

The Two-Dimensional, Rectangular, Guillotineable-Layout Cutting Problem with Defects

Inauguraldissertation
zur Erlangung des akademischen Grades
Doctor rerum politicarum

vorgelegt und angenommen
an der Fakultät für Wirtschaftswissenschaft
der Otto-von-Guericke-Universität Magdeburg

Verfasser:	Mohsen Afsharian, Ph.D.
Geburtsdatum und -ort:	13.07.1981 in Karaj
Arbeit eingereicht am:	07.11.2012
Gutachter der Dissertation:	Prof. Dr. Gerhard Wäscher PD. Dr. Andreas Bortfeldt
Datum der Disputation:	05.12.2012

The Two-Dimensional, Rectangular, Guillotineable-Layout Cutting Problem with Defects

A dissertation submitted by

Mohsen Afsharian, Ph.D.

in partial fulfillment of the requirements
for the degree of Doctor of Philosophy (Ph.D.) in Management
Department of Business Administration, Management Science
Otto-von-Guericke University Magdeburg, Germany

Supervisor

Prof. Dr. Gerhard Wäscher

Dean of the faculty: Prof. Dr. Karl-Heinz Paqué

Evaluation committee: Prof. Dr. Gerhard Wäscher
PD. Dr. Andreas Bortfeldt
Prof. Dr. Karl Inderfurth
Prof. Dr. Karim Sadrieh
Prof. Dr. Peter Reichling

December 2012
Magdeburg

Operations Research

Mohsen Afsharian

**The Two-Dimensional, Rectangular, Guillotineable-
Layout Cutting Problem with Defects**

Shaker Verlag
Aachen 2013

Bibliographic information published by the Deutsche Nationalbibliothek

The Deutsche Nationalbibliothek lists this publication in the Deutsche Nationalbibliografie; detailed bibliographic data are available in the Internet at <http://dnb.d-nb.de>.

Zugl.: Magdeburg, Univ., Diss., 2012

Copyright Shaker Verlag 2013

All rights reserved. No part of this publication may be reproduced, stored in a retrieval system, or transmitted, in any form or by any means, electronic, mechanical, photocopying, recording or otherwise, without the prior permission of the publishers.

Printed in Germany.

ISBN 978-3-8440-1612-3

ISSN 1862-6327

Shaker Verlag GmbH • P.O. BOX 101818 • D-52018 Aachen

Phone: 0049/2407/9596-0 • Telefax: 0049/2407/9596-9

Internet: www.shaker.de • e-mail: info@shaker.de

For my wife Sara, with much love and many thanks

Abstract

This thesis deals with a two-dimensional cutting problem in which small rectangular items of given types are to be cut from a rectangular large object which contains several defects. It is assumed that the number of pieces of each small item type, which can be cut from the large object, is not limited. In addition, all cuts are restricted to be of the guillotine-type and the number of stages, which are necessary to perform all cuts, can either be limited or not. Furthermore, no small item must overlap with a defective region. The objective is to maximize the value of the cut small items.

In the presence of defects, the definition of discretization sets and the identification of duplicated patterns are revisited. For the exact solution of the above-described problem, dynamic programming algorithms are presented. Moreover, the computational complexity of the algorithms is analyzed and the factors which affect the running time of the algorithms are identified.

The exact algorithms are able to solve the problem instances of small and medium sizes. For large problem instances for which optimal solutions cannot be computed efficiently, the dynamic programming algorithms are modified into algorithms in which an adaptation of a variable beam search approach equipped with several heuristic rules is applied.

The proposed algorithms are evaluated by means of a series of detailed numerical experiments which are performed on problem instances extracted from the literature, as well as on randomly generated instances. The experiments do not only illustrate how the proposed method can identify optimal solutions of the test problem instances, but they also explain why already existing methods fail to do so. Furthermore, the computational results indicate that the exact and heuristic recursive-based methods are able to overcome efficiently some structural and computational limitations for solving problem instances of realistic sizes.

