diese neuen expliziten Schädigungsindikatoren sind aus mechanischer Sicht für statisch und dynamisch nichtlineare Analysen gut geeignet und sowohl auf Bauteile als lokaler Schädigungsindex als auch auf gesamte Strukturen als globaler Schädigungsindex anwendbar. Beide Indikatoren liegen zwischen 0 im ungeschädigten Zustand und 1 im Bruchzustand.

Neue Normen fordern zustandsbasierte (performance-based) Kriterien, um die Struktur zu bemessen und zu bewerten. Hierbei werden verschiedene Zustände mit Verwendung von entweder deskriptiven Kriterien oder Verschiebungsgrenzen definiert. Der Schädigungszustand der Struktur weist einen engeren Zusammenhang zum expliziten Schädigungsindex als zur Verschiebungskapazität auf. Aufgrund dieser Tatsache wird eine neue zustandsbasierte Bemessungsprozedur, die im Weiteren schädigungsbasierte erdbebensichere Bemessung genannt wird, mit den neuen Indizes vorgeschlagen.

Um diese neue Erdbebenbemessung durchzuführen und zu überprüfen, ist ein zuverlässiges Finite Elemente Programm „FRAME“ mit den neuen Schädigungsindikatoren in der Umgebung von „MATLAB“ für die ebene statisch und dynamisch nichtlineare Analyse weiterentwickelt worden. Die Plausibilität der neuen Schädigungsindikatoren und die Zuverlässigkeit des Programms sind mithilfe von in der Literatur vorhandenen Ergebnissen experimentell getesteter Strukturen geprüft worden. Dabei wurde festgestellt, dass der neue Schädigungsindikator von höherer Plausibilität ist als der Schädigungsindex von Park und Ang. Die Ergebnisse vom Program „FRAME“, wie maximale horizontale Verschiebungen, stimmen zudem besser mit den experimentellen Ergebnissen überein, als die mit den Programmen „IDARC2D“ und „RUAUMOKO“ ermittelten Werte.

Schließlich wird die vorgeschlagene schädigungsisierte erdbebensichere Bewertungsprozedur an einem Referenzrahmen durchgeführt, der mit den konventionellen, in der Türkischer Erdbebennorm (TDY 2007) angegebenen Regeln entworfen worden ist. Hierbei wird der Referenzrahmen mit der Pushoveranalyse, der nichtlinearen dynamischen Analyse, sowie zusätzlich mit der, im Rahmen dieser Arbeit vorgeschlagenen, zyklischen Pushoveranalyse bewertet, um den ganzen Lastzyklus zu erfassen. Abschließend ist die schädigungsisierte Bewertung mit der in der TDY 2007 angegebenen verschiebungsbasierten Bewertung verglichen worden. Der Vergleich zeigt, dass der Zustand des Rahmens in der schädigungsisierten Bewertung wesentlich genauer als in der verschiebungsbasierten Bewertung quantifiziert worden ist.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

