

TECHNISCHE UNIVERSITÄT MÜNCHEN

Lehrstuhl für Echtzeitsysteme und Robotik

Calibration and Registration Framework for Multisensor Panoramic Color Scanning

Thomas Abmayr

Vollständiger Abdruck der von der Fakultät für Informatik der
Technischen Universität München zur Erlangung des
akademischen Grades eines *Doktors der Naturwissenschaften*
genehmigten DISSERTATION

Vorsitzender:

Univ.-Prof. N. Navab, PhD

Prüfer der Dissertation:

1. Univ.-Prof. Dr. D. Burschka
2. Prof. Dr. A. Grün, *Eidgenössische Technische
Hochschule Zürich, Schweiz*

Die Dissertation wurde am *08.12.2008* bei der Technischen Universität München
eingereicht und durch die Fakultät für Informatik am *05.08.2009* angenommen.

Geodäsie

Band 12

Thomas Abmayr

**Calibration and Registration Framework for
Multisensor Panoramic Color Scanning**

Shaker Verlag
Aachen 2010

Bibliographic information published by the Deutsche Nationalbibliothek

The Deutsche Nationalbibliothek lists this publication in the Deutsche Nationalbibliografie; detailed bibliographic data are available in the Internet at <http://dnb.d-nb.de>.

Zugl.: München, Techn. Univ., Diss., 2009

Copyright Shaker Verlag 2010

All rights reserved. No part of this publication may be reproduced, stored in a retrieval system, or transmitted, in any form or by any means, electronic, mechanical, photocopying, recording or otherwise, without the prior permission of the publishers.

Printed in Germany.

ISBN 978-3-8322-8830-3

ISSN 1438-4566

Shaker Verlag GmbH • P.O. BOX 101818 • D-52018 Aachen

Phone: 0049/2407/9596-0 • Telefax: 0049/2407/9596-9

Internet: www.shaker.de • e-mail: info@shaker.de

Abstract

The focus of this work is 'Modeling the Reality'. The goal is the reconstruction of scenes with accurate geometry and real radiometric textures. Thereby, a common problem is to acquire colored panoramic 3D data, which is highly accurate with sufficiently high resolution. We present a novel, multi-sensor calibration and registration framework that fuses highly accurate and robust colored panoramic 3D data. To achieve this, we use a panoramic 2.5D laser scanner in combination with a 2D matrix camera and an electronic spirit-level.

The spatial resolution of the scanner is very dense and requires high precision for sensor calibration and for the registration of data streams. We introduce a novel sensor model for the scanner and describe it in a general context for calibrating polar measurement systems.

For covering the same visual field for the digital camera, it is mounted on a vertical tilt-unit. Overlapping color images are acquired by rotating the entire system horizontally and the tilt-unit vertically by predefined angle increments. The calibration of the camera and the registration between both sensors can be enormously simplified by using these increments as fixed input parameters.

To yield complete 3D data, we acquire scans from multiple viewpoints. For stabilizing the registration between these viewpoints, we use an electronic spirit-level to compensate the vertical tilt of the system. This increases the automation of the approach in various field scenarios.

The registration and calibration of the camera as well as the registration of multiple scans is based on corresponding points between the data streams. We present automated feature-based matching methods, which focus on the multi-modal characteristics of our data streams.

The methods are verified in a broad variety of different indoor scenarios demonstrating their performance and applicability.

In summary, we present a highly accurate multi sensor system including robust methods for the calibration and registration of the local data streams and its fusion to a dense global 3D point cloud.

Zusammenfassung

Die vorliegende Arbeit beschäftigt sich mit 'Modeling the Reality', d.h. der wirklichkeitsgetreuen, dreidimensionalen Rekonstruktion der erfassten Umgebung. Das Ziel ist, Geometrieinformation in einer sehr hohen Auflösung und Genauigkeit zu gewinnen und diese mit farbiger Textur zu kombinieren. Dazu wurde ein neues, multimodales Sensorkonzept bestehend aus einem Panorama-Laserscanner, einer Farbkamera und einer elektronischen Libelle entwickelt.

