

Ingenieurgeodäsie -TU Graz

**Helmut Woschitz**

**System Calibration  
of  
Digital Levels:  
Calibration Facility, Procedures  
and Results**

Shaker Verlag  
Aachen 2003

**Bibliographic information published by Die Deutsche Bibliothek**

Die Deutsche Bibliothek lists this publication in the Deutsche Nationalbibliografie; detailed bibliographic data is available in the internet at <http://dnb.ddb.de>.

Zugl.: Graz, Techn. Univ., Diss., 2003

Diese Dissertation wurde an der Fakultät für Bauingenieurwesen der Technischen Universität Graz zum Zweck der Erlangung des akademischen Grades eines Doktors der technischen Wissenschaften eingereicht.

Prüfungskommission:

Referent: Univ.-Prof. Dr. Fritz K. Brunner  
Korreferent: Prof. Dr. Hilmar Ingensand

Tag der mündlichen Prüfung: 23. Januar 2003

Copyright Shaker Verlag 2003

All rights reserved. No part of this publication may be reproduced, stored in a retrieval system, or transmitted, in any form or by any means, electronic, mechanical, photocopying, recording or otherwise, without the prior permission of the publishers.

Printed in Germany.

ISBN 3-8322-2233-2

ISSN 1618-6303

Shaker Verlag GmbH • P.O. BOX 101818 • D-52018 Aachen

Phone: 0049/2407/9596-0 • Telefax: 0049/2407/9596-9

Internet: [www.shaker.de](http://www.shaker.de) • eMail: [info@shaker.de](mailto:info@shaker.de)

# *Abstract*

Since the first digital level was introduced to the market in 1990, digital levelling has become widely accepted for transferring heights, mainly because of the automated measuring process and higher productivity. Today three manufacturers offer digital precision levels.

A Level Simulator was developed to better understand the instruments' processing techniques and their dependencies on various influences and to derive the necessary information for their calibration. The processing techniques of the Leica, Topcon and Trimble/Zeiss levels are implemented. Measured pixel images may be processed as well as simulated images, where diffraction, distortion, vignetting, blur or pixel cross-talk are considered for example. Background knowledge achieved by developing the Level Simulator is used to describe the different height computation techniques.

Within the last years, calibration facilities were established to calibrate the level and the staff together by means of system calibration. The

existing vertical comparator at the Graz University of Technology was intensively investigated with the goal to make it more accurate. Rebuilding parts of the existing comparator improved its stability by a factor of about 30. A new comparator system software with a graphical user interface was developed to allow fully automated control of the whole calibration process.

The newest types of digital precision levels including the latest software versions were type tested using the vertical comparator. Their performance is shown for different sections of the staff in a distance range that is commonly used in precise levelling. The dependency on the individual level is shown as well as height errors caused by damaged staffs. All investigated systems show a variety of significant height deviations. Some effects were already known, others were discovered by this study. The application of the Level Simulator provides for the first time the insight about the cause of certain effects: processing technique or image acquisition device of the digital level.

So far, system calibration was not considered capable of determining the scale value of the system. One difficulty is the resolution of the height readings that are currently restricted to  $10\mu\text{m}$ . It was theoretically derived, how many measuring points are needed to compensate for this disadvantage. Another difficulty concerns the sampling of the height readings for the calibration process. The selection of the proper sampling interval is derived and verified by the analysis of simulated and calibration data. Finally, experiments prove that the scale value of the digital levelling systems can be determined with sufficient accuracy using system calibration.

# *Zusammenfassung*

Seitdem 1990 Digitalnivelliere auf den Markt gebracht wurden, erlangte die digitale Nivelliertechnik weitgehende Akzeptanz bei der Übertragung von Höhen. Derzeit werden von drei Herstellern Präzisions-Digitalnivelliere angeboten.

Ein Nivellier-Simulator wurde entwickelt, um die von den Nivellieren verwendeten Auswertetechniken besser zu erfassen und Erkenntnisse für die Kalibrierung der Geräte abzuleiten. Die Auswertetechniken von Leica, Topcon und Trimble/Zeiss sind im Simulator implementiert. Es können sowohl gemessene als auch simulierte Pixelbilder ausgewertet werden. Bei der Simulation der Bilder werden verschiedene physikalische Effekte wie beispielsweise Beugungerscheinungen, Verzeichnung, Vignettierung, Unschärfe oder Pixelübersprechen modelliert. Basierend auf den bei der Erstellung des Simulators erworbenen Erkenntnissen, wurden die drei verschiedenen Auswertetechniken beschrieben.

