

---

# **Model-Based Cross-Design for Wireless Networked Control Systems with Limited Resources**

## **Modellgestütztes Cross-Design für funkbasierte Regelungssysteme mit beschränkten Ressourcen**

---

Vom Fachbereich Elektrotechnik und Informationstechnik  
der Technischen Universität Kaiserslautern  
zur Erlangung des akademischen Grades

**Doktor der Ingenieurwissenschaften (Dr.-Ing.)**

genehmigte Dissertation

von  
**M.Sc. (Univ.) Dipl.-Ing. (FH) BEng (Hons) Alain Chamaken**  
geb. in Douala (Kamerun)

D 386

Eingereicht am: 09.01.2013

Tag der mündlichen Prüfung: 03.05.2013

Dekan des Fachbereichs: Prof. Dr.-Ing. Norbert Wehn

Promotionskommission:

Vorsitzender: Prof. Dr.-Ing. Steven Liu  
(*Technische Universität Kaiserslautern*)

Berichterstattende:  
Prof. Dr.-Ing. habil. Lothar Litz  
(*Technische Universität Kaiserslautern*)  
Prof. Dr. Reinhard Gotzhein  
(*Technische Universität Kaiserslautern*)



Berichte aus der Automatisierungstechnik

**Alain Tierry Chamaken Kamde**

**Model-Based Cross-Design for Wireless Networked  
Control Systems with Limited Resources**

Modellgestütztes Cross-Design für funkbasierte  
Regelungssysteme mit beschränkten Ressourcen

D 386 (Diss. Technische Universität Kaiserslautern)

Shaker Verlag  
Aachen 2013

**Bibliographic information published by the Deutsche Nationalbibliothek**

The Deutsche Nationalbibliothek lists this publication in the Deutsche Nationalbibliografie; detailed bibliographic data are available in the Internet at <http://dnb.d-nb.de>.

Zugl.: Kaiserslautern, TU, Diss., 2013

Copyright Shaker Verlag 2013

All rights reserved. No part of this publication may be reproduced, stored in a retrieval system, or transmitted, in any form or by any means, electronic, mechanical, photocopying, recording or otherwise, without the prior permission of the publishers.

Printed in Germany.

ISBN 978-3-8440-2014-4

ISSN 0945-4659

Shaker Verlag GmbH • P.O. BOX 101818 • D-52018 Aachen

Phone: 0049/2407/9596-0 • Telefax: 0049/2407/9596-9

Internet: [www.shaker.de](http://www.shaker.de) • e-mail: [info@shaker.de](mailto:info@shaker.de)

## **Abstract**

**English-** This work shall provide a foundation for the *cross-design* of wireless networked control systems with limited resources. A *cross-design* methodology is devised, which includes principles for the modeling, analysis, design, and realization of low cost but high performance and intelligent wireless networked control systems. To this end, a framework is developed in which control algorithms and communication protocols are jointly designed, implemented, and optimized taking into consideration the limited communication, computing, memory, and energy resources of the low performance, low power, and low cost wireless nodes used. A special focus of the proposed methodology is on the prediction and minimization of the total energy consumption of the wireless network (i.e. maximization of the lifetime of wireless nodes) under control performance constraints (e.g. stability and robustness) in dynamic environments with uncertainty in resource availability, through the joint (offline/online) adaptation of communication protocol parameters and control algorithm parameters according to the traffic and channel conditions. Appropriate optimization approaches that exploit the structure of the optimization problems to be solved (e.g. linearity, affinity, convexity) and which are based on Linear Matrix Inequalities (LMIs), Dynamic Programming (DP), and Genetic Algorithms (GAs) are investigated. The proposed *cross-design* approach is evaluated on a testbed consisting of a real lab plant equipped with wireless nodes. Obtained results show the advantages of the proposed *cross-design* approach compared to standard approaches which are less flexible.

