Linear Time-Periodic Control and Analysis of Helicopter Air Resonance

A thesis accepted by the Faculty of Aerospace Engineering and Geodesy of the University of Stuttgart in partial fulfillment of the requirements for the degree of Doctor of Engineering Sciences (Dr.-Ing.)

by

Jean-Baptiste MAURICE

from Rennes, France

Committee chair: Prof. Dr.-Ing. Walter FICHTER
Committee member: Prof. Dr. Norman M. WERELEY

Date of defense: May 26, 2014

Institute of Flight Mechanics and Control University of Stuttgart

Fortschrittsberichte des Instituts für Flugmechanik und Flugregelung

Band 2

Jean-Baptiste Maurice

Linear Time-Periodic Control and Analysis of Helicopter Air Resonance

D 93 (Diss. Universität Stuttgart)

Shaker Verlag Aachen 2014

Bibliographic information published by the Deutsche Nationalbibliothek The Deutsche Nationalbibliothek lists this publication in the Deutsche Nationalbibliografie; detailed bibliographic data are available in the Internet at http://dnb.d-nb.de.

Zugl.: Stuttgart, Univ., Diss., 2014

Copyright Shaker Verlag 2014 All rights reserved. No part of this publication may be reproduced, stored in a retrieval system, or transmitted, in any form or by any means, electronic, mechanical, photocopying, recording or otherwise, without the prior permission of the publishers.

Printed in Germany.

ISBN 978-3-8440-3085-3 ISSN 2199-3483

Shaker Verlag GmbH • P.O. BOX 101818 • D-52018 Aachen

Phone: 0049/2407/9596-0 • Telefax: 0049/2407/9596-9

Internet: www.shaker.de • e-mail: info@shaker.de

À mon Père, pour m'avoir toujours offert la chance de ne pas avoir à me fixer de limite.

Acknowlegements

A major research project like this is never the work of anyone alone. Looking back at those years, working at the Institute of Flight Mechanics and Control, and afterwards at Airbus Helicopters, I think with great pleasure and gratitude of all the people that supported, and encouraged me during this time. The contributions of many different people, in their different ways, have made this possible. I would like to express my appreciation especially to the following.

Foremost, I would like to express my deepest gratitude to my advisor and committee chair Prof. Dr.-Ing. Walter Fichter, who gave me the opportunity to work at the Institute in very pleasant conditions, maintaining my research assistantship though the years. He has supported me throughout my thesis with his advices and knowledge whilst allowing me the room to work in my own way. He also provided exceptional technical guidance in the field of control, as well as moral support, being a knowledge refuge I could always turn to.

I would also like to thank Prof. Norman Wereley of the defence committee for all his voluntary help concerning this thesis. Beyond setting the remarkable fundament of this thesis with his own work, his encouragements at a time of doubt helped me regain trust in the path I had set for this project. His support and comments since then have been a great source of inspiration and contributed much to the quality of this thesis. Prof. Wereley also deserves special thanks for coming to my defence, undergoing the inconveniences of transatlantic flights twice.

Support for this research provided by Airbus Helicopters is also gratefully acknowledged. I am particularly indebted to Dr. Peter Konstanzer for offering me the chance to fulfil this research in the first place. My sincere thanks also go to Oliver Dieterich, who virtually guided me through all the years from the master's thesis up to now, sharing his valuable expertise on helicopter aeromechanics and aeroelastics, as well as his exceptional advises and comments regarding this work. Bernhard Enenkl also deserves many credits for his support concerning this work. Bernd has always been available and willing to share his immense experience and expertise. These discussions on blade

dynamic layout and particularities of active blades have been so enriching and pleasant for me. I would not like to miss the opportunity to thank Antoine Rabourdin and Stephan Dreher as well, without whom the flight test campaigns would not have been the same.

My PhD experience has been rewarding in many sense. I will foremost remember it was the time I met my wife Sabine, who deserves a lot of credit for her love, and patience during many evenings of soliloquies on rotor blade equations of motion. My parents, Béatrice and Patrice, and my wife's parents, Gisela and Norbert, deserve a lot of credit for always providing the kind of support only parents can give.

Finally, the time at the Institute would not have been the same without my office mates. Dr. Alexander Joos deserves many thanks for his invaluable comments on my PhD presentation, always asking the right question to get the best out of the material. Frank King and I struggled up with many helicopter related issues, but also during the flight test preparation getting dSpace models right. Many hours were spent with Marc Hirth, learning about odd space objects such as LISA, but also sharing impressions on the new coffee flavour at the Institute. Discussions with Martin Trittler and Florian Weimer on a wide range of control topics were the opportunity for Michael Gros and me to gain decent technical Swabian knowledge. But foremost, evenings together after work grilling in the German way at the Institute, or visiting the Christmas market of Stuttgart made it four fantastic intercultural years.

