

**Institut für Pflanzenbau**  
**Professur für Speziellen Pflanzenbau und Pflanzenzüchtung**  
**Prof. Dr. J. Léon**

---

**AB-QTL analysis for two populations of winter barley sharing the  
donor of *Hordeum vulgare* ssp. *spontaneum***

**Inaugural-Dissertation**  
zur  
**Erlangung des Grades**  
**Doktor der Agrarwissenschaften**  
**(Dr. agr.)**

der  
**Hohen Landwirtschaftlichen Fakultät**  
**der Rheinischen Friedrich-Wilhelms-Universität Bonn**

**vorgelegt am 22. April 2005**

von

**Huajun Wang**

aus

**Lanzhou, China**

**Erster Berichterstatter:**

**Herr Prof. Dr. Jens Léon**

**Zweiter Berichterstatter:**

**Herr Prof. Dr. Marc J. J. Janssens**

**Tag der mündlichen Prüfung:**

**17. Juni 2005**

Schriftenreihe des Institutes für Pflanzenbau

Band 6/2005

**Huajun Wang**

**AB-QTL analysis for two populations  
of winter barley sharing the donor of  
*Hordeum vulgare* ssp. *spontaneum***

D 98 (Diss. Universität Bonn)

Shaker Verlag  
Aachen 2005

**Bibliographic information published by Die Deutsche Bibliothek**

Die Deutsche Bibliothek lists this publication in the Deutsche Nationalbibliografie; detailed bibliographic data is available in the internet at <http://dnb.ddb.de>.

Zugl.: Bonn, Univ., Diss., 2005

Copyright Shaker Verlag 2005

All rights reserved. No part of this publication may be reproduced, stored in a retrieval system, or transmitted, in any form or by any means, electronic, mechanical, photocopying, recording or otherwise, without the prior permission of the publishers.

Printed in Germany.

ISBN 3-8322-4226-0

ISSN 1619-9456

Shaker Verlag GmbH • P.O. BOX 101818 • D-52018 Aachen

Phone: 0049/2407/9596-0 • Telefax: 0049/2407/9596-9

Internet: [www.shaker.de](http://www.shaker.de) • eMail: [info@shaker.de](mailto:info@shaker.de)

To my *memorable* years in Bonn  
难忘Bonn岁月



## Abstrakt (in Deutsch)

Die Ziel des vorliegenden Projekts war, vorteilhafte exotische QTL-Allele für die Verbesserung der agronomischen Leistung, der Krankheitsresistenz und der unspezifischen Blattflecken in zwei BC<sub>2</sub>DH-Populationen zu ermitteln, die aus den Kreuzungen der beiden deutschen Wintergerstesorten Carola und Theresa (*Hordeum vulgare* ssp. *vulgare*, im folgenden mit *Hv* abgekürzt) mit der Wildgersten-Akzession ISR101-23 (*Hordeum vulgare* ssp. *spontaneum*, im folgenden mit *Hsp* abgekürzt) aus Israel abgeleitet wurden. Die zwei BC<sub>2</sub>DH-Populationen (benannt C101 und T101) wurden mit 82 und 78 SSR-Markern genotypisiert. C101 und T101 bestanden aus 282 und 104 BC<sub>2</sub>DH-Linien. Insgesamt 16 agronomische Merkmale, vier Krankheitsresistenzen sowie unspezifische Blattflecken wurden an bis zu sechs unterschiedlichen Umwelten und über zwei Jahre ausgewertet. Die QTL-Analyse wurde mit einer 3-faktoriellen ANOVA durchgeführt, mit dem Marker als fixen Effekt, der Linie geschachtelt in Markengenotyp, der Umwelt und den entsprechenden Interaktionen als zufällige Effekte. In C101 wurden 35 vorteilhafte exotische QTL-Effekte aus 183 putativen QTLs für 10 agronomische Merkmale, vier Krankheitsresistenzen und unspezifische Blattflecken ermittelt. Unter den vorteilhaften exotischen QTLs wurden 22 (19,1 %) vorteilhafte QTL-Effekte für agronomische Merkmale, und 13 (19,1 %) für Krankheitsresistenzen und unspezifische Blattflecken identifiziert. Ein crossover QTL-Effekt des *Hsp*-Alleles auf Ertrag, ermittelt auf Chromosom 6H in C101, war mit einer Ertragszunahme von 8,2 %, gemittelt über drei Umwelten, verbunden (Table 17). Allerdings war der *Hsp*-Effekt in den restlichen zwei Umwelten mit einer Ertragsabnahme von 4,6 % assoziiert. Die Symptome für Zwergrost, Netzflecken, Mehltau, *Rynchosporium* und unspezifische Blattflecken wurden in C101 durch exotische Allele an den QTLs *QlrC101-3H*, *QnbC101-6Hd*, *QpmC101-2H*, *QrhC101-7Ha* und *QnpbC101-5Ha* um 26,2 %, 20,8 %, 17,8 %, 4,9 % beziehungsweise 14,9 % reduziert. In T101 wurden 85 putative QTLs für elf agronomische Merkmale, Krankheitsresistenzen und unspezifische Blattflecken entdeckt. Der exotische Genotyp verbesserte die Leistung bei 13 (18,6 %) von 70 QTLs, die für agronomische Merkmale ermittelt wurden (Table 19). Insgesamt 39 putative QTLs, die in C101 ermittelt wurden, wurden durch 40 QTLs in T101 bestätigt. Von 268 putativen QTLs und 48 vorteilhaften exotischen Effekten, die in beiden Populationen gefunden wurden, konnten 65 (24,3 %) QTLs beziehungsweise 21 (43,6 %) vorteilhafte exotische Effekte in anderen QTL-Analysen der Gerste wiedergefunden werden. Ungefähr 64 % der vorteilhaften exotischen QTL-Allele, die in dieser Studie identifiziert wurden, konnten nicht in anderen Studien der Gerste ermittelt werden. Diese vorteilhaften *Hsp*-Allele könnten daher neue Allele sein.

