

# **Characterization of Gamma-Ray Detectors with Tagged Photons**

Vom Fachbereich Physik  
der Technischen Universität Darmstadt  
zur Erlangung des Grades  
eines Doktors der Naturwissenschaften (Dr. rer. nat.)  
genehmigte

## **Dissertation**

von  
**Dipl. Phys. Linda Schnorrenberger**  
aus Karlsruhe

April 2011

Darmstadt  
D 17

Dissertation eingereicht am 01. Februar 2011  
Mündliche Prüfung am 11. April 2011

Prüfer

Professor Dr. rer. nat. Norbert Pietralla (Referent)  
Professor Dr. rer. nat. Thomas Aumann (Korreferent)  
Professor Dr. rer. nat. Bernd Stühn  
Professor Dr. rer. nat. Robert Roth

Berichte aus der Physik

**Linda Schnorrenberger**

**Characterization of Gamma-Ray Detectors  
with Tagged Photons**

D 17 (Diss. TU Darmstadt)

Shaker Verlag  
Aachen 2012

**Bibliographic information published by the Deutsche Nationalbibliothek**

The Deutsche Nationalbibliothek lists this publication in the Deutsche Nationalbibliografie; detailed bibliographic data are available in the Internet at <http://dnb.d-nb.de>.

Zugl.: Darmstadt, Techn. Univ., Diss., 2011

Copyright Shaker Verlag 2012

All rights reserved. No part of this publication may be reproduced, stored in a retrieval system, or transmitted, in any form or by any means, electronic, mechanical, photocopying, recording or otherwise, without the prior permission of the publishers.

Printed in Germany.

ISBN 978-3-8440-0802-9

ISSN 0945-0963

Shaker Verlag GmbH • P.O. BOX 101818 • D-52018 Aachen

Phone: 0049/2407/9596-0 • Telefax: 0049/2407/9596-9

Internet: [www.shaker.de](http://www.shaker.de) • e-mail: [info@shaker.de](mailto:info@shaker.de)

*To my family*

```
while [not good]
do
    better
done
```



## **Abstract**

Photon detectors are used for various kinds of experiments in the field of nuclear physics. The response function of photon detectors on gamma rays is complex and needs to be considered during the analysis of experimental data. This thesis experimentally investigates such response functions for different detector types in a large energy range (2 - 20 MeV).

The experiments described in this thesis were performed at the NEPTUN photon tagging facility, which provides a mono energetic photon source with tunable energy and intensity. As these were the first experiments to be performed at NEPTUN, within this study extensive development and commissioning of the setup also with respect to future campaigns were accomplished. The setup is shown to be well suited for the systematic study of detector response functions, while measurements of nuclear reactions need further improvements.



## **Zusammenfassung**

Photonendetektoren finden in zahlreichen Experimenten in der Kernphysik Anwendung. Die Antwortfunktion von Photonendetektoren beim Nachweis von Gammastrahlung ist komplex und muss bei der Auswertung experimenteller Daten berücksichtigt werden.

Im Rahmen dieser Arbeit wurden solche Antwortfunktionen für verschiedene Detektortypen in einem großen Energiebereich (2 - 20 MeV) experimentell untersucht.

Die Experimente, die in dieser Arbeit behandelt werden, wurden am Niederenergiephotonentagger NEPTUN durchgeführt, der eine monoenergetische Photonenquelle darstellt, bei der Energie und Intensität über einen weiten Bereich stufenlos einstellbar sind. Bei den Experimenten handelt es sich um die erste Messkampagne an NEPTUN. Dies machte umfangreiche Entwicklungen nötig, die im Rahmen dieser Arbeit mit Hinblick auch auf zukünftige Experimente durchgeführt wurden.

Der Messplatz zeigt sich als hervorragend geeignet zur systematischen Untersuchung von Detektor-Antwortfunktionen. Die notwendigen Erweiterungen, um auch Kernreaktionen zu untersuchen, werden aufgeführt.