Die Ortsauflösung des verwendeten Scanners ist sehr hoch und erfordert folglich eine hohe Genauigkeit bei der Kalibrierung. In der vorliegenden Arbeit wird ein neues Sensormodel zur Scannerkalibrierung vorgestellt und dies im Kontext einer allgemeinen Theorie zur Kalibrierung von polaren Messsystemen beschrieben.

Um Texturen in demselben Sichtbereich wie des Scanners aufnehmen zu können, ist die Kamera an einen in vertikaler Richtung drehbaren Motor justiert. Die Aufnahme der Bilder erfolgt in vordefinierten Winkelschritten durch horizontale Drehung des Gesamtsystems, sowie vertikaler Drehung des Kameramotors. Wie in der Arbeit gezeigt wird, lässt sich die Kalibrierung und Registrierung der Kamera durch die Einberechnung dieser Winkelschritte als feste Eingabegrößen beträchtlich vereinfachen und stabilisieren.

Um vollständige 3D Punktwolken zu generieren, werden üblicherweise Daten von mehreren Standpunkten aus aufgenommen. Um die Registrierung zwischen diesen Standpunkten zu vereinfachen, ist am System zusätzlich eine elektronische Libelle angebracht, mit Hilfe derer die Horizontalneigung jedes Standpunktes berechnet werden kann. Wie gezeigt wird, kann dadurch die Registrierung vereinfacht und somit stabilisiert und besser automatisiert werden.

Die Kalibrierung des Scanners und der Kamera, sowie die Registrierung mehrerer Scanstandpunkte zueinander, basiert auf korrespondierenden Punktpaaren zwischen den Sensordaten. Um dies zu automatisieren, wurden in einem weiteren Schwerpunkt der Arbeit Methoden zur Merkmalsextraktion und deren

paarweiser Zuordnung entwickelt. Dabei wurde auch der Aspekt der multi-modalen Charakteristik der Sensordaten berücksichtigt.

Um die Alltagstauglichkeit des Systems zu demonstrieren, wurde exemplarisch ein breites Spektrum an Projekten mit typischen Anwendungen durchgeführt und die Ergebnisse dieser Feldstudien gegen existierende Methoden validiert.

Zusammenfassend wird ein hochgenaues, aus mehreren Sensoren bestehendes System vorgestellt, sowie robuste Methoden zum Kalibrieren und Registrieren der Sensordaten und deren Fusion zu farbigen dreidimensionalen Punktwolken.

Acknowledgements

This doctoral-thesis was completed during my employment at Zoller+Fröhlich GmbH in cooperation with the German Aerospace Center (DLR) and the Technical University of Munich. There are many people whom I would like to thank. Their continuous support and encouragement were invaluable throughout this work.

I am grateful to Christoph Fröhlich (CEO of Zoller+Fröhlich) for giving me the opportunity to work in this field and for his support over the past 5 years. I am grateful for his advice and many insightful discussions. His power and vision to establish Z+F in the fast and innovative laser scanning market is highly admirable. I am grateful to Gerd Hirzinger (Head of the Institute of Robotics and Mechatronics, DLR) for giving me the opportunity to conduct this work as an external employee at DLR. His willingness to support my project was very rewarding. I greatly enjoyed the interdisciplinary working environment at DLR. I am grateful to the supervisor of this thesis, Darius Burschka (Lab for Robotics and Embedded Systems, Technical University of Munich) for his interest in my project and for supporting this work. I am thankful that he spent his rare time for many useful discussions, much valuable advice and excellent ideas. I am grateful to Armin Grün (Head of the Chair of Photogrammetry, Federal Institute of Technology in Zürich): His research in the interface between photogrammetry and computer vision and especially his projects in cultural heritage conservation were highly inspiring this thesis. I appreciate his co-supervision of this work very much. I extend my thanks to Rudolf Staiger (Bochum University of Applied Sciences) for many crucial discussions in scanner calibration. His continuous support and cooperation helped me so much for getting a better understanding of surveying-techniques and modeling.