**German-** Diese Arbeit soll die Grundlage für das Cross-Design von funkbasierten Regelungssystemen mit beschränkten Ressourcen legen. Es wird eine Cross-Design Methodik entwickelt, welche gleichzeitig die Ebenen Control (Regelalgorithmus), Communication (Protokolle) und Computing (Energie, Speicherkapazität und Rechenleistung) betrachtet. Ziel dieser Methodik ist der gemeinsame Entwurf von Regelalgorithmen und Kommunikationsprotokollen, die aufeinander abgestimmt werden, unter Berücksichtigung von beschränkten Ressourcen, wie Rechenleistung, Energievorrat und Speicherkapazität, der eingesetzten Funkknoten. Eines der Hauptziele ist die Vorhersagbarkeit und Optimierung der Lebensdauer der Funkknoten bei gleichzeitiger Einhaltung einer Mindestregelgüte in dynamischen Umgebungen mit Unsicherheiten bei der Verfügbarkeit von Ressourcen, durch eine gemeinsame (offline/online) Anpassung der Reglerparameter und Protokollparameter an Kanal- und Traffic-Zustände. Methodisch gesehen werden unterschiedliche Formen der Optimierung unter Einhaltung von Nebenbedingungen und Betrachtung der Eigenschaften (z.B. Linearität, Affinität, Konvexität) untersucht, sowohl auf Basis der Linearen Matrixungleichungen (LMIs), Dynamische Programmierung (DP), als auch der Genetischen Algorithmen (GAs). Die Garantie einer Mindestlebensdauer der Funkknoten bzw. deren Optimierung ist dabei das Hauptziel. Die vorgeschlagene Cross-Design Methodik wird an einem Testbed, bestehend aus einer realen Regelstrecke und ausgewählten Funkknoten, evaluiert. Ergebnisse zeigen die Vorteile des Cross-Design-Ansatzes gegenüber Standardansätzen, die weniger flexibel sind.



## Acknowledgment

This work is the summary of four and a half years of extensive research at the Institute of Automatic Control of the University of Kaiserslautern. Results obtained could not be achieved without the help, assistance, support, and encouragement of several persons. I would like to start by expressing my gratitude to my doctoral advisor Professor Lothar LITZ for giving me the opportunity to work and do research in the new emerging field of Wireless Networked Control System (WNCS). I'm deeply grateful for his guidance, advice, encouragement and for the intellectual freedom necessary to perform independent research.

Beside Professor Lothar LITZ, I would also like to express my sincere gratitude to the other members of the examination board: The chairman Professor Steven LIU (University of Kaiserslautern) and Professor Reinhard GOTZHEIN (University of Kaiserslautern).

As a member of the Institute of Automatic Control research team, I had the privilege to be part of a wonderful family. I'm grateful to my colleagues for all the interesting discussions we had not only on my work, but also on their own works and other interesting topics. Thank you, dear colleagues, for your friendship, honesty, humor, and for being so open-minded. I always felt welcome in Kaiserslautern and you have definitely broadened my horizon through several thoughts about the German way of living and thinking. A special thank to Monika KUNZ for the unconditional help and support in coping with administrative as well as private issues. To Sven BECKER, Manfred ENGEL, and Thomas JANZ, thanks for the great support in all technical issues.

My sincere thanks to all students who contributed to this work by solving parts of the thesis relevant problems as part of their diploma and master thesis or through their work as student research assistants: Steve FANKEM for the implementation of optimal control structures for the inverted pendulum, Vanessa ROMERO for the extensive bibliography research on the state of the art in the design of Wireless Networked Control Systems, Stefan SCHNEIDER for the implementation of dedicated MAC protocols for the MicaZ wireless node, Jean NEMPE for the implementation of an IMC for the inverted pendulum, Rudi JERGES for the implementation of a serial communication interface for the inverted pendulum testbed, Jiang ZHE, Théody CHONGOUA, and Valentine TCHA-TOKEY for conducting several experiments on the inverted pendulum testbed.

This work was part of the Priority Programme 1305 of the German Research Foundation (DFG): *Control Theory of Digitally Networked Dynamical Systems*. I would like to thank all the programme participants for the interesting discussions during several seminars and workshops. A special thank to Marc KRÄMER for the constructive discussions in the field of wireless communications and for providing a code loader for the Imote2 wireless node.

To Thomas STEFFEN, Andreas HAUPT, Stefan SCHNEIDER, and Nicole SCHWALBACH: Many thanks for proofreading the manuscript and hinting at a considerable amount of flaws I have eliminated with your help.

Finally, my heartfelt gratitude to my family, especially to my father who passed away two years ago, for their unconditional love, patience, support, and encouragement.

Kaiserslautern, May 2013

Alain Chamaken



In memory of my father KAMDE NGANDJUI Claude Laurent  
R.I.P.

