

M-Matrices in Meshless Finite Difference Methods

Benjamin Seibold

Department of Mathematics

University of Kaiserslautern, Germany

Email: `seibold@mathematik.uni-kl.de`

Vom Fachbereich Mathematik
der Technischen Universität Kaiserslautern
zur Verleihung des akademischen Grades
Doktor der Naturwissenschaften
(Doctor rerum naturalium, Dr. rer. nat.)
genehmigte Dissertation.

- | | |
|--------------|------------------------|
| 1. Gutachter | Prof. Dr. Axel Klar |
| 2. Gutachter | Prof. Dr. Michael Junk |

Vollzug der Promotion 28. September 2006

Berichte aus der Mathematik

Benjamin Seibold

M-Matrices in Meshless Finite Difference Methods

D 386 (Diss. Technische Universität Kaiserslautern)

Shaker Verlag
Aachen 2006

Bibliographic information published by the Deutsche Nationalbibliothek

The Deutsche Nationalbibliothek lists this publication in the Deutsche Nationalbibliografie; detailed bibliographic data are available in the Internet at <http://dnb.d-nb.de>.

Zugl.: Kaiserslautern, TU, Diss., 2006

Copyright Shaker Verlag 2006

All rights reserved. No part of this publication may be reproduced, stored in a retrieval system, or transmitted, in any form or by any means, electronic, mechanical, photocopying, recording or otherwise, without the prior permission of the publishers.

Printed in Germany.

ISBN-10: 3-8322-5643-1

ISBN-13: 978-3-8322-5643-2

ISSN 0945-0882

Shaker Verlag GmbH • P.O. BOX 101818 • D-52018 Aachen

Phone: 0049/2407/9596-0 • Telefax: 0049/2407/9596-9

Internet: www.shaker.de • e-mail: info@shaker.de

Acknowledgments

I would like to express my gratitude to Prof. Helmut Neunzert (TU Kaiserslautern) for finding this interesting subject and for giving me the opportunity to study and research in the graduate college *Mathematik und Praxis*. I gratefully acknowledge his advice, his help and his criticism all throughout my academic studies in Kaiserslautern.

I am grateful to Prof. Axel Klar (TU Kaiserslautern) for being advisor for my work in the last two years. I would like to thank him for his trust in my working, his support, and especially for the friendly and helpful atmosphere.

I would like to thank Dr. Jörg Kuhnert (Fraunhofer ITWM) for his help and comments regarding the application of my ideas. He gave me the opportunity to test my methods on real data and his interest in the results was a strong motivation for investigating the approach deeper. I also would like to thank Dr. Sudarshan Tiwari (Fraunhofer ITWM) for his help and support with various technical aspects of the FPM code.

Great acknowledgments go to Prof. Sven Krumke (TU Kaiserslautern) for his help on various aspects in optimization, to Dr. Klaus Stüben and his colleagues (Fraunhofer SCAI) for giving me the opportunity to work with the SAMG code, and to Christian Mense (TU Berlin) for his help in testing multigrid solvers for systems obtained by approaches developed in this thesis.

Many thanks go to my colleagues from the Technomathematics group, firstly for helpful comments and fruitful discussions on my research, and secondly for the friendly atmosphere in many non-scientific activities. The same applies for my co-PhD-students in the graduate college.

The financial support of the DFG Graduiertenkolleg *Mathematik und Praxis* is gratefully acknowledged.

Finally, I would like to thank my parents and my friends, especially my Ultimate Frisbee team, for their support and help.

Contents

1	Introduction	1
2	Meshless Particle Methods for Flow Problems	5
2.1	Equations of Fluid Mechanics	5
2.1.1	Conservation of Mass	6
2.1.2	Balance of Momentum	7
2.1.3	Conservation of Energy	8
2.2	Numerical Methods for Flow Problems	9
2.2.1	Numerical Methods for Incompressible Viscous Flows	10
2.2.2	Meshless Methods for Elliptic Problems	12
2.3	Smoothed Particle Hydrodynamics	14
2.3.1	Smoothing and Particle Approximation	14
2.3.2	Numerical Method	16
2.3.3	Application to Hydrodynamic Equations	16
2.3.4	Features of SPH	18
2.3.5	From SPH to FPM	19
2.4	FPM for Incompressible Navier-Stokes Equations	20
2.4.1	Boundary Conditions	21
2.4.2	Examples of Application	23
2.5	Particle Management	25
2.5.1	Adding Particles	25
2.5.2	Removing Particles	26
2.6	Particle Management for Burgers' Equation	26
2.6.1	Solutions of Burgers' Equation	27
2.6.2	FPM for Inviscid Burgers' Equation	28
2.6.3	FPM for Viscous Burgers' Equation	33
3	Point Clouds	37
3.1	Point Cloud Geometry	38
3.2	Data Management	42
3.2.1	Generating Lists	42
3.2.2	Finding Neighboring Points	43

