

---

Learning environment and moral development:  
How university education fosters moral judgment  
competence in Brazil and two German-speaking countries

---

Marcia M. Schillinger, geb. Agati

Dissertation der Universität Konstanz

Tag der mündlichen Prüfung:

20.07.2006

Referent:

Prof. Dr. Georg Lind

Referent:

Prof. Dr. Wilhelm Kempf

Berichte aus der Psychologie

**Marcia Schillinger**

**Learning environment and moral development:  
How university education fosters moral  
judgment competence in Brazil and two  
German-speaking countries**

Shaker Verlag  
Aachen 2006

**Bibliographic information published by the Deutsche Nationalbibliothek**

The Deutsche Nationalbibliothek lists this publication in the Deutsche Nationalbibliografie; detailed bibliographic data are available in the Internet at <http://dnb.d-nb.de>.

Zugl.: Konstanz, Univ., Diss., 2006

Copyright Shaker Verlag 2006

All rights reserved. No part of this publication may be reproduced, stored in a retrieval system, or transmitted, in any form or by any means, electronic, mechanical, photocopying, recording or otherwise, without the prior permission of the publishers.

Printed in Germany.

ISBN-10: 3-8322-5468-4

ISBN-13: 978-3-8322-5468-1

ISSN 0945-0971

Shaker Verlag GmbH • P.O. BOX 101818 • D-52018 Aachen

Phone: 0049/2407/9596-0 • Telefax: 0049/2407/9596-9

Internet: [www.shaker.de](http://www.shaker.de) • e-mail: [info@shaker.de](mailto:info@shaker.de)

*To my grandmother Angelina*



# Contents

<b>1</b>	<b>Introduction</b>	<b>13</b>
<b>2</b>	<b>The importance of higher education learning environment for the development of moral competencies</b>	<b>17</b>
2.1	Moral development: affective, cognitive, or both? Foundations of the dual-aspect theory . . . . .	18
2.2	Moral judgment competence . . . . .	31
2.3	Moral development in adulthood . . . . .	33
2.4	The education theory (“Bildungstheorie”) emerging from the dual-aspect theory . . . . .	34
2.5	Role-taking and guided reflection opportunities in the learning environment . . . . .	37
2.6	Research in higher education: from the affective to the cognitive-affective . . . . .	41
2.7	Cultural issues and moral competence . . . . .	48
2.8	Gender differences in moral development . . . . .	58
<b>3</b>	<b>Research questions and hypotheses</b>	<b>61</b>
3.1	Research questions . . . . .	61
3.2	Hypotheses . . . . .	62
<b>4</b>	<b>Methodology</b>	<b>69</b>
4.1	Research design . . . . .	69
4.2	Procedure . . . . .	75
4.3	Participants . . . . .	75
4.4	Data analysis . . . . .	77
4.5	Methodology and Ethics . . . . .	79

<b>5 Results</b>	<b>81</b>
5.1 Hypothesis 1: Moral learning in adulthood is possible through a <i>favorable</i> learning environment in higher education . . . . .	81
5.2 Hypothesis 2: Affection and cognition as independent and parallel aspects of the same moral behavior . . . . .	92
5.3 Hypothesis 3: Cultural influences and moral judgment competence . . . . .	97
5.4 Hypothesis 4: Regression in moral judgment competence is possible . . . . .	101
5.5 Hypothesis 5: There are no gender differences regarding moral development . . .	104
5.6 The meaning of age, work experience and religiosity for moral development . . .	105
5.7 Correlation between moral judgment competence and dilemma opinion . . . . .	106
5.8 Other student's background information . . . . .	107
5.9 Results overview . . . . .	109
<b>6 Discussion</b>	<b>111</b>
6.1 Moral cognitive development over the years: <i>favorable</i> learning environment versus maturational factors . . . . .	113
6.2 Affect and cognition as parallel aspects of moral behavior . . . . .	119
6.3 Moral judgment competence and culture: particularities in the Brazilian education system . . . . .	120
6.4 Regression in moral judgment competence . . . . .	123
6.5 Gender and moral judgment competence . . . . .	126
6.6 Conclusions . . . . .	126
<b>A Instruments for data collection</b>	<b>131</b>
A.1 Portuguese version . . . . .	132
A.2 German version . . . . .	139
A.3 Scoring algorithms for the ORIGIN/u questionnaire . . . . .	145
<b>References</b>	<b>147</b>



# List of Figures

5.1	Moral judgment competence by year of study and learning environment. . . . .	83
5.2	Moral judgment competence by culture, learning environment and year of study. . . . .	85
5.3	Moral judgment competence by learning environment, year and area of study in Brazil. . . . .	86
5.4	Moral judgment competence by learning environment, year and area of study in German-speaking countries. . . . .	88
5.5	Correlation between moral judgment competence and stages of moral orientation. . . . .	93
5.6	Means of preference for the Kohlbergian stages of moral orientation in the MJT. . . . .	94
5.7	Factor loadings of the six moral orientations, principled component analysis, varimax rotation (quasi-simplex-structure). . . . .	95
5.8	MJT affective aspect: means of preference for the Kohlbergian stages of moral orientation by culture. . . . .	96
5.9	Moral judgment competence by area, year of study and culture. . . . .	101
5.10	Moral judgment competence by year of study and type of institution in Brazil: competitive and non-competitive. . . . .	102
5.11	MJT affective aspect: means of preference for the Kohlbergian stages of moral orientation by gender. . . . .	104

# List of Tables

3.1	Hypotheses overview. . . . .	68
4.1	Design of the ORIGIN/u questionnaire for assessing role-taking and guided reflection opportunities in the higher education environment. . . . .	73
4.2	Participants in Brazil, according to area, year of study and type of institution: competitive and non competitive. . . . .	76
4.3	German-speaking participants according to area and year of study. . . . .	77
4.4	<i>Favorable</i> and <i>unfavorable</i> learning environments. . . . .	78
5.1	MJT C-score differences between <i>unfavorable</i> and <i>favorable</i> learning environments. 89	
5.2	Frequency of students who report role-taking and guided reflection opportunities. 90	
5.3	MJT C-scores by year of study and country. . . . .	98
5.4	MJT C-score, standard deviation and sample size by culture and area of study. . 99	
5.5	Regression or gain in moral development. . . . .	103
5.6	Participants age by year of study, type of institution and culture. . . . .	105
5.7	Results overview. . . . .	109

# List of Abbreviations

comp.	competitive university
C-score	Competence score from the Moral Judgment Test
DIT	Defining Issues Test (Rest)
ES	extra-syllabus
German-sp.	German-speaking
GR	guided reflection
KMDD	Konstanz method of dilemma discussion
LE	learning environment
MJI	Moral Judgment Interview (Kohlberg)
MJT	Moral Judgment Test (Lind)
non-comp.	non-competitive university
NS	non-syllabus
ORIGIN/u	Questionnaire for assessing opportunities for role-taking and guided reflection at university
r	relative effect-size correlation measure
RT	role-taking
RTGR	role-taking and guided reflection
S	syllabus
SS	semi-syllabus