Zur Systemkalibrierung von Digitalnivellieren wurden in den letzten

Jahren verschiedene Kalibrieranlagen entwickelt. Die an der TU Graz existierende Anlage wurde mit dem Ziel die Genauigkeit zu steigern untersucht. Durch umfangreiche Umbauarbeiten konnte eine Steigerung der Genauigkeit um den Faktor 30 erreicht werden. Zusätzlich wurde eine neue Komparator System Software entwickelt, die einen vollautomatischen Kalibrierungsablauf gewährleistet.

Mit dem Vertikalkomparator wurden die neuesten Digitalnivelliere mit der neuesten Systemsoftware getestet. Das Verhalten der Nivelliersysteme wurde für verschiedene Bereiche der codierten Latte in einem Distanzbereich von 1.5m bis 30m gezeigt, der bei Präzisionsmessungen nicht überschritten wird. Des weiteren wurden Verhaltensunterschiede einzelner Geräte desselben Typs entdeckt und alle drei Systeme mit zerkratztem Lattencode untersucht. Bei den vielen Detailuntersuchungen wurden einige neue Effekte gefunden. Die Analyse mit dem Nivellier-Simulator erlaubt zum ersten Mal, Schlüsse über die Ursachen der Effekte zu ziehen. Manche können durch die verwendete Auswertetechnik der Nivelliere, andere durch die Unzulänglichkeiten des Abbildungssystems des Nivelliers erklärt werden.

Bisher wurde die Systemkalibrierung hauptsächlich zur Feststellung des Systemverhaltens, nicht aber zur Maßstabsbestimmung verwendet. Dies wurde bisher von Kritikern der Systemkalibrierung nicht für möglich gehalten. Gründe dafür liegen in der beschränkten Höhenauflösung der Nivelliere (derzeit  $10\mu\text{m}$ ), die zu Quantisierungseffekten führt. Eine weitere Schwierigkeit tritt auf, wenn das Nivelliersystem kurzperiodische Höhenschwankungen aufweist, da bei der Kalibrierung im allgemeinen das Shannon'schen Sampling Theorem missachtet werden muss, um kurze Messzeiten realisieren zu können. Es werden Bedingungen für die Wahl des geeigneten Abtastintervalls abgeleitet, die eingehalten werden müssen, um eine geforderte Genauigkeit zu erreichen. Diese werden anhand von simulierten und gemessenen Daten verifiziert. Schließlich wurde mit einem Vergleichsexperiment zum ersten Mal bewiesen, dass der Maßstab mittels Systemkalibrierung mit einer Genauigkeit im 1ppm Bereich bestimmt werden kann.

# *Acknowledgements*

At this part of the thesis I want to thank all people, who assisted me in preparing the work and helped in its realisation.

Prof. Fritz K. Brunner, my advisor, acquired and provided always the financial resources to rebuild the vertical comparator. When investigating the existing comparator, we discussed many micrometer effects. Effects, that appear inconsiderable when measuring on a global scale, but are essential when calibrating digital levels with their 10µm resolution. His proposals were always a valuable enrichment in the design phase, later in the realisation and for writing the thesis.

Dr. Horst Hartinger proposed me to get a job at the university and therefore made it possible to do my research works at this institute with its excellent laboratory.

After investigating the old vertical comparator, I had many discussions with Leo Gruber, who then elaborated most of the constructive plans to rebuild it.

Robert Presl did the mechanical works, rebuilt the comparator and always made valuable comments to improve the construction. He helped me carry out the more than 800 calibrations within the last years, as well as Rudolf Lummerstorfer, who never hesitated in assisting me. So Rudi knows the laboratory and its appearance at midnight as well as I do.

My university colleagues Georg Gassner, Werner Lienhart, Dr. Andreas Wieser and Fritz Zobl exculpated me from teaching and project work within the last few months. So I could close the door of my room, keep dreaming of the microns and writing about them.

Our secretary Sandra Schmuck spent endless time in copying the literature I was interested in and was always friendly doing the jobs I asked her to do.

With Prof. Rüeger I had extensive discussions about the Topcon code and their instrument. Prof. Heister didn't get tired about philosophising with us about the micrometer-world. He helped us to prove the capability of system calibration for scale determination, provided me his DL101C to evaluate the discovered effects and always was willing to help me.

Privately, my partner Alexandra, had to miss me for all her favoured activities within the last months and for most of the time before. She spent many hours in the lab, in the evening, in the night or during the weekends, when coming with me, just to start a measurement. She has learned quickly to pick up a book, always when I ask her to come with me for something that only takes a few minutes.