# Contents

<b>1</b>	<b>Introduction</b>	<b>1</b>
1.1	On Detector Response Functions . . . . .	1
1.2	Sources of Gamma Rays . . . . .	4
1.3	Gamma-Ray Detection . . . . .	5
1.4	Exploration of DRFs . . . . .	9
<b>2</b>	<b>Experimental Setup</b>	<b>11</b>
2.1	The Principle of Photon Tagging . . . . .	11
2.2	The Low Energy Photon Tagger NEPTUN at the S-DALINAC . . . . .	12
2.2.1	Radiator Target . . . . .	13
2.2.2	Design of the Magnet . . . . .	13
2.2.3	Focal-Plane Detector Array . . . . .	13
2.2.4	Experimental Setup . . . . .	14
2.2.5	Data Acquisition (DAQ) . . . . .	15
2.2.6	Experimental Setups Used for this Work . . . . .	17
2.3	Commissioning for NEPTUN Experiments . . . . .	17
2.3.1	Commissioning of the Focal Plane Detector Array . . . . .	17
2.3.2	Beam Tuning for NEPTUN . . . . .	18
<b>3</b>	<b>Data Processing</b>	<b>23</b>
3.1	C++ class <i>assignedEvent</i> . . . . .	23
3.2	Determination of the tagging Gates . . . . .	24
3.3	From raw to tagged Histograms . . . . .	25
3.4	Correcting for random Events . . . . .	26
3.5	Towards better Statistics . . . . .	26

<b>4 Monte-Carlo Simulations</b>	<b>33</b>
4.1 Implementation of the NEPTUN setup in GEANT4 . . . . .	33
4.1.1 Physics List . . . . .	34
4.1.2 Particle Source . . . . .	34
4.1.3 Geometrical Setup . . . . .	34
4.1.4 Customized Stepping Action . . . . .	36
4.1.5 File Writer . . . . .	36
4.1.6 Messenger Classes . . . . .	36
<b>5 Analysis of the Detector Response Functions</b>	<b>37</b>
5.1 Understanding of the dominant DRF components . . . . .	37
5.2 Quantification of DRFs . . . . .	42
5.2.1 Complete fit of the DRF . . . . .	42
5.2.2 SE to FEP and DE to FEP ratios . . . . .	43
5.2.3 Comparison of measured and simulated spectra using a $\chi^2$ test . . . . .	45
<b>6 Results</b>	<b>47</b>
6.1 Detector Response Functions . . . . .	47
6.1.1 High Purity Germanium Detectors . . . . .	48
6.1.2 LaBr <sub>3</sub> Detectors . . . . .	56
6.1.3 <i>ProtoZero</i> - CsI(Tl) Detectors . . . . .	63
6.1.4 Results from Monte Carlo Investigations . . . . .	66
6.2 Properties of the NEPTUN Tagging Facility . . . . .	68
6.2.1 Definition of the photon beam spot . . . . .	68
6.2.2 Determination of the achievable tagging rate . . . . .	69
6.2.3 Test experiment on the $^{11}\text{B}(\gamma, \gamma')$ reaction . . . . .	71
<b>7 Summary and Outlook</b>	<b>81</b>
7.1 Photon Flux Calibration and Energy Stability . . . . .	82
7.2 Background Conditions . . . . .	84
<b>A Groups for Tagging Conditions</b>	<b>94</b>

<i>Contents</i>	iii
<b>B Determined SE to FEP and DE to FEP Ratios</b>	<b>95</b>
B.1 HPGe Detector, SE to FEP Ratio . . . . .	95
B.2 LaBr <sub>3</sub> Detector, SE to FEP Ratio . . . . .	99