## Abstract (in English)

The objective of the present study was to detect favourable exotic QTL alleles for the improvement of agronomic traits, pathogen resistance and non-parasitic browning in two BC<sub>2</sub>DH populations derived from the crosses of two German winter barley varieties, Carola and Theresa (*Hordeum vulgare* ssp. *vulgare*, in the following abbreviated *Hv*), with the wild barley accession ISR101-23 (*Hordeum vulgare* ssp. *spontaneum*, in the following abbreviated *Hsp*) from Israel. The two BC<sub>2</sub>DH populations (termed C101 and T101) were genotyped with 82 and 78 SSR markers, respectively. C101 and T101 consisted of 282 and 104 BC<sub>2</sub>DH lines, respectively. Sixteen agronomic traits, four pathogen resistances and the non-parasitic browning were evaluated at up to six different locations and in two consecutive years. QTL analysis was carried out with a three-factorial ANOVA including the marker as fixed effect and the environment and lines nested in the marker genotype as well as the respective interactions as random effects. In addition, cold damage (COD) and neighbouring plots of the seriously cold-damaged plots (N) were used as co-variables for those traits which were significantly affected by COD and N. In C101, 35 favorable exotic QTL effects out of 183 putative QTLs were detected for ten agronomic traits, four pathogen resistances and non-parasitic browning. Among these putative QTLs, 22 (19.1 %) of 115 QTLs detected for agronomic traits exhibited favorable effects and 13 (19.1 %) of 68 QTLs identified for disease resistances and non-parasitic browning were associated with improvements. A crossover interaction QTL effect of the *Hsp* allele on yield, detected on chromosome 6H in C101, was associated with a yield increase of 8.2 % averaged across three environments. However, in the remaining two environments the *Hsp* effect was associated with a yield reduction of 4.6 %. In addition, favourable effects of exotic alleles were detected for all pathogen resistances and non-parasitic browning in this study. For instance, the symptoms of leaf rust, net blotch, powdery mildew, scald and non-parasitic browning symptoms at *QlrC101-3H*, *QnbC101-6Hd*, *QpmC101-2H*, *QrhC101-7Ha* and *QnpbC101-5Ha* were reduced by 26.2 %, 20.8 %, 17.8 %, 4.9 % and 14.9 % in C101, respectively (Table 17). In T101, 85 putative QTLs were discovered for eleven agronomic traits, four pathogen resistances and non-parasitic browning. The exotic genotype improved the performance at 13 (19.4 %) of 67 QTLs detected for agronomic traits and no favorable QTL effect was identified for disease resistances and non-parasitic browning in T101 (Table 19). Thirty-nine putative QTLs detected in C101 were confirmed by 40 QTLs detected in T101. Altogether, 65 (24.3 %) QTL effects among 268 putative QTLs localized in both populations and 21 (43.8 %) favorable QTL effects among 48 favorable QTL effects identified in both populations were verified in other barley QTL and linkage analyses. About 56 % favorable exotic QTL alleles identified in this study were so far not detected in other barley QTL studies. These favorable *Hsp* alleles may be new alleles.

