

Microeconomics of Wild Coffee Genetic Resources  
Conservation in Southwestern Ethiopia



Institutional Change in Agriculture and Natural Resources  
Institutioneller Wandel der Landwirtschaft und Ressourcennutzung

edited by/herausgegeben von  
Volker Beckmann & Konrad Hagedorn

Volume/Band 40

**Aseffa Seyoum Wedajoo**

**Microeconomics of Wild Coffee Genetic Resources  
Conservation in Southwestern Ethiopia**

Forest zoning and economic incentives for conservation

Shaker Verlag  
Aachen 2010

**Bibliographic information published by the Deutsche Nationalbibliothek**

The Deutsche Nationalbibliothek lists this publication in the Deutsche Nationalbibliografie; detailed bibliographic data are available in the Internet at <http://dnb.d-nb.de>.

Zugl.: Berlin, Humboldt-Univ., Diss., 2009

Copyright Shaker Verlag 2010

All rights reserved. No part of this publication may be reproduced, stored in a retrieval system, or transmitted, in any form or by any means, electronic, mechanical, photocopying, recording or otherwise, without the prior permission of the publishers.

Printed in Germany.

ISBN 978-3-8322-8841-9

ISSN 1617-4828

Shaker Verlag GmbH • P.O. BOX 101818 • D-52018 Aachen

Phone: 0049/2407/9596-0 • Telefax: 0049/2407/9596-9

Internet: [www.shaker.de](http://www.shaker.de) • e-mail: [info@shaker.de](mailto:info@shaker.de)

## Aim and Scope of the Series

*„Nothing endures but change“*. Heraclitus the Ephesian (ca. 535–475 BC)

Institutions, defined as “the rules of the game”, are a key factor to the sustainable development of societies. They structure not only the multitude of human-human interactions of modern societies, but also most of the human-nature interactions. Poverty, famine, civil war, degradation of natural resources and even the collapse of ecosystems and societies often have institutional causes, likewise social and economic prosperity, sustainable use of resources and the resilience of socio-ecological systems. Agriculture, forestry and fisheries are those human activities where the interdependencies between human-human and human-nature interactions are perhaps most pronounced, and diverse institutions have been developed in history to govern them.

Social and ecological conditions are, however, ever changing, which continuously challenge the existing institutional structure at a given point in time. Those changes may be long-term, like population growth or climate change, medium-term, such as new technologies or changing price relations, or short-term, like floods or bankruptcies, but all of them pose the question whether the rules of the game need to be adapted. Failures to adapt timely and effectively may come at a high social cost. Institutional change, however, face a principal dilemma: on the one hand, institutions need to be stable to structure expectations and effectively influence human behaviors; on the other hand, they need to be adaptive to respond to the ever changing circumstance mentioned above. Understanding stability and change as well as developing adaptive institutions and effective, efficient and fair mechanisms of change are, therefore, of central importance for societies and an ongoing research challenge for social scientists.

If we want to improve the effectiveness, efficiency and adaptability of institutions, it stands to reason that we have to develop a good understanding of the causes, effects, processes and mechanism of stability and change. This is the aim of the series “Institutional Change in Agriculture and Natural Resources,” which attempts to answer the questions “How do processes and mechanism of institutional change actually work? What and who are the main determinants and actors driving, governing and influencing these processes? What are the economic, political, social and ecological consequences? How can adaptive institutions be designed and developed, and what governance structures are required to make them effective?” These are the questions at the heart of the series. The works published in this series seek to provide answers to these questions in different economic, social, political and historical contexts.



To the memory of  
**Fitale Bedhane** and **Likke Wedajoo**,  
whose counsel inspired me to reach here.