3.2.3	Removing Close Points	43
3.2.4	Filling Holes	43
3.2.5	Varying Point Density	44
3.3	Point Cloud Generation	44
3.4	Point Cloud Manipulation	45
3.5	Neighborhood Relations	45
3.5.1	Geometric Neighborhood Concepts	46
4	Meshless Finite Differences for the Poisson Equation	51
4.1	Matrix Generation	51
4.1.1	Matrix Graph and Connectivity	52
4.2	Consistent Derivative Approximation	54
4.2.1	Positive Stencils	56
4.2.2	Higher Order Approximations	56
4.2.3	Special Configurations	58
4.3	General Second Order Elliptic Problems	60
5	Least Squares Methods	63
5.1	Local Least Squares Method	63
5.1.1	Approximating Local Least Squares	64
5.1.2	Interpolating Local Least Squares	66
5.1.3	Minimization Formulation	67
5.1.4	Approximating and Interpolating Approach in 1d	69
5.2	Moving Least Squares Method	71
5.2.1	Distance Weight Function	71
5.2.2	Approximation in Polynomial Basis	73
5.2.3	Shepard's Method	74
5.2.4	Derivatives by the Moving Least Squares Method	75
5.3	Derivatives by Approximating Moving Least Squares	77
5.3.1	Consistency of First and Second Derivative	77
5.3.2	Special Cases in One Space Dimension	79
5.3.3	Special Case: First Derivatives on Symmetric Grid	81
5.3.4	Special Case: Gaussian Weight Function on Arbitrary Grid	83
5.3.5	Special Case: Gaussian Weight Function on Symmetric Grid	85
5.3.6	Inconsistency for Insufficient Polynomial Degree	91
5.3.7	Special Case: One Sided Derivative	94
5.4	Derivatives by Interpolating Moving Least Squares	96
5.4.1	Consistency for Varying Polynomial Degree	97
5.4.2	Special Case: Symmetric Grid	100
5.4.3	Special Case: One Sided Derivative	103
5.4.4	IMLS in Matrix Notation	104
5.5	Comparison of the Approaches	105
5.5.1	Comparison for Regular Symmetric Grid	106
5.5.2	Comparison for Poisson Test Problem	107
5.5.3	Numerical Effort of Stencil Computations	112
5.5.4	Conclusions	114

6	The Minimal Positive Stencil Method	117
6.1	M-Matrices	118
6.1.1	Sufficient Conditions for M-Matrix Structure	119
6.1.2	Consequences of M-Matrix Structure	121
6.2	Approaches to Obtain Positive Stencils	122
6.3	Linear Minimization Approach	123
6.3.1	Comparison of Quadratic and Linear Minimization	126
6.3.2	Geometric Interpretation of Minimal Positive Stencils	128
6.3.3	Minimal Positive Stencils for Specific Geometries	129
6.3.4	Discontinuous Dependence on Geometry	131
6.4	Conditions for the Existence of Positive Stencils	132
6.4.1	A Necessary Criterion for Positive Stencils	134
6.4.2	A Sufficient Criterion for Positive Stencils	135
6.4.3	Condition on Point Cloud Geometry	140
6.5	Neumann Boundary Points	141
6.5.1	Conditions for Positive Neumann Stencils	143
6.5.2	Linear Minimization for Neumann Points	144
6.5.3	Geometric Interpretation of Neumann Stencils	145
6.6	Minimal Stencils and Matrix Connectivity	146
6.7	Optimization Routines	148
6.7.1	Effort of Simplex in Comparison to Least Squares	150
6.7.2	Improvement of the Simplex Method	151
6.7.3	Forcing a Specific Point into the Stencil	152
6.8	Implementation of the MPS Method	153
6.9	Neighborhood Concepts Revisited	154
6.10	Generalizations of the MPS Approach	156
6.10.1	Hybrid Approximation Methods	156
6.10.2	MPS Cells	156
6.11	General Second Order Elliptic Problems	158
7	Linear Solvers	159
7.1	Iterative Solvers	160
7.1.1	Examples and Convergence for M-Matrices	161
7.2	Krylov Subspace Methods	162
7.3	Preconditioning	163
7.4	Multigrid	164
7.4.1	Basic Multigrid	164
7.4.2	Multigrid Versions	166
7.4.3	Algebraic Multigrid	167
7.4.4	Convergence Results for AMG	168
7.4.5	FPM and Multigrid for Small Time Steps	170

8 Numerical Experiments	173
8.1 Test Problem in 2d – Compare MPS and LSQ	173
8.1.1 Matrix Structure	175
8.1.2 Inverse Matrix Structure	177
8.1.3 Approximation Error	179
8.2 Test Problem in 3d – Compare Solvers	181
8.3 Investigate AMLI Solver	184
8.4 MPS Method in FPM Simulation	185
9 Conclusions and Outlook	191
Bibliography	201