	<u>Page</u>
PREFACE	v
ABSTRACT	vii
ZUSAMMENFASSUNG	ix
TABLE OF CONTENTS.....	xi
LIST OF TABLES	xv
LIST OF FIGURES	xvii
1. INTRODUCTION	1
1.1 Purpose of Thesis	1
1.2 Objectives and Scope	3
2. HYSTERETIC MATERIAL MODEL FOR RC BEAM ELEMENTS	5
2.1 Overview of Existing Material Models	5
2.2 Material Model for RC under Monotonic Loading	6
2.3 Material Model for RC under Cyclic and Dynamic Loading.....	9
2.3.1 Bilinear model.....	11
2.3.2 Clough's degrading stiffness model.....	11
2.3.3 Takeda's degrading stiffness model.....	12
2.3.4 Q-hyst model.....	13
2.3.5 Roufaïl and Meyer model	13
2.3.6 Other hysteretic models	14
2.4 Member Model for RC Beam Elements.....	15
2.4.1 Two and multi-component models	15
2.4.2 One-component model.....	16
2.4.3 Connected two-cantilever model	17
2.4.4 Discrete element model.....	17
2.4.5 Spread plasticity (inelasticity) model.....	18
2.4.6 Subelements model	19
2.5 Implementation of the Material and Member Models	20
3. NONLINEAR FINITE ELEMENT ANALYSIS OF FRAME STRUCTURES	25
3.1 Structural Model in the Framework of the Finite Element Method.....	25
3.2 Nonlinear Quasi-Static Analysis	27
3.2.1 Linearized stiffness relation	27
3.2.2 Incremental-iterative solution procedure	28
3.3 Nonlinear Dynamic Analysis	30
3.3.1 Nonlinear governing equation.....	30
3.3.1.1 Mass matrix.....	31
3.3.1.2 Damping matrix	32
3.3.2 Time integration procedure	33
3.3.4 Implementation of the Nonlinear Finite Element Analysis.....	39
4. EARTHQUAKE-RESISTANT DESIGN	43
4.1 Structural Analysis for Earthquake-Resistant Design	43

4.1.1 Linear-elastic analysis	43
4.1.2 Pushover analysis	45
4.1.3 Nonlinear dynamic analysis	48
4.2 Performance-Based Earthquake Engineering	48
4.3 Equivalent Seismic Load Method	54
4.4 Performance-Based Evaluation with respect to the TDY 2007	57
4.5 Seismic Ground Motion	65
5. DEVELOPMENT OF DAMAGE MEASURES FOR RC FRAMES	71
5.1 Overview of Existing Damage Measures	71
5.1.1 Local damage measure	74
5.1.1.1 Non-cumulative indices.....	74
5.1.1.2 Deformation-based cumulative indices	76
5.1.1.3 Energy-based cumulative indices.....	76
5.1.1.4 Combined indices	78
5.1.2 Global damage measure	79
5.1.2.1 Weighted average indices.....	79
5.1.2.2 Indices based on modal or structural response parameters	80
5.1.2.3 Indices based on continuum damage theory	81
5.1.2.4 Indices based on in-situ inspections	82
5.2 Energy-Based Damage Measure (EBDM)	82
5.2.1 EBDM for monotonic loading.....	82
5.2.1.1 Local damage measure	83
5.2.1.2 Global damage measure	84
5.2.2 EBDM for cyclic and dynamic loading.....	87
5.2.2.1 Global damage measure	87
5.2.2.2 Local damage measure	88
5.3 Implementation of the Energy-Based Damage Measure.....	88
5.4 Damage-Based Design	91
6. NONLINEAR STRUCTURAL AND DAMAGE ANALYSIS ON TEST STRUCTURES.....	93
6.1 Two-storey Frame under Monotonic Loading	93
6.2 Canti-lever Column under Cyclic Loading	98
6.3 One-storey Frame under Dynamic Loading	100
6.4 Five-storey Frame under Dynamic Loading	103
6.5 Comparision of EBDM with Existing Damage Measures	107
7. COMPARISION OF TRADITIONAL DESIGN, DISPLACEMENT-BASED EVALUATION AND DAMAGE-ORIENTED EVALUATION FOR A REFERENCE EARTHQUAKE-RESISTANT STRUCTURE.....	127
7.1 Design of the Reference Reinforced Concrete Frame according to the Turkish Earthquake Code TDY 2007	127
7.2 Application of EBDM to Damage-Based Evaluation of the Reference Frame	131
7.3 Performance-Based Evaluation of the Reference Frame according to the Displacement-Based Analysis Rules.....	151
7.4 Comparision of Damage-Based Evaluation with Displacement-Based Evaluation.....	157
8. CONCLUSIONS AND OUTLOOK	159
8.1 Summary and Conclusions	159
8.2 Future Work and Outlook.....	162
REFERENCES	165