# List of Figures

1.1	Effect of calorimeter DRF on the differential cross section . . . . .	4
1.2	Interaction cross sections for Germanium . . . . .	6
1.3	Qualitative view of a HPGe DRF . . . . .	8
2.1	Principle of photon tagging . . . . .	12
2.2	Dipole magnet with inclined pole faces . . . . .	14
2.3	Master-trigger logic . . . . .	16
2.4	Experimental setup . . . . .	18
3.1	Choice of tagging gate . . . . .	25
3.2	Illustration of the effect of tagging . . . . .	27
3.3	Correction for random coincidences . . . . .	28
3.4	Energy width of focal plane scintillating fibers . . . . .	29
3.5	Comparison of single-fiber tags to a group tag . . . . .	31
4.1	Theta distribution for bremsstrahlung from radiator target . . . . .	35
5.1	Simulated DRF: Events scattered from environment into crystal . . . . .	38
5.2	Simulated DRF: Multiple Compton scattering . . . . .	39
5.3	Simulated DRF: Multiple Compton scattering part of the DRF . . . . .	40
5.4	Simulated DRF: Pair production part of the DRF . . . . .	41
5.5	Simulated DRF: With and without pair production component . . . . .	42
5.6	Illustration of difficult background around the double-escape peak . . . . .	44
6.1	Linearity of HPGe detector . . . . .	49
6.2	Measured DRFs for the HPGe detector 1 . . . . .	50
6.3	Measured DRFs for the HPGe detector 2 . . . . .	51
6.4	Measured DRFs for the HPGe detector 3 . . . . .	52
6.5	SE to FEP ratio for a 100 % HPGe detector . . . . .	53

6.6	SE to FEP ratio for the HPGe detector, comparison to Monte Carlo simulations . . . . .	54
6.7	Comparison of measured and simulated DRFs (HPGe) . . . . .	55
6.8	Energy calibration of the LaBr <sub>3</sub> detector . . . . .	57
6.9	Measured DRFs for the LaBr <sub>3</sub> detector . . . . .	58
6.10	SE to FEP and DE to FEP ratio for the LaBr <sub>3</sub> . . . . .	59
6.11	SE to FEP ratio for the LaBr <sub>3</sub> , comparison to Monte Carlo simulations . .	60
6.12	DE to FEP ratio for the LaBr <sub>3</sub> , comparison to Monte Carlo simulations . .	61
6.13	Comparison of measured and simulated DRFs (LaBr <sub>3</sub> ) . . . . .	62
6.14	Schematic drawing of <i>ProtoZero</i> . . . . .	63
6.15	Measured DRFs for the CsI detector . . . . .	64
6.16	Comparison of measured and simulated DRFs (CsI) . . . . .	65
6.17	Simulation: SE to FEP ratio dependent on the beam position . . . . .	66
6.18	Simulation: Investigation of geometrical effects on the SE to FEP ratio . .	68
6.19	Photon beam profile . . . . .	69
6.20	Tagging rate . . . . .	71
6.21	Focal plane detector array as it was used in <i>feb08</i> . . . . .	73
6.22	Tagging conditions for the <sup>11</sup> B( $\gamma, \gamma'$ ) experiment . . . . .	74
6.23	<sup>11</sup> B: untagged spectrum . . . . .	75
6.24	<sup>11</sup> B: tagged spectrum . . . . .	76
6.25	<sup>11</sup> B: sensitivity limit . . . . .	77
6.26	<sup>11</sup> B: sensitivity limit for random corrected spectra . . . . .	78
6.27	<sup>11</sup> B: Peak to background ratios . . . . .	79
7.1	Relative energy resolution of a 3" $\times$ 3" LaBr <sub>3</sub> detector . . . . .	84
7.2	Resolution, efficiency and throughput of a 3" $\times$ 3" LaBr <sub>3</sub> detector, different count rates . . . . .	85
7.3	Shielding effect of wood on neutrons . . . . .	86
7.4	Neutron-detector efficiency . . . . .	87

# List of Tables

5.1	Simulated DRF: Pair production . . . . .	41
6.1	Simulation: Dependence of peak ratios on geometrical parameters . . . . .	67
6.2	Tagging conditions for the $^{11}\text{B}(\gamma, \gamma')$ reaction . . . . .	72
6.3	Estimated and measured peak areas for the 5020 keV transition of the $^{11}\text{B}(\gamma, \gamma')$ reaction . . . . .	75
6.4	$^{11}\text{B}$ : Peak to background ratios . . . . .	78