Furthermore, I have to mention the Österreichische Nationalbank (ÖNB), because of their funding of most parts of the new vertical comparator hardware, among them the interferometer servo-axis board.

The manufacturers, Leica and Topcon (resp. its Austrian distributor, AM-Laser und Baugeräte Handels GmbH) provided the newest instruments for my investigations, and kept on kindly waiting for a period that became longer than prior expected, to return them. Leica and Trimble (ZSP Geodetic Systems GmbH, Jena) provided their software to read the pixel images from their levels which was very useful when developing the Level Simulator, allowing me to process measured pixel images.

# *Contents*

1	Introduction .....	1
1.1	A Brief History of Modern Levels .....	2
1.1.1	The Developments towards Automatic Levels.....	2
1.1.2	Automating the Height Readings.....	4
1.1.3	Levelling Staffs .....	8
1.2	Error Sources in Digital Levelling .....	9
1.3	Calibration and Calibration Facilities .....	10
1.4	Overview .....	14
2	Digital Levelling Systems and their Codes .....	17
2.1	General Structure of a Digital Levelling System.....	18
2.2	Digital Levels on the Market.....	21
2.3	Leica NA3003 .....	25
2.3.1	General .....	25
2.3.2	Code .....	26

2.4	Topcon DL101C.....	28
2.4.1	General.....	28
2.4.2	Code .....	29
2.5	Trimble/Zeiss DiNi12 .....	32
2.5.1	General.....	32
2.5.2	Code .....	33
2.6	Staffs for Precise Levelling.....	36
3	Simulation of Digital Precision Levelling Systems .....	41
3.1	The Image of the Code.....	42
3.1.1	Sampling of the Code .....	43
3.1.2	Diffraction.....	44
3.1.3	Blurred Images.....	47
3.1.4	Distortion .....	49
3.1.5	Response of the Image Sensor.....	50
3.1.6	Other Effects.....	54
3.2	Processing Technique of Leica .....	55
3.3	Processing Technique of Topcon.....	65
3.3.1	Long Sighting Distances.....	65
3.3.2	Short Sighting Distances .....	72
3.4	Processing Technique of Trimble/Zeiss .....	78
4	System Calibration.....	85
5	The GML Vertical Comparator for System Calibration .....	93
5.1	Geodetic Metrology Laboratory .....	95
5.2	Hardware of the GML-TUG Vertical Comparator.....	97
5.2.1	Carriage of the Level .....	98
5.2.2	Comparator Frame and Staff Carriage .....	99
5.2.3	Staff Illumination.....	102
5.2.4	Interferometer Set Up .....	103
5.2.5	Interferometer Hardware .....	105
5.2.6	Meteorological Equipment .....	106
5.3	Representative Meteorological Parameters .....	109

5.4	Formula for the Meteorological Reduction of Interferometer Distances .....	111
5.4.1	Formula of Birch and Downs.....	111
5.4.2	Formula of Ciddor.....	112
5.4.3	Formula of Bönsch and Potulski.....	112
5.4.4	Resonance lines.....	113
5.4.5	Application of the Formulas to a Data Set measured in the Laboratory.....	113
5.4.6	Formula used for the Vertical Comparator.....	114
5.5	Comparator System Software.....	115
5.6	Evaluation of the Acquired Data.....	120
5.7	Estimation of Accuracy .....	120
6	Type Testing of Digital Levelling Systems .....	123
6.1	Leica NA3003 .....	124
6.2	Topcon DL101C.....	131
6.3	Trimble/Zeiss DiNi11.....	138
6.4	Periodic Height Deviations .....	144
6.4.1	Related to the Pixel Size and Level .....	144
6.4.2	Related to the Code .....	145
6.5	Height Deviations at the End Sections of the Staff.....	149
6.5.1	Comparison of Different Levels .....	150
6.5.2	Comparison of Different Instruments.....	157
6.6	Damaged Bar Code Lines at the Staff.....	158
7	Background of System Calibration .....	167
7.1	Proposal by Rüeger and Brunner for Routine System Calibration .....	168
7.2	The Number of Measuring Points and the Sampling Interval ....	169
7.2.1	Quantisation Error of the Height Reading .....	169
7.2.2	The Number of Measuring Points.....	171
7.2.3	Examples of Proper and Improper Sampling Intervals.....	175

**xiv**      *CONTENTS*

7.3	The Effect of Undersampling Periodic Signals .....	179
7.3.1	Undersampling a Signal.....	180
7.3.2	Alias Frequency and Alias Wavelength .....	182
7.4	New Aspects for Routine System Calibration .....	188
8	Scale Determination of a Digital Levelling System.....	191
9	Conclusion .....	197
	References .....	201