## Acknowledgments

My sincere gratitude, first, goes to my supervisor Prof. Dr. Dr. h. c. Konrad Hagedorn for his all-round professional support and readiness to help me bring this work to a successful conclusion. I most gratefully recognize his keen guidance in the shaping and write up of this thesis. My special thanks also go to Prof. Dr. Klaus Froberg for offering me considerable assistance, especially during the early stage of this work. My special thanks again go to Dr. Franz Gatzweiler for his close supervision. As coordinator of the coffee project, Dr. Gatzweiler kindly facilitated all administrative matters and I am pleased to recognize his substantial contributions towards my professional advancement that stretches even beyond this study. I am also indebted to Dr. Ayalneh Bogale for all his inputs as a local supervisor who devoted much of his precious time to make constructive comments on the draft of this study. Dr. Detlef Virchow, Dr. Holger Seebens and Dr. Degnet Abebaw deserve my deepest appreciation for their useful suggestions during the proposal stage of the study.

I owe sincere thanks to German Academic Exchange Service (DAAD) for providing me with short-term financial assistance during the first three months of my study and the Federal Ministry of Education and Research (BMBF) of Germany for covering the remaining expenses. Dr. Günther Manske, Coordinator of the Bonn Interdisciplinary Graduate School for Development Research (BiGS-DR) and Ms. Zabel Rosemarie deserve special thanks for providing all needed administrative assistance. All colleagues and staff members of the Center for Development Research (ZEF) of the University of Bonn provided me with excellent working environment and I am delighted to acknowledge their worthy inputs. Staff members of Humboldt-University of Berlin and of the Faculty of Agriculture-Horticulture, particularly Ms. Jutta Stüdemann, as well as those of Division of Resource Economics, Mr. Jes Weigelt, Mrs. Sigrid Heilmann and others deserve my appreciation for making life easier for me during my stay in Berlin. I would like to sincerely acknowledge participants of research colloquium (FOKO) of Division of Resource Economics for their valuable comments. I would like to thank Dr. Till Stellmacher, Jörg Volkemann, Dr. Seid Nuru, and Dr. Daniel Tsegaye for reading parts of my draft thesis.

The Ethiopian Coffee Forest Forum (ECFF) staff and CoCE project team members as a whole, particularly Dr. Tadesse W. Gole, Dr. Kassahun Tesfaye, Dr. Feyera Senbeta, Abebe Yadessa, and Zewdie Jotte, deserve special thanks for generating useful ideas during informal discussions that enabled me to deepen my general understanding about coffee forests. I am thankful to Ms. Meskerem Alemayehu for all her devotion in data entry as well as the drivers, Mr. Wendmagegn Gudissa and Mr. Andnet Anbesse, for their tireless services

during field work. I must single out for special mention the staff of Yayu CIP Coffee project coordination office, particularly Takele, for putting office facilities at my disposal during my stay in Yayu. The staff of Agricultural and Rural Development Offices of Yayu, Durani and Hurumu districts offered me valuable assistance during field data collection processes for which I am grateful. My profound thanks also go to the key informants and farmers that participated in the formal survey for their willingness to respond to the lengthy questionnaire. I also gratefully commend the inputs of the enumerators who took part in the household survey for their endurance to travel to farmers' houses. I value their devotion in generating quality data.

Finally, I would like to thank Margaa, Tekle, Yeshe, Adunya, Makiya, Abdulkadir, Israel, Faye, Worku, Yadessa, Dabala, Dr. Abdulshikur, Ayantu, and Hanna, together with all their families, for their remarkable hospitality. All the '*Prost und Warsteiner Beir*' is unforgettable. May I also thank all friends and relatives who visited my family during my absence, particularly Getachew Legese and his family, Neway Beco, Sinafkish Tekle, Dereje Tadesse as well as my brothers and sisters. Last but not least, my heartfelt gratitude goes to my wife Martha Weldehanna for her fortitude in facing the challenges of life with courage while patiently and responsibly providing care for our sons in my absence. I would also like to express my deepest love for my sons Maraol and Dansan for the patience they had shown even while missing their father's affection and physical presence. I love you. Lastly, and above all, I would like to deeply thank my God for all His blessings, faithfulness and loving kindness. Without His abiding grace, this work would not have come to fruition.