Many thanks to my colleagues of the laser scanning division at Zoller+Fröhlich in Wangen and Manchester: you are an exceptionally diverse and cooperative team! I thank Nicole Obertreiber for her continuous telephone-support during

our measurement campaigns and for forwarding me much valuable customer-feedback. Further, I thank Harald Timmer for giving me much feedback concerning practical issues on scanner calibration. My special thanks goes to Markus Mettenleiter, Andreas Hildebrand, Martin Breitner and Birger Neumann. Our discussions on the interface between mechanics, electronics, optics, surveyance and mathematical modeling let me get a better grasp of the complexity of laser scanning. In particular, I would like to thank Franz Härtl. His continuous support and advice in software integration was invaluable for the completion of this work.

Many thanks also to my colleagues at the German Aerospace Center. In particular, I would like to thank Hans Heindl and Bernhard Strackenbrock for many crucial discussions, project management and the pleasant times spent together during our numerous measurement campaigns. I extend my thanks to Tim Bodenmüller for good cooperation and discussions and Michael Suppa for coordination, project management and proof-reading. I highly appreciate all the time he spent for this research. Finally, I am very grateful to Heiko Hirschmüller. Thanks for so much valuable advice, good ideas, proof-reading and encouragement. It was a lot of fun to discuss so many things in computer vision, mathematics, photogrammetry and many further topics.

During their internships, bachelor's - or master's thesis, Andreas Wagner, Hannes Sahl, Elmiloudi Chabriki, Steven Sablerolle (in cooperation with Alex Bucksch from the Technical University of Delft), Holger Helmich and Jochen Seitz have performed implementations and experiments. I am grateful for these contributions and discussions. Moreover, I would like to thank Michael Kellner and Markus Lausser for revising many mathematical proofs in this work.

Finally, I would like to thank my dear sister Simone and her husband Martin for their continuous encouragement and for their time spent correcting my English. Last but not least, I would like to thank my parents: It was my father who first introduced me to the fascinating field of image processing. Without his and my Mom's continuous support, encouragement and advice over the years this work would not have been possible.

Contents

1	Introduction	15
1.1	Optical 3D Measurement Techniques	15
1.2	Motivation	16
1.3	Related Work	18
1.3.1	Multi Sensor Approach	18
1.3.2	Calibration of the Scanner	19
1.3.3	Calibration of the Camera	19
1.3.4	Model for Multiple Scan Registration	20
1.3.5	Feature Extraction and Matching	21
1.4	Scope of this Work	24
1.4.1	Multi Sensor Approach	24
1.4.2	Sensor Model of the Scanner	25
1.4.3	Sensor Model of the Camera and the Camera-Tilt-Unit	25
1.4.4	Model for Multiple Scans Registration	26
1.4.5	Feature Point Extraction and Matching	26
1.5	Structure of this Work	28
2	Scanner Model	29
2.1	The Ideal Sensor Model	30
2.1.1	Mechanical Principle	30
2.1.2	Coordinate Systems	30
2.1.3	Sensor Model	30
2.1.4	Properties	31
2.2	Sensor Model for Theodolites	32
2.2.1	Mechanical Principle	32
2.2.2	Sensor Model	32
2.2.3	Properties	34
2.2.4	Calibration Approach	34