Contents

Abstract.....	i
Contents	ii
List of Figures.....	vi
List of Tables	ix
List of Algorithms	xiii
List of Symbols	xiv
List of Abbreviations	xviii
1. Introduction.....	1
1.1 Background and Motivation.....	2
1.2 Scope and Objectives	3
1.3 Outline.....	5
2. Fundamentals	9
2.1 Problem Definition.....	10
2.2 Typological Categorization and Complexity	15
2.2.1 Typology	15
2.2.2 Complexity	16
2.3 Literature Review.....	19
2.3.1 The Standard 2D_SLOPP without Defects	19
2.3.2 Cutting Problems with Defects	28
3. Elements of the Approach	35
3.1 Intermediate Plates.....	36
3.2 Defective Regions	37
3.3 Contaminated and Pure Plates.....	39
3.4 Elementary Tree-search Procedure	40
3.4.1 Components of the Tree-search Procedure	41
3.4.2 Generation of Cutting Patterns.....	43
3.5 Duplicated Patterns	45

3.6 Discretization Sets.....	46
3.6.1 The 2D_UG_SLOPP without Defects.....	46
3.6.2 The 2D_UG_SLOPP with Defects.....	51
3.7 Illustrative Example	60
4. Exact Algorithms	65
4.1 Stage-unrestricted 2D_UG_SLOPP_D	66
4.1.1 Recursive Functions	66
4.1.2 Dynamic Programming Algorithms	68
4.1.2.1 Pure Plates	68
4.1.2.2 Contaminated Plates	70
4.2 Stage-restricted 2D_UG_SLOPP_D	71
4.2.1 Recursive Functions	71
4.2.2 Dynamic Programming Algorithms	74
4.2.2.1 Pure Plates	75
4.2.2.2 Contaminated Plates	77
4.3 Computational Aspects of the Algorithms.....	78
4.3.1 Worst-case Time Complexity.....	78
4.3.2 Upper Bounds on the Cardinality of the Discretization Sets	79
5. Key Parameters Affecting the Running Time of the Algorithms	82
5.1 Number of Item Types	83
5.2 Number of Defects	83
5.3 Relative Size of the Dimensions of Item Types and Defects.....	83
5.4 Absolute Size of the Dimensions of the Large Object.....	84
5.5 Shape of the Large Object.....	85
6. Elements of the Beam Search Approach	87
6.1 General Procedure	88
6.2 An Adapted Tree-search Procedure	91
6.3 Upper and Lower Bounds	93
6.3.1 Upper Bounds.....	93
6.3.2 Lower Bounds	97

6.4 Evaluation Criteria	98
6.4.1 Discretization Set-based Criterion	99
6.4.2 Rank-based Criterion.....	102
6.4.3 Upper Bound-based Criterion	104
6.4.4 Lower Bound-based Criterion	105
7. Heuristic Algorithms	106
7.1 Outline of the Algorithms	107
7.2 Dynamic Programming Beam Search Algorithm 1 (DBH1)	108
7.2.1 Pure Plates	108
7.2.2 Contaminated Plates	109
7.3 Dynamic Programming Beam Search Algorithm 2 (DBH2)	111
7.3.1 Pure Plates	111
7.3.2 Contaminated Plates	113
7.4 Dynamic Programming Beam Search Algorithm 3 (DBH3)	115
7.4.1 Pure Plates	115
7.4.2 Contaminated Plates	117
8. Outline of the Numerical Experiments	119
8.1 Purpose of the Experiments	120
8.2 Data Sets.....	121
8.2.1 Set # 1: Benchmark Instances	121
8.2.1.1 Instances with a Single Defect	121
8.2.1.2 Instances with Multiple Defects	122
8.2.2 Set # 2: Randomly Generated Instances.....	124
8.2.2.1 Dimensions of the Large Object.....	124
8.2.2.2 Number of Small Item Types	125
8.2.2.3 Value of the Small Item Types.....	125
8.2.2.4 Dimensions of the Small Item Types	125
8.2.2.5 Number of Defects	126
8.2.2.6 Dimensions of the Defects	126
8.2.2.7 Location of the Defects	126