I thank you all!

Berlin, 2009

Aseffa Seyoum

# Table of Contents

<b>Acknowledgments.....</b>	<b>vii</b>
<b>List of Figures .....</b>	<b>xiii</b>
<b>Abbreviations.....</b>	<b>xiii</b>
<b>Abbreviations.....</b>	<b>xiii</b>
<b>1 Introduction.....</b>	<b>1</b>
1.1 Background .....	1
1.2 Context .....	2
1.3 Objectives of the Study .....	5
1.4 Working Hypotheses.....	5
1.5 Organization of the Study .....	6
<b>2 Review of Literature, Conceptual and Theoretical Framework.....</b>	<b>9</b>
2.1 Introduction.....	9
2.2 Interlinkage between the Natural Forests and Local Livelihoods .....	9
2.2.1 Natural Forest Dependency, Poverty and Equality.....	9
2.2.2 Trade-off between Forest Extraction and Agricultural Activities .....	11
2.2.3 Applicability of the Household Model in Forest Conservation.....	13
2.3 Conceptual Framework .....	17
2.4 Theoretical Framework.....	19
2.4.1 Poverty and Income Inequality .....	19
2.4.2 Household Model .....	21
2.5 Summary and Conclusions.....	22
<b>3 Overview of Coffee Production, Policies and Biodiversity Conservation Continuum in Ethiopia.....</b>	<b>25</b>
3.1 Introduction.....	25
3.2 Production and Export of Coffee: A Changing Trend.....	25
3.3 Natural Resources Conservation Policies and Strategies .....	29
3.3.1 Previous Regimes.....	29
3.3.2 Current Regime .....	31
3.4 Biodiversity Endowment and Conservation Continuum in Ethiopia .....	33

3.4.1 Biodiversity Endowment and Growing Threats .....	33
3.4.2 Continuum of Implemented Conservation.....	34
3.4.3 Rationale for In-Situ Conservation .....	36
3.5 Institutions and Property Rights Related to Coffee Forest Conservation .....	37
3.5.1 Institutions Involved in Conservation of Coffee Forest.....	37
3.5.2 Property Right and Its Role in Conservation of Coffee Forests .....	39
3.6 Summary and Conclusions.....	41
<b>4 Description of the Study Area and the Data .....</b>	<b>43</b>
4.1 Introduction.....	43
4.2 The Study Area .....	43
4.2.1 Yayu Coffee Forest: Location and Phytogeographic Features .....	43
4.2.2 Local Economic Activities.....	44
4.2.3 Extractive Forest Uses .....	46
4.3 Survey Design .....	46
4.3.1 Sampling and Sample Units for Primary Data.....	47
4.3.2 Data Collection Methods .....	47
4.4 Description of the Data .....	48
4.4.1 Demographic Characteristics of Sampled Households.....	48
4.4.2 Land Availability, Use and Crop Production.....	49
4.4.3 Livestock Holding and Management .....	50
4.4.4 Agricultural Input Use .....	51
4.4.5 Consumption and Budget Allocation.....	52
4.4.6 Access to Market and Credit.....	54
4.4.7 Off-farm Activities.....	55
4.5 Summary and Conclusions.....	55
<b>5 Implication of Coffee Forest Conservation and Conservation Incentives to Local Household Economy .....</b>	<b>57</b>
5.1 Introduction.....	57
5.2 Concepts and Scenarios .....	58
5.3 Empirical Approach .....	62
5.3.1 Poverty and Inequality Indices.....	62