2.2.5	Lemma: Rotation Characteristics of the Laser Beam . . .	35
2.2.6	Sensor Characteristics (i)	37
2.2.7	Angle Representation of the Sensor Characteristics (i) . .	39
2.2.8	Sensor Characteristics (ii)	41
2.2.9	Angle Representation of the Sensor Characteristics (ii) . .	42
2.2.10	Reprojection	45
2.2.11	Conclusions	45
2.3	Sensor Model of the Deflection Device	46
2.3.1	Mechanical Principle	46
2.3.2	Reflecting a Straight Line on a Plane	47
2.3.3	Sensor Model	49
2.3.4	Properties	49
2.3.5	Calibration Approach	51
2.3.6	Sensor Characteristics (i)	52
2.3.7	Angle Representation of the Sensor Characteristics (i) . .	52
2.3.8	Sensor Characteristics (ii)	56
2.3.9	Angle Representation of the Sensor Characteristics (ii) . .	56
2.3.10	Reprojection	58
2.3.11	Conclusions	59
2.4	Extended Model	59
2.4.1	Mechanical Principle	60
2.4.2	Modeling the Rotation Axis	61
2.4.3	Sensor Model	61
2.4.4	Properties	62
2.4.5	Calibration Approach	63
2.4.6	Angle Representation of the Sensor Characteristics (i) . .	64
2.4.7	Angle Representation of the Sensor Characteristics (ii) . .	67
2.4.8	Reprojection	70
2.4.9	Calibration Outline	70
2.4.10	Conclusions	74
2.5	Summary	75
3	Model of the Camera and Camera-Tilt-Unit	77
3.1	Coordinate Systems	77
3.2	Sensor Model	79
3.3	Properties	80
3.4	Calibration Outline	82
3.5	Fusion of Camera and Scanner Data	84

3.5.1	Definitions	84
3.5.2	Implementation Aspects	85
3.6	Summary	87
4	Model for Multiple Scan Registration	89
4.1	Automatic Level Compensation	89
4.1.1	Coordinate Systems	90
4.1.2	Properties	91
4.1.3	Algorithm Outline	92
4.2	Registration of Multiple Scans	92
4.2.1	Definitions	93
4.2.2	Properties	95
4.2.3	Algorithm Outline	97
4.3	Summary	97
5	Feature Point Extraction and Matching	99
5.1	Artificial Landmarks	99
5.1.1	Definitions	101
5.1.2	Properties	101
5.1.3	Approach	102
5.1.4	Aspects of the Implementation	103
5.2	Marker-Free Feature Extraction and Matching	107
5.2.1	Feature Extraction	108
5.2.2	Feature Matching Approach for Camera vs. Scanner	109
5.2.3	Global Feature Matching Approach for Multiple Scans	114
5.2.4	Outlier Detection using RANSAC	117
5.3	Summary	119
6	Experimental Results	121
6.1	Hardware and Data-Acquisition	121
6.1.1	Hardware	121
6.1.2	Data-Acquisition	123
6.1.3	Statistical Measurements	130
6.2	Artificial Landmark Detection	133
6.3	System Calibration and Registration	136
6.3.1	Scanner Calibration	136
6.3.2	Camera Calibration	139
6.3.3	Multiple Scan Registration	142

6.4	Validation of System Calibration	144
6.4.1	Validation of Scanner Calibration	144
6.4.2	Validation of Camera Calibration	147
6.4.3	Validation of Multiple Scans Registration	150
6.5	Examples of Visualization	153
7	Discussion and Outlook	159
A	Sensor Model of the Deflection Device	163
A.1	Lemma: Rotation Characteristics of the Mirror	163
A.2	Sensor Characteristics (i)	165
A.3	Sensor Characteristics (ii)	167
B	Hardware Specification of the Z+F IMAGER 5003 and 5006	173

Notation

Miscellaneous

$\langle ; \rangle$	Euclidean scalar product
$\ \ $	Euclidean norm
$\times$	vector product
$ \{..\} $	cardinality, number of elements in $\{..\}$
$E_{n,d}$	Hessian of a plane with dist d to the origin and normal vector n
$G := (g_{ij})_{i \leq N, j \leq M}$	Gray values of an image G , with width N and height M
$S^{(c)}$	Color Scan – Eq. (3.23)
$I^{(d)}$	3D Image – Eq. (3.25)

