University of Bremen Institute of Automation Otto-Hahn-Allee NW1 D-28359 Bremen

# BRAINROBOT Methods and Applications for Brain Computer Interfaces

Axel Gräser Ivan Volosyak (Eds.)

> Shaker Verlag Aachen 2010

# Publication Series of the Institute of Automation University of Bremen

Series 5-Nr.2

Axel Gräser, Ivan Volosyak (Eds.)

#### **BRAINROBOT**

Methods and Applications for Brain Computer Interfaces

Shaker Verlag Aachen 2010

#### Bibliographic information published by the Deutsche Nationalbibliothek

The Deutsche Nationalbibliothek lists this publication in the Deutsche Nationalbibliografie; detailed bibliographic data are available in the Internet at http://dnb.d-nb.de.

#### Publication Series of the INSTITUTE OF AUTOMATION, UNIVERSITY OF BREMEN:

- 1 Colloquium of Automation, Salzhausen
- 2 Automation
- 3 Robotics
- 4 Control Theory
- 5 Brain Computer Interface
- 6 Virtual and Augmented Reality
- 7 Image Processing

Copyright Shaker Verlag 2010

All rights reserved. No part of this publication may be reproduced, stored in a retrieval system, or transmitted, in any form or by any means, electronic, mechanical, photocopying, recording or otherwise, without the prior permission of the publishers.

Printed in Germany.

ISBN 978-3-8322-8201-1 ISSN 1861-5457

Shaker Verlag GmbH • P.O. BOX 101818 • D-52018 Aachen Phone: 0049/2407/9596-0 • Telefax: 0049/2407/9596-9

Internet: www.shaker.de • e-mail: info@shaker.de

### **Preface**

This booklet contains the results of the EU-Marie-Curie-Transfer of Knowledge (TOK) project BRAINROBOT MTKD-CT-2004-014211. The different chapters contain mainly enlarged versions of already published papers. The collection should give the reader a complete overview about the project and the different approaches which have been taken into account. The overall goal of BRAINROBOT was the transfer of knowledge by research in the fast growing field of Brain Computer Interfaces (BCI). The TOK aimed to provide a fast BCI to be used as an input device for high-level control of the care-giving robot FRIEND. The necessary interdisciplinary research required a close cooperation between experts from different areas such as Cognitive Neurosciences and Robotics. The research project was funded by the EU Marie Curie Transfer of Knowledge (TOK) funding scheme, which is unique in the form of financing it, provides funds for the transfer of knowledge between institutions with quite different backgrounds and experiences. The host institute and project coordinator of the BRAINROBOT project. the Institute of Automation (IAT) at the University of Bremen in Germany, has many years of experience in robotics, especially in the field of care-providing robots. The partners in the project consortium were Professor Dr. Pfurtscheller and Professor Dr. Neuper, Institute for Knowledge Discovery, Graz University of Technology, Graz, Austria: Professor Dr. Niels Birbaumer, University of Tubingen, Tübingen, Germany: Dr. Alexa Riehle, Institute de Neurosciences Cognitives de la Méditerranée, CNRS Marseille, France; Prof. Dr. Ken Hunt and Dr. Henrik Gollee, Department of Mechanical Engineering, Centre for Systems and Control, University of Glasgow, Glasgow, UK. The experience of the partners was, among others, in Cognitive Neuroscience, Brain-Computer Interface and Functional Electrical Stimulation (FES). Incoming and outgoing experienced and incoming senior researchers were also involved in the project. In total 108 person months were financed by the project. The person months financed were distributed as follows: 81 person months for incoming experienced scientists, 21 person months for outgoing scientists from the IAT who spent time at the partner institutes and 6 person months for senior researchers. The TOK was carried out in several research projects which led to a considerable number of publications. IAT realized control of the care-giving robot FRIEND with a BCI-system and demonstrated that even BCI-inexperienced users were able to control the robot by brain signals. The BCI-robot control was successfully presented at the International Conference on Rehabilitation Robotics ICORR in 2007 and the international fairs CEBIT and RehaCare in 2008. The participation in CEBIT 2008 and RehaCare 2008 enabled a field test of IAT's BCI with users of different ages, including disabled users.

Even in the early stages of the BRAINROBOT research project, it became obvious that the delay time of BCIs and the low information transfer rate are very big obstacles for the use of BCI for robot control. Several successor research projects resulted from this experience (BRAIN, sBCI) which have as goals, among others, increasing

the information transfer rate and shortening the response time of BCIs. Due to the structure and funding opportunities of the EU Marie Curie Transfer of Knowledge scheme, the IAT was able to build strong knowledge base in BCI systems in a very short time. The IAT experienced researchers had the opportunity to visit several first class institutes where they gained knowledge about BCI and FES. The Marie Curie Transfer of Knowledge funding also enabled IAT to hire first class experienced researchers to carry out research at the IAT while transferring their knowledge to the IAT researchers and doctoral students. IAT was also able to invite, for short periods, senior researchers who are first class experts in their field of research to share and transfer their specific knowledge.