5.3.2 Econometric Model.....	64
5.4 Results and Discussions.....	68
5.4.1 Household Cash Income Sources.....	68
5.4.2 Implication of Coffee Forest Conservation to Local Household Poverty .....	70
5.4.3 Implication of Coffee Forest Conservation to Income Inequality .....	71
5.4.4 Implication of Conservation Incentives on Poverty and Inequality ...	74
5.4.5 Analysis of Negatively Impacted Households.....	77
5.5 Summary and Conclusions.....	81
<b>6 Forest Products Harvesting Decision of Local Households and Its Implication for Wild <i>Coffea arabica</i> Conservation .....</b>	<b>83</b>
6.1 Introduction.....	83
6.2 Analytical Models .....	84
6.3 Empirical Models .....	92
6.3.1 Empirical Model for Distance Households Traverse in the Core Zone.....	93
6.3.2 Empirical Model for Harvesting Decisions across Zones of Coffee Forest.....	95
6.3.3 Variables Consideration.....	96
6.4 Results and Discussions.....	100
6.4.1 Effect of Location and Resource Endowment on Encroachment to the Core Zone.....	100
6.4.2 Spatial Forest Products Harvesting Decisions across Conservation Zones .....	104
6.5 Summary and Conclusions.....	108
<b>7 Agricultural Activities, Labor Allocation and Sustainable Use of Coffee Forest.....</b>	<b>111</b>
7.1 Introduction.....	111
7.2 Theoretical Model .....	112
7.3 Empirical Models Specifications and Estimation Strategies .....	117
7.3.1 Specification and Estimation of the Production Function .....	118
7.3.2 Specification and Estimation of Labor Allocation .....	121
7.3.3 Specification and Estimation of Demand for Forest Products.....	123

7.4 Results and Discussions .....	125
7.4.1 Production Activities and Shadow Values of Selected Inputs and Outputs .....	125
7.4.1.1 Agricultural Production and Forest Products Extraction Activities.....	125
7.4.1.2 Elasticity of Labor Supply and Shadow Wages of Labor.....	127
7.4.2 Labor Supply to Production Activities in Conservation Area.....	130
7.4.2.1 Labor Supply to Semi-forest and Forest Coffee Production .....	131
7.4.2.2 Labor Supply to Forest Product Collection from Conservation Area.....	133
7.4.3 Local Households' Demand for Selected Non-timber Forest Products.....	135
7.4.3.1 Demand of Fuel Wood from Conservation Area.....	136
7.4.3.2 Demand for Local Construction Materials from Conservation Area .....	137
7.5 Summary and Conclusions.....	139
<b>8 Summary, Conclusion and Policy Implications.....</b>	<b>143</b>
8.1 Introduction.....	143
8.2 Summary of Key Findings and Conclusions .....	145
8.3 Policy Implications .....	150
8.4 Issues for Further Research.....	153
<b>References .....</b>	<b>155</b>
<b>Appendices .....</b>	<b>167</b>
Appendix A .....	167
Appendix B.....	179

## List of Figures

Figure 2-1: Schematic framework to depict protected area and local household interaction .....	18
Figure 3-1: Coffee supply to the central market by regions. ....	26
Figure 3-2: Contribution of coffee to total export value. ....	27
Figure 3-3: Quantity of washed and sun-dried coffee supplied to the central market .....	28
Figure 3-4: Trend of average price of washed and unwashed coffee at auction center. ....	29
Figure 4-1: Map of the study area. ....	44
Figure 4-2: Area allocated to cereal crop production for Ilubabor zone.....	45
Figure 5-1: Percentage share of household cash income by activities.....	69
Figure 5-2: Percent of households generating cash income by activities. ....	70
Figure 5-3: Lorenz curve for household income under the different scenarios..	74
Figure 6-1: Predicted probability of HV and LV forest products collection from each zone .....	106