8.2.2.8 Basic Classes of Problem Instances	126
8.3 Setting of Parameters for the Heuristic Algorithms	127
8.3.1 Heuristic Algorithm 1 (DBH1)	128
8.3.2 Heuristic Algorithm 2 (DBH2)	128
8.3.3 Heuristic Algorithm 3 (DBH3)	129
8.4 Performance Measures	130
8.5 Implementation and Hardware	131
9. Results from the Numerical Experiments.....	132
9.1 Exact Approach	133
9.1.1 Computational Results for Data Set #1	133
9.1.1.1 Performance Evaluation	133
9.1.1.2 Comparison to Benchmark Algorithms.....	138
9.1.2 Computational Results for Data Set #2	141
9.1.2.1 Performance Evaluation	141
9.1.2.2 Key Factor Analysis	145
9.2 Heuristic Approach	153
9.2.1 Computational Results for Data Set #1	153
9.2.1.1 Performance Evaluation	153
9.2.1.2 Comparison to Benchmark Algorithms.....	155
9.2.2 Computational Results for Data Set #2	157
9.2.2.1 Performance Evaluation	157
9.2.2.2 Comparison of the Heuristic Algorithms	168
10. Conclusions and Outlook on Future Research.....	172
10.1 Conclusions	173
10.2 Outlook on Future Research.....	175
Bibliography	177
Appendix	185

List of Figures

Figure 1. The two-dimensional cutting problem.....	10
Figure 2: A natural upper bound for the number of times a particular small item type j can appear in a feasible cutting pattern.....	11
Figure 3: Orientation of small item types	12
Figure 4: Guillotineable (a) and non-guillotineable cutting patterns (b)	13
Figure 5: Three-stage (a) and four-stage (b) cutting patterns	13
Figure 6: Representation of defective regions	14
Figure 7: Two-dimensional, Rectangular, Single Large Object Placement Problem (2D_R_SLOPP)	15
Figure 8. Relation between P , NP , NP -complete, and NP -hard	17
Figure 9. Recursive cutting	20
Figure 10: Non-normalized cutting pattern (a) and the corresponding normalized cutting pattern (b).....	21
Figure 11. Recursive cutting (a) and the corresponding complete path of the AND/OR- graph (b) (figure taken from Morabito and Pureza 2010, p.305).....	23
Figure 12. Homogeneous cutting pattern for plate N , consisting of item type j	24
Figure 13. A complete path of an AND/OR-graph with a depth limit of 2	24
Figure 14. Two ways of producing a small item of type j	27
Figure 15. Three stages of guillotine cuts proposed by Hahn (1968).....	29
Figure 16. Three stages of guillotine cuts proposed by Scheithauer and Terno 1988	29
Figure 17. Defective regions which cannot be covered by any small item	30
Figure 18. The different ways to divide a plate with one defect into four non-defective plates by Carnieri et al. (1993).....	32
Figure 19. The different ways to divide a plate with one defect into two non-defective plates proposed by Vianna and Arenales (2006)	32
Figure 20. The different ways to divide a plate with one defect into four non-defective plates proposed by Neidlein et al. 2008) (figure taken from Neidlein et al. 2008, p.12)	33

Figure 21: A division and its intermediate plates	36
Figure 22: Dimensions and location of intermediate plates on the large object.....	37
Figure 23: Dimensions and locations of intermediate plates after having performed a vertical/ horizontal cut	37
Figure 24: Representation of defective regions	38
Figure 25: Dimensions and locations of intermediate plates on the resulting intermediate plates	38
Figure 26: Dimensions and locations of defects on the large object	39
Figure 27: Contaminated and pure plates	40
Figure 28: 0-plates which can be discarded.....	42
Figure 29: Intermediate plates which can be discarded.....	42
Figure 30: Vertical cuts on a pure and a contaminated plate.....	43
Figure 31: Horizontal cuts on a pure and a contaminated plate.....	43
Figure 32. (a) A complete path of the elementary tree-search procedure, representing the cutting pattern depicted in (b)	44
Figure 33: Effects of symmetry after having performed a vertical cut.....	46
Figure 34: Effects of symmetry after having performed a horizontal cut.....	46
Figure 35: Case 1, the position of the cut is determined by non-negative integer combinations of the lengths of the small items.....	48
Figure 36: Case 2, the position of the cut is greater than of some non-negative integer combinations of the lengths of the small items.....	49
Figure 37: Case 2, the position of the cut is less than of any non-negative integer combinations of the lengths of the small items.....	49
Figure 38: Case 1, the position of the cut is determined by non-negative integer combinations of the lengths of the small items and/or defects	53
Figure 39: Case 2, the position of the cut is greater than of some non-negative integer combinations of the lengths of the small items and/or defects	54
Figure 40: Case 3, the position of the cut is less than of any non-negative integer combinations of the lengths of the small items.....	54
Figure 41: The graphical representation of the solution found by considering the first scenario	62

