

Aus dem Institut für Medizinische Physik
Friedrich-Alexander-Universität Erlangen-Nürnberg
Direktor: Prof. Dr. W. Kalender

Deterministic Simulation of Arbitrary CT Measurements with Experimental Verification

Inaugural-Dissertation
zur Erlangung der Doktorwürde
der Medizinischen Fakultät
der Friedrich-Alexander-Universität Erlangen-Nürnberg
(Dr. rer. biol. hum.)

vorgelegt von
Thomas Riedel
aus Ingolstadt

Gedruckt mit Erlaubnis der Medizinischen Fakultät
der Friedrich-Alexander-Universität Erlangen-Nürnberg

Dekan: Prof. Dr. M. Röllinghoff

Referent: Prof. Dr. W. Kalender
Institut für Medizinische Physik, FAU Erlangen-Nürnberg

1. Korreferent: Prof. Dr. G. Anton
Lehrstuhl für Experimentalphysik, FAU Erlangen-Nürnberg

2. Korreferent: PD Dr. M. Kachelrieß
Institut für Medizinische Physik, FAU Erlangen-Nürnberg

Tag der mündlichen Prüfung: 18. Mai 2004

Berichte aus dem Institut für Medizinische Physik
der Friedrich-Alexander-Universität Erlangen-Nürnberg

Band 14

Thomas Riedel

**Deterministic Simulation
of Arbitrary CT Measurements
with Experimental Verification**

D 29 (Diss. Universität Erlangen-Nürnberg)

Shaker Verlag
Aachen 2005

Bibliographic information published by Die Deutsche Bibliothek

Die Deutsche Bibliothek lists this publication in the Deutsche Nationalbibliografie; detailed bibliographic data is available in the internet at <http://dnb.ddb.de>.

Zugl.: Erlangen-Nürnberg, Univ., Diss., 2004

Copyright Shaker Verlag 2005

All rights reserved. No part of this publication may be reproduced, stored in a retrieval system, or transmitted, in any form or by any means, electronic, mechanical, photocopying, recording or otherwise, without the prior permission of the publishers.

Printed in Germany.

ISBN 3-8322-3707-0

ISSN 1616-0142

Shaker Verlag GmbH • P.O. BOX 101818 • D-52018 Aachen

Phone: 0049/2407/9596-0 • Telefax: 0049/2407/9596-9

Internet: www.shaker.de • eMail: info@shaker.de

Preface by the Editor

Simulations are a powerful and indispensable tool in the design of systems. This statement certainly holds true for the evaluation of concepts for modern CT scanners. New detector designs, arbitrary focus trajectories and new reconstruction algorithms can be tested and evaluated without the need to provide the physical equivalent. Also, for already existing designs it may be much easier to vary given parameters in a virtual experiment conducted by simulation than it would be in reality.

The dissertation of Thomas Riedel presented in this volume is dedicated to the development of flexible simulation tools for fast deterministic calculation of x-ray attenuation for arbitrary mathematically defined objects. The implemented modular concept makes the tool versatile and easy to use for numerous application fields.

As application examples the simulation was used in two studies. First the optimum scan voltage for the detection of high contrast details with respect to the patient size was investigated. Second the performance of a flat-panel detector for CT imaging was analyzed. This topic is of major interest driven by the aim to increase the z-coverage in CT scanning within a single rotation and by the fact that flat-panel detectors start to replace the conventional image intensifiers in x-ray angiography. The simulations proved to be valuable assistance in verifying measured results.

The simulation tools described in this dissertation are meanwhile in wide use at the IMP, with libraries of objects, spectra, detector materials etc. being added continuously and with Monte Carlo methods added to allow for scatter simulations. They provide a solid basis for innovative work in all fields of X-ray imaging.

