

# **Seamless Handover in Mobile IP-based Next Generation Networks**

**- A Cross-Layer Solution for Ubiquitous Communication  
over Optimized Routes in IPv6 Networks -**

**Genehmigte Dissertation  
zur Erlangung des akademischen Grades  
Doktor der Ingenieurwissenschaften (Dr.-Ing.)  
der Fakultät für Elektrotechnik und Informationstechnik  
der Technischen Universität Dortmund**

**von  
Faqir Zarrar Yousaf (M.Sc.)**

Hauptreferent: Prof. Dr.-Ing. Christian Wietfeld  
Korreferent: Prof. Dr.-Ing. Jürgen Götze  
Dissertation eingereicht am: 20. November 2009  
Tag der mündlichen Prüfung: 26. April 2010



Dortmunder Beiträge zu Kommunikationsnetzen und -systemen

Band 2

**Faqir Zarrar Yousaf**

**Seamless Handover in Mobile IP-based  
Next Generation Networks**

A Cross-Layer Solution for Ubiquitous Communication  
over Optimized Routes in IPv6 Networks

D 290 (Diss. Technische Universität Dortmund)

Shaker Verlag  
Aachen 2010

**Bibliographic information published by the Deutsche Nationalbibliothek**

The Deutsche Nationalbibliothek lists this publication in the Deutsche Nationalbibliografie; detailed bibliographic data are available in the Internet at <http://dnb.d-nb.de>.

Zugl.: Dortmund, Technische Univ., Diss., 2010

Copyright Shaker Verlag 2010

All rights reserved. No part of this publication may be reproduced, stored in a retrieval system, or transmitted, in any form or by any means, electronic, mechanical, photocopying, recording or otherwise, without the prior permission of the publishers.

Printed in Germany.

ISBN 978-3-8322-9310-9

ISSN 1867-4879

Shaker Verlag GmbH • P.O. BOX 101818 • D-52018 Aachen

Phone: 0049/2407/9596-0 • Telefax: 0049/2407/9596-9

Internet: [www.shaker.de](http://www.shaker.de) • e-mail: [info@shaker.de](mailto:info@shaker.de)

# Acknowledgements

I am greatly indebted to a lot of people for support, advice and guidance during the entire course of my research. First of all I would like to express my sincere gratitude to my supervisor Prof. Dr.-Ing. Christian Wietfeld for giving me an opportunity and the freedom to choose and work in one of the most interesting topics in the field of telecommunication engineering. I would like to thank him for not only supporting my work but also for shaping my thoughts, interests and general outlook. Furthermore I would like to thank Prof. Dr.-Ing. Jürgen Götz, my co-supervisor, for his support and recognition of my work and efforts and for evaluating my thesis. I would also like to thank Prof. Dr.-Ing. Christian Rehtanz and Dr.-Ing. Wolfgang Endemann for recognizing and appreciating the worth of my research. Thanks also to Higher Education Commission (HEC), Pakistan and N-W.F.P. University of Engineering and Technology, Pakistan for funding my research.

My sincere thanks to Christian Mueller, Alain Tigyo, Christian Bauer (of DLR), George Todorov and Tian Tian for their contribution to this research through important discussions, valuable comments and invaluable scientific contribution and also to the entire OMNeT++ community. Thanks also to Andreas Lewandowsky, Thang Tran, Dr. Shahid Jabbar and Ralf Burda for proof reading my thesis, and to all members of the Communication Networks Institute for extending invaluable assistance during the course of my research.

I would say that finishing my thesis is a big reward, but making so many friends during this time is a much bigger reward and for that I would like to extend my appreciation to Ralf and his wife Astrid for adopting me and my family.

Last but not the least, I am grateful to my wife Mahwash, daughter Raeesa and son Musa for their understanding and unfailing support and sacrificing their share of time with me. This thesis is dedicated to them.

Faqir Zarrar Yousaf  
(faqir.yousaf@tu-dortmund.de)

Dortmund, April 2010



# Abstract

In order to provide seamless handover services with minimum handover latency and packet loss, IETF has proposed *Fast Mobile IPv6 (FMIPv6)* mobility management protocol which enhances the performance and operational scope of the standard *Mobile IPv6 (MIPv6)* protocol. However, there are technical obstacles and performance issues that need to be addressed before FMIPv6 can be considered feasible for broad deployment in Next Generation Networks.