Figure 42: The graphical representation of the solution found by considering the second scenario	62
Figure 43: The graphical representation of the solution found by considering the third scenario	63
Figure 44: The beam search tree with a (fixed) beam width equal to one	88
Figure 45: A standard beam search procedure.....	89
Figure 46: The beam search tree with a variable beam width	90
Figure 47: Selecting the most promising divisions on a level of the tree-search procedure.....	92
Figure 48: The useable area of a contaminated plate.....	95
Figure 49: The graphical representation of the optimal solution of instance #9	137
Figure 50: The graphical representation of the optimal solution of instance #12	138

List of Tables

Table 1. Small item types of the data set	61
Table 2. Defects of the data set	61
Table 3. Computational results for the problem instance	63
Table 4. Small item types from the data set of Carnieri et al. (1993)	122
Table 5. Defects from the data set of Carnieri et al. (1993)	122
Table 6. Defects from the data set of Vianna and Arenales (2006)	123
Table 7. Dimensions and shapes of the large object of the randomly generated data set	125
Table 8. Parameters chosen for the generation of problem instances	127
Table 9. Parameter values which will be used by the first heuristic algorithm	128
Table 10. Parameter values which will be used by the second heuristic algorithm	129
Table 11. Parameter values which will be used by the third heuristic algorithm	130
Table 12. Results provided by the proposed exact method for the problem instances of set # 1	134
Table 13. Results provided by the proposed exact method by considering different discretization sets for the problem instances of set # 1	135
Table 14. Number of elements in the vertical and horizontal discretization sets for the problem instances of set # 1	136
Table 15. Results for the problem instances of set # 1 obtained by the proposed method and by the benchmark algorithms	139
Table 16. Results of the comparison for different numbers of item types	142
Table 17. Results of the comparison for different numbers of defects	143
Table 18. Results of the comparison for different size of item types	145
Table 19. Average computing times (in seconds per instance) for the problem classes of category #1	146
Table 20. Average computing times (in seconds per instance) for the problem classes of category #2	146

Table 21. Average computing times (in seconds per instance) for the problem classes of category #3	147
Table 22. Average cardinality of the discretization sets for different sizes of item types.....	148
Table 23. Average cardinality of the discretization sets for different number of item types.....	149
Table 24. Average computing times (in seconds per instance) for different numbers of item types in categories #1, #2, and #3	150
Table 25. Average cardinality of the discretization sets for different numbers of item types in categories #1, #2, and #3	151
Table 26. Average computing times (in seconds per instance) for different numbers of defects in categories #1, #2 and #3	151
Table 27. Average cardinality of the discretization sets for different numbers of defects in categories #1, #2, and #3.....	152
Table 28. Average cardinality of the discretization sets different item type dimensions in categories #1, #2, and #3.....	153
Table 29. Average computing times (in seconds per instance) for different size of item types in categories #1, #2, and #3	153
Table 30. Results obtained by the heuristic algorithms and the proposed exact algorithm for the problem instances of set #1	154
Table 31. Objective function values and computing times (in seconds) obtained by the heuristic algorithms as well as the benchmark algorithms for the problem instances of set #1	156
Table 32. Results obtained by DBH1 and the proposed exact algorithm for different numbers of item types	158
Table 33. Results obtained by DBH2 and the proposed exact algorithm for different numbers of item types	159
Table 34. Results obtained by DBH3 and the proposed exact algorithm for different numbers of item types	160
Table 35. Results obtained by DBH1 and the proposed exact algorithm for different numbers of defects.....	162