Erlangen, December 2004
Willi A. Kalender, Ph.D.
Editor

Contents

1	Introduction	1
2	Generation of Projection Data	3
2.1	Concepts and General Definitions	3
2.1.1	Principle of Computed Tomography	3
2.1.2	General Definitions	3
2.1.3	Concepts	5
2.2	Calculation of Intensity Attenuation	6
2.2.1	Physical Requirements	6
2.2.1.1	Attenuation of Mono- and Polychromatic X-Rays	6
2.2.1.2	Physical Effects	6
2.2.1.3	Object-Specific Properties	7
2.2.2	Geometrical Issues: Constructive Solid Geometry (CSG)	8
2.2.2.1	Solid Modeling and Principles of CSG	8
2.2.2.2	Simple Objects: Intersection Length	10
2.2.2.3	Boolean Operators	13
2.2.2.4	Bounding Objects	14
2.3	Implementation Details	15
2.3.1	Phantom Definition	15
2.3.1.1	File Definition	16
2.3.1.2	Class Definition	17
2.3.2	Attenuation Data	17
2.3.3	X-Ray Spectra	18
2.3.4	Virtual Plane Previews	20
2.3.5	Raw Data Format	21
2.4	Virtual Scan Control Parameters	21
2.4.1	Scan Protocols	22
2.4.1.1	Sequence Scan	23
2.4.1.2	Spiral Scan	23
2.4.1.3	Alternative Trajectories	23
2.4.2	Detector Systems	24
2.4.2.1	Flat Panel Detectors	25
2.4.2.2	Cylindrical Detectors	26
2.4.2.3	Spherical Detectors	26
2.4.3	Spectrum	27
2.4.3.1	Primary Spectra	28
2.4.3.2	Filtration	28

2.4.3.3	Shaped Filters	28
2.4.3.4	Detected Spectra	29
2.5	Special Effects and Additional Tools	29
2.5.1	Noise	29
2.5.2	Detection Effects	31
2.5.3	Scatter	34
2.5.4	Dose	35
2.6	Speed and Complexity	35
2.6.1	Calculation Time for Simple Objects	35
2.6.2	Sub-sampling	36
2.6.3	Effect of Bounding Objects	40
3	Validation	43
3.1	Validation of Input Data	43
3.1.1	Attenuation Data	43
3.1.2	Geometry Definition	44
3.2	Validation of Simulation Results	46
3.2.1	Verification of Simulated Attenuation Data	46
3.2.1.1	Comparison with the Ideal Images	46
3.2.1.2	Comparison with DRASIM	47
3.2.1.3	Comparison with Measurements	50
3.2.2	Verification of Simulated Noise	54
3.3	Summary	57
4	Optimization of Image Quality	59
4.1	Motivation	59
4.2	Simulation Parameters	60
4.2.1	Phantoms	60
4.2.2	Scan and Reconstruction Parameters	61
4.2.3	Evaluation Parameters	62
4.2.4	Validation of Simulation Results	63
4.3	Results	65
4.3.1	Overview	65
4.3.2	Signal and Noise	68
4.3.3	Contrast-to-Noise Ratio (CNR)	69
4.3.4	Contrast to Noise Ratio normalized to Dose (CNRD)	69
4.4	Summary	72
5	Evaluation of a Flat Panel Detector for CT	73
5.1	Materials and Methods	73
5.1.1	System Set-up	73
5.1.2	Measurement	77
5.1.3	Reconstruction	79
5.1.3.1	Normalization	79
5.1.3.2	Ring Artifact Correction	79
5.1.3.3	Pre-correction: Linearization	80
5.1.3.4	Misalignment Correction	80
5.1.4	Phantoms	82

5.1.4.1	Spatial Resolution	83
5.1.4.2	Noise Behaviour	83
5.1.4.3	Low Contrast Detectability	83
5.2	Results	85
5.2.1	Detector	85
5.2.2	Image Quality Parameters	86
5.2.2.1	Spatial Resolution	86
5.2.2.2	Noise	90
5.2.2.3	Contrast	92
5.2.2.4	Cone Beam Artifacts	97
5.3	Summary	98
Summary		100
Zusammenfassung		103
A List of Symbols and Abbreviations		109
	Object and Ray Parameters	109
	Scan Parameters	109
	Other Variables	110
B Scanner Geometry Specifications		113
C Phantom Definitions		115
D Validation		117
Bibliography		120
Acknowledgements		127
Curriculum Vitae		129