To Ulrich Adrien, Fayola Chanecia, Lise Gäelle and Line Chloe



# Contents

<b>1</b>	<b>Introduction</b>	<b>1</b>
1.1	Motivation . . . . .	1
1.2	Motivating examples . . . . .	3
1.3	Important new aspects . . . . .	4
1.4	Target of the thesis . . . . .	5
1.5	Organization of the thesis . . . . .	7
<b>2</b>	<b>Wireless Networked Control Systems</b>	<b>11</b>
2.1	History . . . . .	11
2.2	Structures and technologies . . . . .	12
2.2.1	General considerations . . . . .	12
2.2.2	Control loop topologies . . . . .	13
2.2.3	Communication technologies . . . . .	16
2.3	Typical problems . . . . .	19
2.3.1	Bandwidth limitations . . . . .	19
2.3.2	Time delays . . . . .	20
2.3.3	Losses and quasi losses . . . . .	21
2.3.4	Limited computing and energy resources . . . . .	22
2.4	Design approaches . . . . .	22
2.4.1	Overview . . . . .	22
2.4.2	Controller design approaches . . . . .	23
2.4.2.1	Sampling and delay . . . . .	23
2.4.2.2	Packet dropouts . . . . .	25
2.4.2.3	Approaches combining delays and packet losses . . . . .	26
2.4.3	Communication design approaches . . . . .	29
2.4.4	Cross-design approaches . . . . .	30
2.5	Summary and outcome . . . . .	32
<b>3</b>	<b>Inverted Pendulum Testbed</b>	<b>33</b>
3.1	Overview . . . . .	33
3.2	Inverted Pendulum . . . . .	34
3.3	Wireless nodes . . . . .	34
3.4	Interface hardware . . . . .	36
3.5	Software . . . . .	37
<b>4</b>	<b>Simulation environment for WNCs</b>	<b>39</b>
4.1	Problem formulation . . . . .	39
4.2	HiL simulator structure . . . . .	40

4.3	Component description . . . . .	41
4.3.1	Plant component . . . . .	41
4.3.2	Network components . . . . .	42
4.3.3	Controller component . . . . .	42
4.4	Validation on the inverted pendulum . . . . .	43
4.5	Derivation of QoS bounds . . . . .	47
4.6	Summary . . . . .	48
<b>5</b>	<b>Tailored WNCS communication protocols</b>	<b>49</b>
5.1	Introduction . . . . .	49
5.2	Layered structure of the WNCS protocol stack . . . . .	50
5.2.1	WNCS protocol stack . . . . .	50
5.2.2	Network topology . . . . .	52
5.2.3	Superframe structure . . . . .	53
5.2.4	Frame format . . . . .	54
5.2.5	Power consumption . . . . .	55
5.3	MAC Protocols for WNCSs . . . . .	56
5.3.1	Master-Slave MAC protocol . . . . .	56
5.3.2	TDMA MAC protocol . . . . .	58
5.3.3	CSMA/CA MAC protocol . . . . .	59
5.4	Power control algorithm . . . . .	61
5.4.1	Wireless radio model . . . . .	62
5.4.2	PER power control . . . . .	63
5.5	Node lifetime models . . . . .	64
5.6	Experimental results . . . . .	67
5.7	Summary . . . . .	69
<b>6</b>	<b>Proposed cross-design framework</b>	<b>71</b>
6.1	Introduction . . . . .	71
6.2	Cross-design methodology . . . . .	71
6.3	Cross-design modeling . . . . .	74
6.3.1	Plant dynamics . . . . .	74
6.3.2	Control model . . . . .	75
6.3.3	State estimator . . . . .	76
6.3.4	Controller design . . . . .	78
6.4	Cross-design procedure . . . . .	79
6.5	Summary . . . . .	80
<b>7</b>	<b>Results of the proposed cross-design approach</b>	<b>83</b>
7.1	Experimental setup . . . . .	83
7.1.1	Inverted pendulum system . . . . .	84
7.1.2	Wireless communication protocols . . . . .	87
7.2	Results of the cross-design procedure . . . . .	89
7.3	Results of the cross-design optimization . . . . .	96
7.4	Summary . . . . .	99

<b>8 Summaries</b>	<b>101</b>
8.1 Summary in English . . . . .	101
8.2 Kurzfassung in deutscher Sprache (Extended summary in German) . . . . .	103
<b>A Appendix</b>	<b>109</b>
A.1 Inverted pendulum system . . . . .	109
A.1.1 Mathematical modeling . . . . .	109
A.1.2 Linearization . . . . .	110
A.2 Control structures . . . . .	111
A.2.1 Cascaded controller . . . . .	112
A.2.2 IMC controller . . . . .	112
A.2.3 State feedback controller . . . . .	113
A.2.3.1 Pole placement controller design . . . . .	114
A.2.3.2 LQR controller design . . . . .	114
A.2.3.3 Filter design . . . . .	115
A.2.3.4 Observer design . . . . .	115
A.2.4 H-infinity controller . . . . .	116
A.2.5 Results . . . . .	117
A.2.5.1 Performance index for the QoC . . . . .	117
A.2.5.2 Simulation results . . . . .	118
A.2.5.3 Experimental results . . . . .	120
A.3 Overview of the IEEE 802.15.4-2006 protocol standard . . . . .	121
A.3.1 Architecture and components . . . . .	121
A.3.2 Frame structure and data transfer . . . . .	123
A.3.3 Physical layer specification . . . . .	124
A.3.4 MAC sublayer specification . . . . .	125
<b>Nomenclature</b>	<b>127</b>
<b>Bibliography</b>	<b>136</b>