Table 36. Results obtained by DBH2 and the proposed exact algorithm for different numbers of defects.....	163
Table 37. Results obtained by DBH3 and the proposed exact algorithm for different numbers of defects.....	164
Table 38. Results obtained by DBH1 and the proposed exact algorithm for different size of item types.....	165
Table 39. Results obtained by DBH2 and the proposed exact algorithm for different size of item types.....	166
Table 40. Results obtained by DBH3 and the proposed exact algorithm for different size of item types.....	167
Table 41. Results obtained by the heuristic algorithms and the proposed exact algorithm for category #1.....	168
Table 42. Results obtained by the heuristic algorithms and the proposed exact algorithm for category #2.....	169
Table 43. Results obtained by the heuristic algorithms and the proposed exact algorithm for category #3.....	169
Table 44. Results obtained by each heuristic algorithm in all categories and the proposed exact algorithm.....	170
Table A1. Results obtained by DPC and DPD for the problem classes of category #1 .	186
Table A2. Results obtained by DBH1 and the proposed exact algorithm (DPD) for the problem classes of category #1.....	187
Table A3. Results obtained by DBH1 and the proposed exact algorithm (DPD) for the problem classes of category #2.....	188
Table A4. Results obtained by DBH1 and the proposed exact algorithm (DPD) for the problem classes of category #3.....	189
Table A5. Results obtained by DBH2 and the proposed exact algorithm (DPD) for the problem classes of category #1.....	190
Table A6. Results obtained by DBH2 and the proposed exact algorithm (DPD) for the problem classes of category #2.....	191
Table A7. Results obtained by DBH2 and the proposed exact algorithm (DPD) for the problem classes of category #3.....	192

Table A8. Results obtained by DBH3 and the proposed exact algorithm (DPD) for the problem classes of category #1	193
Table A9. Results obtained by DBH3 and the proposed exact algorithm (DPD) for the problem classes of category #2.....	194
Table A10. Results obtained by DBH3 and the proposed exact algorithm (DPD) for the problem classes of category #3	195

List of Algorithms

Algorithm #1. Determination of the characteristic function $CP(ox, oy, x, y)$	40
Algorithm #2. Determination of the vertical tableau $V_r(z_x)$	58
Algorithm #3. Determination of the Horizontal tableau $H_r(z_y)$	59
Algorithm #4. Determination of the discretization sets $\Omega_x(x)$ and $\Omega_y(y)$	60
Algorithm #5. Computation of the function $g(x, y)$	69
Algorithm #6. Computation of the function $F(x, y)$	69
Algorithm #7. Computation of the function $F(ox, oy, x, y)$	71
Algorithm #8. Computation of the function $g_s(x, y)$	75
Algorithm #9. Computation of the functions $F_k(x, y)$ and $G_k(x, y)$	76
Algorithm #10. Computation of the functions $F_k(ox, oy, x, y)$ and $G_k(ox, oy, x, y)$	77
Algorithm #11. Computation of the upper bounds $UB(x, y)$ and $UB(ox, oy, x, y)$	96
Algorithm #12. Computation of the lower bounds $LB(x, y)$ and $LB(ox, oy, x, y)$	98
Algorithm #13. Determination of the discretization sets, $\Omega_x^{PH}(x)$, $\Omega_y^{PH}(y)$, $\Omega_x^{CH}(x)$, $\Omega_y^{CH}(y)$, after applying the discretization set-based criterion	101
Algorithm #14. Determination of the set $list(N)$ by means of the rank-based criterion	103
Algorithm #15. Computation of the function $F_1^H(x, y)$	109
Algorithm #16. Computation of the function $F_1^H(ox, oy, x, y)$	110
Algorithm #17. Computation of the function $F_2^H(x, y)$	112
Algorithm #18. Computation of the function $F_2^H(ox, oy, x, y)$	113
Algorithm #19. Computation of the function $F_3^H(x, y)$	116
Algorithm #20. Computation of the function $F_3^H(ox, oy, x, y)$	118