In the work described in this thesis, different novel solutions are presented that addresses the many open performance issues identified along the operational spectrum of the FMIPv6 protocol. The main objective and motivation is to enhance the seamless performance of the FMIPv6 handover process. The performance of the proposed solutions is tested and analyzed against the existing solutions by means of simulation experiments and analytical calculations. The accuracy of the simulation model has been validated in detail against an experimental test bed.

With regards to packet losses incurred during the candidate access point discovery process, a prerequisite for the *Candidate Access Router Discovery (CARD)* protocol, two iterative scanning algorithms namely the *Full Channel Iterative Scan (FCIS)* and *Single Channel Iterative Scan (SCIS)* have been developed. It is shown that this approach improves not only the throughput performance significantly but also the accuracy of the handover decision process. In consideration of the experience gained from the FCIS and SCIS investigation and the FMIPv6's reliance on the CARD protocol, a new *Multi-Hop Discovery of Candidate Access Routers (MHD-CAR)* protocol has been designed that reduces the signaling load on the air link; and also the delay due to the advance discovery process of the next access router. MHD-CAR also provides a *distributed cross-layer platform* to enhance inter-layer cooperation between the mobility functions of the network layer and the data link layer in order to improve the operational capabilities of the mobility management process.

Another major contribution of this thesis is the design and analysis of a novel method called *Proactive Bindings for FMIPv6 (PB-FMIPv6)* protocol that optimizes the FMIPv6 protocol by reducing the tunneling load during the time the Mobile Node is negotiating a handover with the new access router. It also decouples the dependence of the FMIPv6 protocol on the speed of the mobile entity and the timing of the protocol initiation.

Also addressed is the fact that future networks will not only consist of single mobile nodes but also mobile IP subnets with multiple stations (e.g., buses, trains etc.). To manage the mobility of such entities, termed as *Mobile Networks*, IETF has proposed *Network Mobility (NEMO)* protocol but it has lacked to specify a Route Optimization mechanism. The performance inefficiencies due to the absence of such a mechanism get compounded for *nested Mobile Network* architectures owing to *pin-ball routing* phenomenon. This issue is addressed by proposing a solution called *NEst Route Optimization for NEMO (NERON)*, which is an efficient and light-weight solution expected to compliment the standard NEMO protocol in the future networks.

As part of the work, an extensive event-driven simulation framework has been developed and portion of it released to the public, which has solicited a very good response from the developers and research community. Besides, the proposed contributions have also been presented at various forums in the form of conference/workshop proceedings and book chapters. Also noteworthy is the fact that the various solution proposals have been put forward to the IETF standardization body as Internet Drafts, such as PB-FMIPv6, NERON and MHD-CAR, where the last two have been introduced in the context of a research project on mobile transmission of confidential data for fire and rescue services. In the future, the solution concepts developed in this thesis will be used for extending IP based communication services to Unmanned Aerial Systems.

# Zusammenfassung

Um in zukünftigen, vollständig Internet-basierten Netzen unterbrechungsfreie Handover-Prozesse mit minimaler Verzögerungen und Paketverlusten realisieren zu können, wurde in der IETF (Internet Engineering Task Force) das Fast Mobile IPv6 (FMIPv6) Mobilitätsmanagement-Protokoll vorgeschlagen. Auch wenn sich wesentliche Leistungsparameter mit FMIPv6 gegenüber dem bekannten Mobile IPv6 (MIPv6) Protokoll verbessern lassen, sind verschiedene technische Probleme bisher nicht gelöst und behindern daher die breite Einführung des FMIPv6 in den Netzen der nächsten Generation.