Coordinate Systems

$K^{(s)}$	Scanner coordinate system – Eq. (2.2)
$K^{(c)}$	Camera coordinate system – Eq. (3.2)
$K^{(h)}$	Horizontal scanner coordinate system – Eq. (4.2)
$K_{r,p,y}^{(l)}$	Level coordinate system – Eq. (4.3)
$K_i^{(g_0)}$	Feature coordinate system at featurepoint g_0 and viewpoint V_i – Eq. (5.39)

Rotation Matrices

id	Unit matrix
Z_α	Rotation around the z-axis and the angle α
X_β	Rotation around the x-axis and the angle β
$R_{x,\alpha}$	Axis-Angle representation of a rotation matrix, with the axis x and the angle α – see reference [100]
$R_{r,p,y}$	Roll-Pitch-Yaw representation of a rotation matrix, with the roll r , the pitch p and the yaw y – see reference [32]

Sensor Models

Φ	The <i>ideal sensor model</i> – Eq. (2.4)
$\hat{\Phi}$	The <i>theodolite sensor</i> model – Eq. (2.7)
$\hat{\delta}$	Error characteristic (i) of the theodolite model – Eq. (2.9)
$\hat{\varepsilon}_{\alpha}^{(\delta)}$	azimuth angle of $\hat{\delta}$ of the theodolite sensor model – Eq. (2.18)
$\hat{\varepsilon}_{\beta}^{(\delta)}$	elevation angle of $\hat{\delta}$ of the theodolite sensor model – Eq. (2.19)
$\hat{\xi}$	Error characteristics (ii) of the theodolite model – Eq. (2.10)
$\hat{\varepsilon}_{\alpha}^{(\xi)}$	azimuth angle of $\hat{\xi}$ – Eq. (2.23)
$\hat{\varepsilon}_{\beta}^{(\xi)}$	elevation angle of $\hat{\xi}$ – Eq. (2.24)
$\check{\Phi}$	The <i>sensor model of the deflection device</i> – Eq. (2.30)
$\check{\delta}$	Error characteristics (i) of the deflection device model – Eq. (2.34)
$\check{\varepsilon}_{\alpha}^{(\delta)}$	azimuth angle of $\check{\delta}$ – Eq. (2.40)
$\check{\varepsilon}_{\beta}^{(\delta)}$	elevation angle of $\check{\delta}$ – Eq. (2.41)
$\check{\xi}$	Error characteristics (ii) of the deflection device model – Eq. (2.35)
$\check{\varepsilon}_{\alpha}^{(\xi)}$	azimuth angle of $\check{\xi}$ – Eq. (2.45)
$\check{\varepsilon}_{\beta}^{(\xi)}$	elevation angle of $\check{\xi}$ – Eq. (2.46)
$\tilde{\Phi}$	The <i>extended sensor model</i> – Eq. (2.54)
$\tilde{\delta}$	Error characteristics (i) of the extended sensor model – Eq. (2.57)
$\tilde{\varepsilon}_{\alpha}^{(\delta)}$	azimuth angle of $\tilde{\delta}$ – Eq. (2.65)
$\tilde{\varepsilon}_{\beta}^{(\delta)}$	elevation angle of $\tilde{\delta}$ – Eq. (2.69)
$\tilde{\xi}$	Error characteristics (ii) of the extended sensor model – Eq. (2.58)
$\tilde{\varepsilon}_{\alpha}^{(\xi)}$	azimuth angle of $\tilde{\xi}$ – Eq. (2.73)
$\tilde{\varepsilon}_{\beta}^{(\xi)}$	elevation angle of $\tilde{\xi}$ – Eq. (2.74)
$\Xi_{\alpha,\beta}$	<i>Sensor Model of the Camera</i> – Eq. (3.4)