List of Symbols

B	set of nodes
BV	best variable value
CP	characteristic function
$Depth(P)$	depth bound for pure plates
$Depth(C)$	depth bound for contaminated plates
$D_{x,y}$	defective area of a contaminated plate $N = (ox, oy, x, y)$
E	beam width
$F(ox, oy, x, y)$	optimal objective function value for a contaminated plate (ox, oy, x, y)
$F(x, y)$	optimal objective function value for a pure plate (x, y)
$F^1(ox, oy, x, y)$	objective function value computed by the first heuristic algorithm for a contaminated plate (ox, oy, x, y)
$F^1(x, y)$	objective function value computed by the first heuristic algorithm for a pure plate (x, y)
$F^2(ox, oy, x, y)$	objective function value computed by the second heuristic algorithm for a contaminated plate (ox, oy, x, y)
$F^2(x, y)$	objective function value computed by the second heuristic algorithm for a pure plate (x, y)
$F^3(ox, oy, x, y)$	objective function value computed by the third heuristic algorithm for a contaminated plate (ox, oy, x, y)
$F^3(x, y)$	objective function value computed by the third heuristic algorithm for a pure plate (x, y)
$F_k(ox, oy, x, y)$	optimal objective function value for the k -stage cutting pattern of a contaminated plate (ox, oy, x, y) , where the cut at the first stage is a vertical one
$F_k(x, y)$	optimal objective function value for the k -stage cutting pattern of a pure plate (x, y) , where the cut at the first stage is a vertical one
$G_k(ox, oy, x, y)$	optimal objective function value for the k -stage cutting pattern of a contaminated plate (ox, oy, x, y) , where the cut at the first stage is a horizontal one
$G_k(x, y)$	optimal objective function value for the k -stage cutting pattern of a pure plate (x, y) , where the cut at the first stage is a horizontal one
$g_s(x, y)$	maximal objective function value which corresponds to the allocation of the most valuable small item type to plate (x, y)
$g(x, y)$	maximal objective function value of all homogeneous cutting patterns of a plate (x, y)
$H_{m+n}(z_y)$	horizontal tableau for the computation of horizontal discretization set
i	defect type
$innermax$	temporary maximum value
$innermin$	temporary minimum value

j	item type
k	number of stages
L	set of all possible positions for vertical cuts
L_0	length of the large object
$\overline{L_0}$	vertical discretization sets for the large object (SLOPP)
$ \overline{L_0} $	number of elements within the vertical discretization set (SLOPP)
$LB(ox, oy, x, y)$	lower bound for the objective function value of the optimal cutting pattern for a contaminated plate $N = (ox, oy, x, y)$
$LB(x, y)$	lower bound for the objective function value of the optimal cutting pattern for a pure plate $N = (x, y)$
l_i^d	length of defect i
$list(ox, oy, x, y)$	list of elite divisions related to a contaminated plate $N(x, y)$
$list(x, y)$	list of elite divisions related to a pure plate $N(x, y)$
l_j^s	length of small item type j
m	number of small item types
N	intermediate plate
O	complexity function
(ox, oy)	location of an intermediate plate
$PositiveInfinity$	a big number
$p(x)$	element nearest to x in the vertical discretization set
$q(y)$	element nearest to y in the horizontal discretization set
T_B	average computing time obtained by an exact algorithm
$temp$	temporary variable
T_H	average computing time obtained by a heuristic algorithm
$UB(ox, oy, x, y)$	upper bound for the objective function value of the optimal cutting pattern for a contaminated plate $N = (ox, oy, x, y)$
$UB(x, y)$	upper bound for the objective function value of the optimal cutting pattern for a pure plate $N = (x, y)$
v_j	value of small item type j
$V_{m+n}(z_x)$	vertical tableau for the computation of vertical discretization set
W	set of all possible positions for horizontal cuts
W_0	width of the large object
$\overline{W_0}$	horizontal discretization sets for the large object (SLOPP)
$ \overline{W_0} $	number of elements within the horizontal discretization set (SLOPP)
w_i^d	width of defect i
w_j^s	width of small item type j
(x, y)	dimensions of an intermediate plate
$\overline{x}$	vertical discretization set for (x, y) (SLOPP)