In hier vorgelegte Arbeit werden verschiedene Lösungsansätze vorgestellt, die offene Probleme adressieren und zu einer substantiellen Verbesserung der Leistungsfähigkeit der Handoverprozessen in IP-basierten Netzen führen. Die Leistungsfähigkeit der erarbeiteten Lösungsansätze wurde im Detail mit Hilfe simulativer und analytischer Methoden vergleichend evaluiert. Das Simulationsmodell wurde im Detail mit einem experimentellen Laboraufbau validiert. In Bezug auf die Minimierung der Paketverluste während der Erkundung von unmittelbar benachbarten Stationen konnte mit Hilfe des Vergleichs zweier Mechanismen, dem sog. Full Channel Iterative Scan (FCIS) und Single Channel Iterative Scan (SCIS) eine deutliche Verbesserung gezeigt werden. Diese Untersuchung führten zur Entwicklung eines neuartigen Mechanismus, mit dem Nachbarstationen in der weiteren Umgebung erfasst und damit frühzeitig erkannt werden können. Das sog. MHD-CARD (Multi-Hop Discovery- Candidate Access Router Discovery)-Protokoll reduziert die Belastung der ressourcenbeschränkten Luftschnittstelle und bietet weitere Möglichkeiten der schichtenübergreifenden Optimierung des Systems. Ein weiterer wesentlicher Beitrag dieser Arbeit ist der Entwurf und die Analyse eines neuartigen Verfahrens zur Reduktion der Netzlast während der Vorbereitung eines Handovers. Durch die proaktive Kommunikation mit dem zukünftigen Zugangsknoten unter Nutzung der Infrastruktur kann die Abhängigkeit des FMIPv6-Protokolls von der Geschwindigkeit der mobilen Stationen entkoppelt werden. Ein weiterer untersuchter Aspekt ist, dass in fortgeschrittenen Szenarien nicht nur die Bewegung einer einzelnen Station zu betrachten ist, sondern auch die Bewegung von Subnetzen mit mehreren Stationen (z.B. in einem Bus oder Zug). Das Problem der sog. Mobile Networks wird durch die IETF durch das NEMO (Network Mobility)-Protokoll grundsätzlich adressiert, jedoch ohne eine ausreichende Optimierung der Routenführung im Netz. Diese Problematik verstärkt sich im Falle von mehrfach ineinander verschachtelten mobilen Netzen (sog. Nested Mobile Networks) und führen zum sog. Pin-Ball-Routing Phänomen. Abschließend stellt die Arbeit daher die Ergebnisse hinsichtlich eines neu entworfenen Protokolls zur Optimierung des Routings. Durch die Ergebnisse der Leistungsbewertung kann gezeigt werden, dass das NEMO-Protokoll mit Hilfe des NERON (Nest Route Optimization for NEMO) effizient ergänzt werden kann.

Im Rahmen der Arbeiten wurde u.a. eine umfangreiche ereignisgesteuerte Simulationsumgebung entwickelt, von der Teilergebnisse veröffentlicht und in der entsprechenden Entwickler-community eine sehr gute Resonanz gefunden haben. Besonders hervorzuheben ist weiterhin, dass das MHD-CAR wie auch das MHD-CAR als Internet Draft formuliert und in die IETF-Standardisierungsarbeit eingespeist wurden. Die Ergebnisse der grundlegenden Arbeiten konnten in einem Forschungsprojekt zur mobilen Übertragung von vertraulichen Daten für Rettungskräfte im Brand- und Katastrophenschutz eingebracht werden. In der Zukunft werden die entwickelten Mechanismen auch im praktischen Einsatz Verwendung finden und in einem neuen Projekt IP-basierte Kommunikation zur Steuerung von Flugrobotern weiterentwickelt werden.