I would like to thank the EU for the creation of the TOK funding scheme. I would like especially to thank my colleagues Prof. Dr. Gert Pfurtscheller, Prof. Dr. Christa Neuper, Prof. Dr. Ken Hunt, Prof. Birbaumer and Dr. Alexa Riehle, for their support during the project proposal writing and for the generous hosting of Dr. Ivan Volosyak. I would also like to thank Prof. Dr. Petko Kiriazov, Dr. Piotr Durka, Dr. Maciej Pokora and Dr. Henrik Gollee for accepting the invitation to visit IAT and for sharing their knowledge with us. The experienced incoming scientists Dr. Ola Friman, Dr. Bernhard Graimann, Dr. Brendan Allison, Dr. Hubert Cecotti (ordered according to time of arrival at the IAT) as well as Dr. Ivan Volosyak, Dipl.-Ing. Diana Valbuena, Dipl.-Ing. Thorsten Lüth, M.Sc. Aavo Moltsaar and Dipl.-Ing. Amir Teymourian (all five from the IAT) also made important contributions to the success of the TOK project. I thank all of them for their dedication to BRAINROBOT. Last but not least I would like to thank the EU project officers Dr. Marcela Groholova and Laura Elena Apostol for their advice and support and the patient help to solve several organizational problems during the execution of the project.

Finally, I hope that the readers will enjoy reading the different chapters in this booklet.

Bremen, February 2010

Axel Gräser Project Coordinator BRAINROBOT

## **Contents**

Pr	reface	3
ı.	Introduction	9
1.	Non-invasive Brain-Computer Interfaces  1.1. BCI Components  1.2. SSVEP-based BCI  1.3. P300-based BCI  1.4. SMR-based BCI  1.5. SMR-based BCI  1.6. SMR-based BCI  1.7. SMR-based BCI  1.8. SMR-based BCI	11 11 14 15 16
11.	. Advanced Signal Processing	17
	Signal Processing for BCls and Scientific Computing 2.1. Spectral Filters 2.2. Spatial Filtering 2.3. Classification 2.4. Scientific Computing  Eye Artifact Reduction with ICA and Regression 3.1. Introduction 3.2. Signal Processing Methods 3.3. Experimental Setup and Comparison Procedure 3.4. Pertinence and Implementation 3.5. Description and Examples of Recorded Data 3.6. Results 3.7. Discussion and Conclusion	
4.	Multiple Channel Detection of SSVEP 4.1. Introduction	45 45 46 47 52 52 56 57
_	Auto vagressius Annuarch for Naire Reduction	61

#### Contents

	5.1.	Introduction	. 61
	5.2.	Parametric Power Spectrum Estimation	. 61
	5.3.		
	5.4.	Choosing the Optimal Model Order	. 63
	5.5.	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
_	CCV	EP Detection with Neural Networks	69
U.			
	6.1.		
	6.2.	SSVEP Response	
	6.3. 6.4.		
	6.5.	Methods	
	0.7.	Conclusion	. 87
7.	P30	0 Detection with Neural Networks	89
	7.1.	Convolutional Neural Network	. 89
	7.2.	F The state of the	
		Neural Network Topology	
	7.4.	Learning	. 91
	7.5.	Classifiers	. 92
	7.6.	Database	. 93
	7.7.	Results	. 94
	7.8.	Conclusion	. 100
8.	Asvi	nchronous Motor Imagery-based Brain Switch	103
	•	Introduction and Physiological Background	. 103
		Methods	
	8.3.	Results	. 106
	8.4.	Conclusions	. 107
_	C-I-	ation of Departing Francesco Bonda during Mater Income.	109
9.		ction of Reactive Frequency Bands during Motor Imagery Introduction	
		Motor Imagery	
		EEG Signal Acquisition	
		ERD/ERS Calculation	
	9.5.	Results	
		Conclusion	
	l Ha	ardware Development	117
•••		advance Development	111
10		Stimulator	119
		$Introduction \ . \ . \ . \ . \ . \ . \ . \ . \ . \ $	
		LED Controller	
		Electrical Connections	
	10.4	Programming the Controller	190

11. Wearable SSVEP Stimulator 11.1. Introduction	<b>125</b>
11.2. Hardware Description	. 126
11.3. Tests and Results	. 127
IV. Software Development	131
12. Spelling with SSVEP	133
12.1. Introduction	
12.2. Letter Selection	
12.3. Preliminary Tests	
12.4. Cursor-based Layouts	
13.Speller with Integrated SSVEP Stimulator	139
13.1. Introduction	. 139
13.2. Spelling Layout	
13.3. Visual Stimulator	
13.4. Software Architecture	
13.5. Conclusion	. 144
14. Reliable Stimuli on LCD Screen	147
14.1. Introduction	
14.2. Stimuli on an LCD Screen	
14.3. Evaluation Methods	
14.4. EEG Classification	
14.5. Experimental Protocol	
14.6. Results	
14.7. Conclusion	. 157
V. Applications in Robotics and Rehabilitation	159
15.Control of a Semi-autonomous Rehabilitation Robotic System via BCI	161
15.1. Introduction	
15.2. Multi-layer Architecture	. 162
15.3. SSVEP-based BCI	
15.4. High-level Control	. 167
15.5. Low-level Control	. 169
15.6. Conclusion	. 174
16.BCI driven Wheelchairs	177
16.1. Introduction	. 177
16.2. Low-level Control	
16.3. State-machine Control	
16.4. Semi-autonomous Control	. 181

#### Contents

	185
17.1. Introduction	185
17.2. Materials and Methods	187
17.3. Results	194
17.4. Discussion	195
17.5. Conclusions and Future Work	
M D	<b>.</b>
VI. Demonstrations at International Fairs	201
18.CeBIT 2008	203
18.1. Introduction	203
18.2. Methods and Materials	205
18.3. Results	
18.4. Discussion	
18.5. Future Directions	214
19. RehaCare 2008	215
19.1. Introduction	21!
19.2. Experiments	
19.3. Results	
19.4. Discussion	
19.5. Conclusions	
	231