$\bar{y}$	horizontal discretization set for (x, y) (SLOPP)
Z_B	average objective function value obtained by an exact algorithm
Z_H	average objective function value obtained by a heuristic algorithm
z_x	position of a vertical cut
z_y	position of a horizontal cut
α_j	non-negative variable attached to the length of small item type j
β_j	non-negative variable attached to the width of small item type j
δ_i	non-negative variable attached to the length of defect i
θ^c	parameter used in the third heuristic algorithm for contaminated plates
θ^p	parameter used in the third heuristic algorithm for pure plates
λ_x^c	vertical parameter used in the first heuristic algorithm for contaminated plates
λ_x^p	vertical parameter used in the first heuristic algorithm for pure plates
λ_y^c	horizontal parameter used in the first heuristic algorithm for contaminated plates
λ_y^p	horizontal parameter used in the first heuristic algorithm for pure plates
μ_i	non-negative variable attached to the width of defect i
ρ_l	smallest length of item types
ρ_w	smallest width of item types
ρ^s	parameter used for the generation of small item types
σ	length and width of a quadratic large object
τ_j	number of times item type j appears in the large object
φ_l	step function (lower border)
φ_u	step function (upper border)
ω_r^x	non-negative variable for the representation of vertical spaces
ω_r^y	non-negative variable for the representation of horizontal spaces
$\Omega_x^{CH}(x)$	subset of the vertical discretization set for contaminated plates
$\Omega_x(L_0)$	vertical discretization sets for the large object (SLOPP_D)
$ \Omega_x(L_0) $	number of elements within the vertical discretization set $\Omega_x(L_0)$
$\Omega_x^{PH}(x)$	subset of the vertical discretization set for pure plates
$\Omega_x(x)$	vertical discretization set for (ox, oy, x, y) (SLOPP_D)
$\Omega_y^{CH}(y)$	subset of the horizontal discretization set for contaminated plates
$\Omega_y^{PH}(y)$	subset of the horizontal discretization set for pure plates
$\Omega_y(W_0)$	horizontal discretization sets for the large object (SLOPP_D)
$ \Omega_y(W_0) $	number of elements within the horizontal discretization set $\Omega_y(W_0)$
$\Omega_y(y)$	horizontal discretization set for (ox, oy, x, y) (SLOPP_D)
$\hat{o}_l^c$	lower bound-based parameter used in the second heuristic algorithm for

	contaminated plates
∂_l^p	lower bound-based parameter used in the second heuristic algorithm for pure plates
∂_u^c	upper bound-based parameter used in the second heuristic algorithm for contaminated plates
∂_u^p	upper bound-based parameter used in the second heuristic algorithm for pure plates

List of Abbreviations

AAR	average approximation ratio
Av	average
BSC	best strip cutting
com. time	computing time
C&P	cutting and packing
CTR	computational time ratio
DBH1	dynamic programming beam search algorithm 1
DBH2	dynamic programming beam search algorithm 2
DBH3	dynamic programming beam search algorithm 3
DH	depth-first and hill-climbing strategies
DOV	average deviation of the objective function values
DP	discretization procedure
DPC	exact dynamic programming algorithm equipped with all integer positions for guillotine cuts
DPD	exact dynamic programming algorithm with the suggested definition of the discretization sets
FP	filling procedure
GRASP	greedy randomized adaptive search procedure
IZD	instances with a zero deviation
KD	knapsack problems, which are solved by dynamic programming algorithms
NP	non-deterministic polynomial
OFV	objective function value
p	polynomial
SB	simple block
sec	second
SGP	strips generation procedure
SSSCSP	single stock size cutting stock problem
TDC	two-dimensional cutting
1D_U_SLOPP	one-dimensional, unconstrained, single large object placement problem
2D_UG_SLOPP	two-dimensional, rectangular, unconstrained, guillotineable-layout, single large object placement problem without defects
2D_UG_SLOPP_D	two-dimensional, rectangular, unconstrained, guillotineable-layout, single large object placement problem with defects