# Contents

<b>1</b>	<b>Mobility Management in Next Generation Networks: An Introduction</b>	<b>1</b>
1.1	Introduction . . . . .	1
1.1.1	Background . . . . .	1
1.1.2	What is the Next Generation Network (NGN)? . . . . .	2
1.2	Challenges and Motivation . . . . .	3
1.2.1	Requirements of NGN . . . . .	3
1.2.2	Mobility Management in 4G Networks . . . . .	4
1.2.3	Challenges to Mobility Management Mechanisms . . . . .	6
1.3	Research Scope . . . . .	7
1.4	Research Methodology . . . . .	8
1.5	Summary of Contributions . . . . .	10
1.6	Thesis Organization . . . . .	11
<b>2</b>	<b>IPv6 Based Mobility Management Protocols: A Survey</b>	<b>13</b>
2.1	Introduction . . . . .	13
2.2	MIPv6 Protocol . . . . .	14
2.2.1	Operational Concept . . . . .	15
2.2.2	Protocol Details . . . . .	16
2.2.3	Performance Issues . . . . .	20
2.3	Fast Mobile IPv6 (FMIPv6) Protocol . . . . .	26
2.3.1	Protocol Overview . . . . .	26
2.3.2	Protocol Details . . . . .	26
2.4	Conclusions . . . . .	30
<b>3</b>	<b>Mobility Management Simulation Engine for NGN</b>	<b>31</b>
3.1	Introduction . . . . .	31
3.2	OMNeT++: A Brief Summary . . . . .	32
3.2.1	Modeling Concept in OMNeT++ . . . . .	33
3.3	INET Simulation Framework . . . . .	35
3.4	Extensible Mobility Management Simulation Engine for IPv6 Networks (xMMSEv6) . . . . .	37
3.4.1	Motivation . . . . .	38
3.4.2	Design Objectives of xMMSEv6 . . . . .	39
3.4.3	xMMSEv6 Model Summary . . . . .	41
3.5	Performance Validation and Analysis of xMMSEv6 . . . . .	44
3.5.1	Handover Delay Components . . . . .	44
3.5.2	Test Environment . . . . .	46

3.5.3	Validation Results . . . . .	47
3.6	Conclusions . . . . .	49
<b>4</b>	<b>Layer 2 Considerations for Seamless Handovers</b>	<b>53</b>
4.1	Introduction . . . . .	53
4.2	Summary of the IEEE 802.11 Handover Process . . . . .	54
4.2.1	Detection Phase . . . . .	57
4.2.2	Discovery Phase . . . . .	57
4.2.3	Execution Phase . . . . .	59
4.3	Problem Statement . . . . .	60
4.4	Related Work . . . . .	63
4.4.1	Approaches for Reducing the IEEE 802.11 Handover Delay . . . . .	63
4.4.2	FMIPv6 Performance over IEEE 802.11 Infrastructure . . . . .	64
4.5	On-Off Iterative Scanning Algorithm . . . . .	65
4.5.1	Operational Concept of FCIS and SCIS Modes . . . . .	66
4.6	Performance Analysis . . . . .	67
4.6.1	Factors Affecting Performance of FCIS and SCIS Modes . . . . .	69
4.6.2	Packet Loss Performance of FCIS and SCIS . . . . .	73
4.6.3	Effect of Packet Size on Loss Performance . . . . .	76
4.6.4	Effect of FCIS and SCIS Scan Modes on the QoE of VoIP Service . . . . .	76
4.7	Conclusions . . . . .	79
<b>5</b>	<b>Cross Layer Solution for Advance Discovery of Candidate Access Routers</b>	<b>81</b>
5.1	Introduction . . . . .	81
5.2	Technical Background . . . . .	82
5.2.1	Card Protocol . . . . .	83
5.2.2	FMIPv6 Operation with CARD Protocol . . . . .	85
5.3	Problem Statement . . . . .	86
5.4	Related Work . . . . .	88
5.5	MHD-CAR Operation Summary . . . . .	88
5.5.1	CAR Table Initialization . . . . .	89
5.5.2	CAR Table Distribution . . . . .	90
5.5.3	Mobile Node Operation . . . . .	91
5.6	Performance Analysis . . . . .	92
5.6.1	Impact of MHD-CAR on Signaling Load . . . . .	93
5.6.2	Impact of MHD-CAR on Scanning Delay . . . . .	96
5.7	Comparison with IEEE 802.21 MIHS . . . . .	98
5.8	Conclusions . . . . .	100
<b>6</b>	<b>Optimizing Tunnel Management in FMIPv6</b>	<b>103</b>
6.1	Introduction . . . . .	103
6.2	Performance Implications of a Forwarding Tunnel . . . . .	104
6.3	Pro-active Bindings for Fast Mobile IPv6 (PB-FMIPv6) . . . . .	104
6.3.1	Protocol Concept . . . . .	105
6.3.2	Protocol Details . . . . .	105
6.3.3	Qualitative Comparison of PB-FMIPv6 with FMIPv6 . . . . .	110
6.4	Performance Analysis . . . . .	110