Jean-Baptiste Maurice, July 2014 in Munich.

Contents

A	cknov	vlegements	V					
Co	onten	ts	ix					
N	omen	clature	хi					
ΑI	ostra	ct	χV					
Kı	urzfas	ssung	vii					
1	Intr	oduction	1					
	1.1	Problem Statement	1					
	1.2	Research Contributions	13					
	1.3	Structure of the Thesis	14					
2	Linear Time-Periodic Systems							
	2.1	Mathematical Preliminaries	18					
		2.1.1 Norms and Normed Spaces	18					
		2.1.2 Systems under Consideration	20					
	2.2	The Fourier Series						
	2.3	The Floquet Theory						
	2.4	LTI versus LTP System Response to a Complex Exponential	27					
		2.4.1 LTI System Response	28					
		2.4.2 LTP System Response	29					
3	Fred	quency-Lifted Representation	31					
	3.1	The Harmonic Transfer Function	32					
	3.2	Computation of the HTF	33					
	3.3	LTP System Response Using the HTF	35					
	3.4	Real Harmonic Transfer Function	38					
	3.5	Truncation of the HTF	39					

	3.6	\mathcal{H}_{∞} -Norm of Frequency-Lifted Systems	41
	3.7		41
	3.8	Examples and Applications	42
		3.8.1 Elementary Examples	43
		3.8.2 Simple Mechanical System	46
4	Heli	copter with Active Rotor 5	53
	4.1	Time-Periodicity in Helicopter Aeromechanics	54
	4.2	Accounting for the TEFs in the Modeling	55
		4.2.1 Blade Dynamics with TEFs	56
		4.2.2 Blade Aerodynamics with TEFs	57
	4.3	CAMRAD II Numerical Model	59
	4.4	LTI Identification from Flight Test Data	63
		4.4.1 Measurement of Eigenvalues	64
		4.4.2 Linearity Check and Transfer Behavior	65
	4.5	LTP Model Analysis	67
5	Acti	ive Lead-Lag Damping Enhancement 7	73
	5.1	Mechanisms of Stabilization with TEFs	74
		5.1.1 General Ideas and Previous Work	74
		5.1.2 Blade Dynamics with TEFs	75
	5.2	Controller for Lead-Lag Damping Enhancement	32
	5.3	LTI Design in MBC	33
	5.4	LTP Optimized Gain	88
	5.5	Flight Test Results	92
		5.5.1 Control Trade-Off and Time Responses	93
		5.5.2 Transfer Gain	94
		5.5.3 Interaction with Pilot Control)()
		5.5.4 Damping Enhancement)()
		5.5.5 Transfer of Motion During Lead-Lag Enhancement 10)2
	5.6	Fulfillment of Specifications)3
6	нті	F Framework for Robust Stability Analysis)7
	6.1	HTF Framework for the μ -Analysis)8
		6.1.1 Hill Determinant)9
		6.1.2 Nyquist Criterion for Frequency-Lifted Systems)9
	6.2	Lifted Perturbations	11

	6.3	Struct	ured Singular Value of the Infinite HTF Operator	113
	6.4	Small	μ -Theorem for the HTF Operator	. 113
	6.5	or Lifted Systems	. 115	
7	Арр	lication	s of the Robust Stability Analysis to LTP Systems	117
	7.1	Bench	mark Example	. 118
	7.2	Helico	pter with Active Rotor	. 120
		7.2.1	Definition of the Uncertainties for the Rotor Model	. 121
		7.2.2	Parametric Uncertainty for Varying Operating States	. 121
		7.2.3	Unstructured Uncertainties for Unmodelled Dynamics	. 125
		7.2.4	Generalized Plant for Mixed LTP Uncertainties	. 127
0	C		and Outlook	131
8	Sun	illiary a	and Outlook	131
Α	Арр	endix		- 1
	A.1	Multi-	Blade Coordinates	. I
	A.2	Stabili	ization of the Airframe Modes	. II
		A.2.1	LQR Approach	. III
		A.2.2	Pole Placement and LQR	. IV
	A.3	3 DoF	Analytical Active Blade Model	. V
	A.4	Linear	rity Check	. X
Bi	bliog	raphy		XVII
Cι	ırricu	lum Vi	tae	XXXI