**INHALTSVERZEICHNIS**

<b>ABSTRAKT (IN DEUTSCH) .....</b>	<b>I</b>
<b>ABSTRACT (IN ENGLISH) .....</b>	<b>II</b>
<b>1. INTRODUCTION.....</b>	<b>1</b>
1.1 TAXONOMY AND ORIGIN OF BARLEY .....	3
1.2 THE BARLEY GENOME .....	5
1.3 CULTIVATION AND USE OF THE BARLEY CROP.....	5
1.4 BARLEY BREEDING.....	6
1.5 DNA-MARKERS IN BARLEY BREEDING .....	7
1.5.1 DNA-MARKERS .....	8
1.5.1.1 RESTRICTION FRAGMENT LENGTH POLYMORPHISM (RFLP) .....	9
1.5.1.2 RANDOM AMPLIFIED POLYMORPHIC DNA (RAPD) .....	9
1.5.1.3 SEQUENCE TAGGED SITES (STS) .....	10
1.5.1.4 SIMPLE SEQUENCE REPEATS (SSRs) .....	10
1.5.1.5 AMPLIFIED FRAGMENT LENGTH POLYMORPHISM (AFLP) .....	11
1.5.1.6 SINGLE NUCLEOTIDE POLYMORPHISMS (SNP) .....	12
1.5.2 LINKAGE MAPS .....	12
1.5.3 MAPPING QUANTITATIVE TRAIT LOCI .....	13
1.5.3.1 QUANTITATIVE TRAITS .....	13
1.5.3.2 METHODS OF QTL MAPPING .....	14
1.5.3.2.1 SINGLE-MARKER ANALYSIS .....	15
1.5.3.2.2 SIMPLE INTERVAL MAPPING .....	15
1.5.3.2.3 COMPOSITE INTERVAL MAPPING .....	16
1.5.3.2.4 QTL X ENVIRONMENT INTERACTION .....	16
1.5.3.2.5 SIGNIFICANCE THRESHOLDS .....	17
1.5.3.2.6 DETECTING POWER .....	17
1.5.3.3 CONCLUSIONS FROM QTL MAPPING EXPERIMENTS .....	18
1.5.4 QTLS FOR AGRONOMIC TRAITS .....	19
1.5.5 QTLS FOR DISEASE RESISTANCE .....	19
1.5.6 MARKER ASSISTED SELECTION .....	20
1.5.7 INTROGRESSION .....	22
1.5.8 NEAR-ISOGENIC LINES (NILS) AND CROP IMPROVEMENT .....	24

1.5.9 MAP-BASED CLONING .....	24
1.6 DOUBLED HAPLOID POPULATION .....	25
1.7 AB-QTL ANALYSIS .....	26
1.8 AIM OF THE STUDY.....	27
<b>2. MATERIAL AND METHODES.....</b>	<b>28</b>
2.1 PFLANZENMATERIAL UND DNA-EXTRAKTIONEN .....	28
2.1.1 TEST LOCATIONS .....	29
2.1.2 EVALUATION OF AGRONOMIC TRAITS, PATHOGEN RESISTANCES AND NON-PARASITIC BROWNING .....	31
2.2 MOLECULAR MARKERS ANALYSIS .....	32
2.2.1 DNA EXTRACTION.....	32
2.2.2 SSR MARKER ANALYSIS .....	33
2.2.2.1 MARKER AND LINKAGE MAP RESOURCES.....	33
2.2.2.2 POLYMERASE CHAIN REACTION (PCR) .....	34
2.2.2.3 Li-COR SYSTEM .....	34
2.2.2.4 GENOTYPE SCORING .....	35
2.3 DATA ANALYSIS .....	35
2.3.1 PROPORTION OF EXOTIC ALLELES .....	35
2.3.2 STATISTICAL ANALYSIS OF DATA .....	35
2.3.2.1 VARIANCE ANALYSIS TO DETECT LINE EFFECTS.....	36
2.3.2.2 ANALYSIS OF GENETIC CORRELATION.....	37
2.3.2.3 DETECTION OF PUTATIVE QTLS .....	37
2.3.2.4 DEFINITION OF FAVORABLE EXOTIC QTL ALLELE .....	38
<b>3. RESULTS .....</b>	<b>39</b>
3.1 ANALYSIS OF GENETIC CORRELATION FOR ALL TRAITS IN BOTH BC <sub>2</sub> DH POPULATIONS..	39
3.1.1 ANALYSIS OF GENETIC CORRELATION FOR ALL TRAITS IN C101 .....	39
3.1.2 ANALYSIS OF GENETIC CORRELATION FOR ALL TRAITS IN T101 .....	40
3.2 THE EFFECT OF COLD DAMAGE ON OTHER TRAITS.....	42
3.2.1 ANALYSIS OF VARIANCE FOR COLD DAMAGE IN 2002/03.....	42
3.2.2 ANALYSIS OF THE EFFECTS OF SERIOUSLY COLD-DAMAGED PLOTS ON THEIR NEIGHBOURING PLOTS IN 2002/03.....	43
3.2.3 CORRELATION ANALYSIS BETWEEN COD AND OTHER TRAITS IN C101 AND T101 IN 2002/03 .....	43
3.2.3.1 ANALYSIS OF VARIANCE FOR NORMAL PLOTS, SERIOUSLY COLD-DAMAGED PLOTS AND THEIR NEIGHBORING PLOTS IN 2002/03.....	44