6.4.1	Tunneling Load ( $\Psi$ ) Analysis . . . . .	110
6.4.2	Signaling Cost Analysis . . . . .	115
6.5	Conclusions . . . . .	120
<b>7</b>	<b>Route optimization in Mobile Networks</b>	<b>121</b>
7.1	Introduction . . . . .	121
7.2	NEMO Basic Support Protocol . . . . .	122
7.2.1	General Handover Process in Mobile Networks . . . . .	122
7.2.2	Binding Management . . . . .	123
7.2.3	Packet Forwarding . . . . .	125
7.2.4	Performance Issues . . . . .	126
7.3	Performance Issues in Nested Mobile Networks . . . . .	126
7.3.1	Multiple Encapsulation and Pinball Routing Issue . . . . .	127
7.3.2	Recursive IP Fragmentation . . . . .	129
7.4	Route Optimization Solution Requirements for Nested Mobile Networks . . . . .	130
7.5	Related Work . . . . .	131
7.6	NEst Route Optimization for NEMO (NERON) . . . . .	132
7.6.1	Motivation and Design Goals . . . . .	132
7.6.2	Protocol Overview . . . . .	133
7.6.3	Packet Forwarding . . . . .	138
7.7	Performance Analysis . . . . .	140
7.7.1	Simulation Environment . . . . .	141
7.7.2	Comparison and Analysis of RTD . . . . .	141
7.7.3	Comparative Analysis of Packet Overhead . . . . .	145
7.8	Conclusions . . . . .	145
<b>8</b>	<b>Conclusions and future work</b>	<b>149</b>
8.1	Summary and Conclusion . . . . .	149
8.1.1	Seamless Handover in Next Generation Networks . . . . .	149
8.1.2	IETF's Efforts for Providing Seamless Handover Solutions . . . . .	150
8.1.3	Development of a Mobility Management Simulation Framework . . . . .	150
8.1.4	FMIPv6 Performance Optimization . . . . .	151
8.1.5	Route Optimization Solution for Nested Mobile Networks . . . . .	153
8.2	Future Work . . . . .	153
8.2.1	General Recommendations . . . . .	154
8.2.2	Solution Specific Recommendations . . . . .	154
8.3	Impact of the Research Work . . . . .	155
8.4	Vision for the Future . . . . .	157
<b>A</b>	<b>xMMSEv6 Simulation Framework</b>	<b>159</b>
A.1	Composition of the xMMSEv6 Framework . . . . .	159
A.2	Functional Summary of Key Modules . . . . .	160
A.2.1	ipTunneling Module . . . . .	160
A.2.2	BindingCache and BindingUpdateList Modules . . . . .	162
A.2.3	xMobileIPv6 Module . . . . .	162
A.2.4	CARD Simple Module . . . . .	165
A.2.5	carTable Simple Module . . . . .	166

A.3	xMMSEv6 Nodes . . . . .	166
<b>B</b>	<b>Iterative Scanning Algorithms (FCIS and SCIS)</b>	<b>171</b>
B.1	Effect of Active Channels and Transmit Interval on the Scan Interval . . . . .	171
B.2	Effect of FCIS on UDP Throughput . . . . .	172
<b>C</b>	<b>Pseudo Code for the Processing Logic of PrNAR</b>	<b>175</b>
	<b>Bibliography</b>	<b>177</b>