## List of Tables

Table 4-1: Household head and family characteristics .....	49
Table 4-2: Land use of sampled households (in ha) .....	50
Table 4-3: Livestock holding of sampled households (in number of heads) .....	51
Table 4-4: Labor use in major activities in mandays/ha (in 2006/07) .....	52
Table 4-5: Average production and consumption of sample household (in 2006/07) .....	53
Table 4-6: Average expenditure of sampled household in 2006/07(in ETB) .....	54
Table 5-1: Description and expected sign of variables used in fractional logit model .....	67
Table 5-2: Local household poverty indices under conservation scenarios .....	71
Table 5-3: Gini decomposition by income sources under conservation scenarios .....	73
Table 5-4: Simulated scenarios of effect of possible conservation incentives on poverty .....	76
Table 5-5: Simulated scenarios of effect of possible conservation incentives on income inequality .....	76
Table 5-6: Factors explaining the negatively impacted household in each scenario .....	79
Table 5-7: Marginal effect of factors explaining the negatively impacted households .....	81
Table 6-1: Summary of variables explaining forest product harvesting behavior of household .....	99
Table 6-2: Tobit model output for distance traveled into core zone .....	103
Table 6-3: Ordered logit model output for spatial forest product collection ....	108
Table 7-1: Average productivity of land allocated to different activities (kg per ha) .....	126
Table 7-2: Partial elasticity and average shadow wage of labor of sampled households .....	128
Table 7-3: Testing of equality of shadow wages across activities .....	129
Table 7-4: Shadow price of some forest products from the conservation area (ETB/unit) .....	130

Table 7-5: Labor allocation to semi-forest production and forest coffee collection .....	132
Table 7-6: Labor allocation to fuel wood collection from conservation area...	134
Table 7-7: Demand for fuel wood from conservation area.....	137
Table 7-8: Demand for pole, liana and rafter collection from the conservation area.....	138

## List of Tables in the Appendix

Table A5-1: Local market price of forest products (in ETB per unit) .....	168
Table A5-2: Multicollinearity test for explanatory variables of fractional logit model .....	168
Table A5-3: Implication of conservation on poverty indices (FGT) decomposed by districts.....	168
Table A6-1: Multicollinearity test for explanatory variables of Tobit model ..	169
Table A6-2: Marginal effects on distance to harvest HV forest product in core zone .....	169
Table A6-3: Marginal effects on distance to harvest LV forest product in core zone .....	170
Table A6-4: Factor change in odds for HV forest products.....	171
Table A6-5: Factor change in odds for LV forest products .....	172
Table A7-1: Function estimated fuel wood collection from transition zone ....	173
Table A7-2: Description of explanatory variables of production, labor supply and demand functions .....	174
Table A7-3: Production function estimated for maize.....	175
Table A7-4: Production function estimated for plantation and semi-forest coffee .....	176
Table A7-5: Function estimated for forest coffee and fuel wood collection ....	177
Table A7-6: Wald test for properties of production function .....	177
Table A7-7: Robust auxiliary regression test of equality of shadow wages across activities.....	178



## Abbreviations

AfDB	African Development Bank
ADLI	Agricultural Development Led Industrialization
CBD	Convention on Biological Diversity
CFFA	Coffee Forest Friendly Activity
CSA	Central Statistical Agency
MoARD	Ministry of Agriculture and Rural Development
EIAR	Ethiopian Institute of Agricultural Research
EPA	Environmental Protection Authority
ETB	Ethiopian Birr
FAO	Food and Agriculture Organization
FDRE	Federal Democratic Republic of Ethiopia
EFAP	Ethiopian Forestry Action Program
EWCO	Ethiopian Wildlife Conservation Organization
FGT	Foster-Greer-Thorbecke
HV	High value forest product
IBC	Institute of Biodiversity Conservation
JICA	Japan International Corporation Agency
NCS	National Conservation Strategy
NGO	Non-governmental organization
NPFA	National Priority Forest Area
NTFP	Non-Timber Forest Product
LV	Low value forest product
OESPO	Oromia Economic Study Project Office
ORS	Oromia Regional State
PAs	Peasant Associations
PASDEP	Plan for Accelerated and Sustainable Development to End Poverty
SNNPS	Southern Nations, Nationalities and Peoples' State
UN	United Nations