3.2.3.2 COMPARISONS OF MEANS BETWEEN NORMAL PLOTS, SERIOUSLY COLD-DAMAGED PLOTS AND THEIR NEIGHBOUR PLOTS IN C101 AND T101 .....	46
3.3 ANALYSIS OF VARIANCE FOR ALL TRAITS INCLUDING DATA FROM 2002/03 AND 2003/04 IN BOTH BC <sub>2</sub> DH POPULATIONS .....	48
3.3.1 ANALYSIS OF VARIANCE FOR ALL TRAITS IN C101 IN SEASONS 2002/03 AND 2003/04 .....	49
3.3.2 ANALYSIS OF VARIANCE FOR ALL TRAITS IN T101 IN SEASONS 2002/03 AND 2003/04 .....	49
3.4 GENOTYPING OF TWO BC <sub>2</sub> DH POPULATIONS .....	50
3.5 RESULTS OF THE AB-QTL ANALYSIS IN TWO BC <sub>2</sub> DH WINTER BARLEY POPULATIONS...52 3.5.1 DETECTION OF QTLs FOR ALL OF EVALUATED TRAITS IN C101 .....	54
3.5.1.1 DETECTION OF QTLs FOR AGRONOMIC TRAITS IN C101 .....	54
3.5.1.2 DETECTION OF QTLs FOR PATHOGEN RESISTANCE AND NON-PARASITIC BROWNING IN C101 .....	58
3.5.2 DETECTION OF QTLs FOR ALL OF EVALUATED TRAITS IN T101.....	72
3.5.1.1 DETECTION OF QTLs FOR AGRONOMIC TRAITS IN T101.....	72
3.5.1.2 DETECTION OF QTLs FOR PATHOGEN RESISTANCE AND NON-PARASITIC BROWNING IN T101 .....	75
<b>4. DISCUSSION.....</b>	<b>83</b>
4.1 COMPARISON OF THE AB-QTL ANALYSIS BETWEEN C101 AND T101 .....	83
4.1.1 COMPARISON OF THE DETECTED PUTATIVE QTLs IN BOTH POPULATIONS.....	84
4.1.1.1 COMPARISON OF THE SIGNIFICANT EFFECTS DETECTED BY SHARED MARKERS IN C101 AND T101 .....	85
4.1.1.2 COMPARISON OF THE PUTATIVE QTLs DETECTED BY SHARED MARKERS IN C101 AND T101 .....	88
4.1.2 COMPARISON OF PUTATIVE QTL EFFECTS WITH OTHER AB POPULATIONS IN BARLEY .....	94
4.2 COMPARISON OF THE AB-QTL ANALYSIS IN C101 AND T101 WITH CLASSICAL QTL ANALYSIS IN BARLEY .....	99
4.2.1 COMPARISON OF THE AB-QTL ANALYSIS IN C101 AND T101 WITH CLASSICAL QTL AND LINKAGE ANALYSES FOR AGRONOMIC TRAITS IN BARLEY.....	100
4.2.2 COMPARISON OF THE AB-QTL ANALYSIS IN C101 AND T101 WITH CLASSICAL QTL AND LINKAGE ANALYSES FOR DISEASE RESISTANCES AND NON-PARASITIC BROWNING .....	105
4.3 ENVIRONMENT-DEPENDENT QTL .....	110
4.4 CONCLUSION OF AB-QTL ANALYSIS.....	111

5. SUMMARY .....	115
6. REFERENCE.....	118
7. APPENDIX.....	139
8. LIST OF FIGURES.....	165
9. LIST OF TABLES.....	165
10. ABBREVIATION .....	167
11. ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS .....	168
12. CURRICULUM VITAE (C V).....	169