# Acronyms

<b>AID</b>	Association Identifier
<b>AP</b>	Access Point
<b>AR</b>	Access Router
<b>BA</b>	Binding Acknowledgement
<b>BC</b>	Binding Cache
<b>BRR</b>	Binding Refresh Rate
<b>BU</b>	Binding Update
<b>BUL</b>	Binding Update List
<b>CAP</b>	Candidate Access Point
<b>CAR</b>	Candidate Access Router
<b>CARD</b>	Candidate Access Router Discovery Protocol
<b>CBR</b>	Constant Bit Rate
<b>CGR</b>	Core Gateway Router
<b>CoA</b>	Care of Address
<b>CoT</b>	Care of Test
<b>CoTI</b>	Care of Test Init
<b>DAD</b>	Duplicate Address Detection
<b>DCC</b>	Discovery of CAR Capabilities
<b>EP</b>	Emergency Personnel
<b>EV</b>	Emergency Vehicle
<b>FMIPv6</b>	Fast Mobile IPv6
<b>FCIS</b>	Full Channel Iterative Scan
<b>FN</b>	Foreign Network
<b>GI</b>	Guard Interval
<b>HAck</b>	Handover Acknowledgement
<b>HI</b>	Handover Initiate
<b>HMIPv6</b>	Hierarchical Mobile IPv6
<b>HN</b>	Home Network
<b>HoA</b>	Home Address
<b>HoT</b>	Home Test
<b>HoTI</b>	Home Test Init
<b>IAPP</b>	Inter Access Point Protocol
<b>IETF</b>	Internet Engineering Task Force
<b>LCoA</b>	Local Care-of-Address
<b>LFN</b>	Local Fixed Node
<b>LLoA</b>	Link Local Address

<b>MHD-CAR</b>	Multi-Hop Discovery of Candidate Access Router
<b>MIPv6</b>	Mobile IPv6
<b>MN</b>	Mobile Node
<b>MNet</b>	Mobile Network
<b>MNN</b>	Mobile Network Node
<b>MNP</b>	Mobile Network Prefix
<b>MR</b>	Mobile Router
<b>MTU</b>	Maximum Transmission Unit
<b>NA</b>	Neighbor Advertisement
<b>NAR</b>	New/Next Access Router
<b>NBSP</b>	NEMO Basic Support Protocol
<b>NCoA</b>	New Care of Address
<b>NEMO</b>	Network Mobility
<b>NEP</b>	Nest Entrance Point
<b>NERON</b>	Nest Route Optimization for NEMO
<b>NG</b>	Neighbor Graph
<b>NGO</b>	Nest Gate Option
<b>NGT</b>	Nest Gate Table
<b>NIC</b>	Network Interface Card
<b>nMNet</b>	Nested Mobile Network
<b>nMR</b>	Nested Mobile Router
<b>NS</b>	Neighbor Solicitation
<b>OptiDAD</b>	Optimistic Duplicate Address Detection
<b>OSA</b>	Open System Authentication
<b>PAP</b>	Present Access Point
<b>PAR</b>	Present Access Router
<b>PB-FMIPv6</b>	Proactive Bindings for Fast Mobile IPv6
<b>PCoA</b>	Previous Care of Address
<b>pCoA</b>	Prospective Care of Address
<b>PESQ</b>	Perceptive Evaluation of Speech Quality
<b>PMIPv6</b>	Proxy Mobile IPv6
<b>PT</b>	Prefix Table
<b>QoE</b>	Quality of Experience
<b>QoS</b>	Quality of Service
<b>RA</b>	Router Advertisement
<b>RAT</b>	Reverse Address Translation
<b>RCoA</b>	Regional Care-of-Address
<b>RFC</b>	Request For Comments
<b>RO</b>	Route Optimization
<b>RPB</b>	Receive Power Buffer
<b>RR</b>	Return Routability
<b>RS</b>	Router Solicitation
<b>RSSI</b>	Receive Signal Strength Indicator
<b>RTD</b>	Round Trip Delay
<b>RTT</b>	Round Trip Time
<b>SAP</b>	Service Access Point

<b>SCIS</b>	Single Channel Iterative Scan
<b>STA</b>	Station
<b>TAP</b>	Target Access Point
<b>TAR</b>	Target Access Router
<b>UAS</b>	Unmanned Aerial System
<b>UAV</b>	Unmanned Aerial Vehicle
<b>UNA</b>	Unsolicited Neighbor Advertisement
<b>VMN</b>	Visit Mobile Node
<b>VMR</b>	Visit Mobile Router
<b>WAT</b>	Wireless Access Technology
<b>WG</b>	Working Group
<b>xMMSEv6</b>	Extensible Mobility Management Simulation Engine for IPv6