

# **A Deformation Analysis Method for the Metrological ATLAS Cavern Network at CERN**

Angelika Lippitsch

Dissertation

Graz University of Technology

Graz, May 2007

Series Editor

Fritz K. Brunner

Engineering Geodesy and Measurement Systems  
Graz University of Technology  
Steyrergasse 30, A-8010 Graz, Austria  
<http://www.igms.tugraz.at>

Previously published:

*H. Hartinger, Development of a Continuous Deformation Monitoring System using GPS, 2001*

*A. Wieser, Robust and fuzzy techniques for parameter estimation and quality assessment in GPS, 2002*

*E. Grillmayer, Untersuchungen systematischer Fehlereinflüsse bei Messungen mit dem Kreisel DMT Gyromat 2000, 2002*

*H. Woschitz, System Calibration of Digital Levels: Calibration Facility, Procedures and Results, 2003*

*W. Lienhart, Analysis of Inhomogeneous Structural Monitoring Data, 2007*

Engineering Geodesy – TU Graz

**Angelika Lippitsch**

**A Deformation Analysis Method for the  
Metrological ATLAS Cavern Network at CERN**

Shaker Verlag  
Aachen 2007

**Bibliographic information published by the Deutsche Nationalbibliothek**

The Deutsche Nationalbibliothek lists this publication in the Deutsche Nationalbibliografie; detailed bibliographic data are available in the Internet at <http://dnb.d-nb.de>.

Zugl.: Graz, Techn. Univ., Diss., 2006

The dissertation was submitted to the Faculty of Mathematical and Physical Sciences at the Graz University of Technology for achieving the academic degree of Doctorate of Technical Sciences.

Examination Committee:

Examiner: Univ.-Prof. Dr. Fritz K. Brunner

Second examiner: Univ.-Prof. Dr.-Ing. Otto Heunecke

Day of oral examination: December 20, 2006

Copyright Shaker Verlag 2007

All rights reserved. No part of this publication may be reproduced, stored in a retrieval system, or transmitted, in any form or by any means, electronic, mechanical, photocopying, recording or otherwise, without the prior permission of the publishers.

Printed in Germany.

ISBN 978-3-8322-6289-1

ISSN 1864-2462

Shaker Verlag GmbH • P.O. BOX 101818 • D-52018 Aachen

Phone: 0049/2407/9596-0 • Telefax: 0049/2407/9596-9

Internet: [www.shaker.de](http://www.shaker.de) • e-mail: [info@shaker.de](mailto:info@shaker.de)

# *Abstract*

The Large Hadron Collider (LHC) project at CERN includes the construction of four large physics experiments, which will study particle collisions. Each particle detector needs to be precisely aligned with respect to the accelerator beam line by survey measurements. One of these particle detecting experiments is called ATLAS.

Configurations of the geodetic underground cavern network are constrained due to access and space limitations. Sighting restrictions increase as the installation of detector parts in the cavern progresses. Consequently, the reliability of the network reduces. Additionally, deformations of the cavern as well as access structures affect the geodetic network and need to be considered. Dedicated network measurements can only be carried out on an irregular and sparse basis. For processing the inhomogenous and hybrid measurements an adaptive Kalman Filter (KF) is developed interpreting the cavern network as a kinematic system. This enables to handle changing network configurations easily, as well as maintaining a higher level of reliability in the network compared to individually adjusted network measurements. With such an algorithm it is possible to evaluate survey measurements more efficiently, giving accurate estimations for the point positions and corresponding

error information at times of measurements but also to predict future positions and error estimates.

The application of the developed method to the processing of simulated and real survey data sets for the ATLAS cavern network demonstrates the algorithm's superior performance and advantageous capabilities compared to conventional processing methods.

# *Zusammenfassung*

Im Rahmen des Large Hadron Collider (LHC) Projektes am CERN werden vier große Teilchendetektoren Kollisionen untersuchen. Jeder dieser Detektoren muss mit Hilfe von geodätischen Messungen in Bezug auf den Teilchenstrahl ausgerichtet werden. Eine dieser Detektoranlagen ist ATLAS.

Zahlreiche Einschränkungen für die Konfiguration von geodätischen Netzen ergeben sich aus dem Umfeld einer Kaverne. Behinderungen durch bauliche Einrichtungen und Detektorbauteile, die mit zunehmendem Baufortschritt in der Kaverne Platz finden, nehmen mit der Zeit zu. Die Möglichkeiten für geodätische Messungen werden immer mehr eingeschränkt und die Zuverlässigkeit im geodätischen Netz wird dadurch reduziert. Das geodätische Netz wird zusätzlich von Deformationen der Kavernenstruktur und Plattformen beeinflusst. Spezielle Netzwerkmesungen können nur selten und in unregelmässigen Abständen durchgeführt werden.

Ein adaptiver Kalman Filter (KF) wird entwickelt, der die kinematische Interpretation eines Netzwerkes erlaubt. Veränderliche Netzwerkkonfigurationen können im KF Formulismus einfach behandelt werden. Die Zuverlässigkeit des Netzwerkes kann auf einem hohen Niveau ge-

halten werden. Messdaten werden im KF Algorithmus effizienter analysiert, als im Ausgleich von einzelnen Messepochen und ergeben präzisere und zuverlässigere Koordinatenergebnisse und Genauigkeitsinformationen. Für Epochen ohne Messdaten können Koordinaten und entsprechende Genauigkeitsinformationen prädiziert werden.

Die Anwendung der entwickelten Methode auf simulierte und reale Vermessungsdaten für das ATLAS Kavernennetzwerk zeigt die hohe Leistungsfähigkeit des Algorithmus.



# *Acknowledgments*

I would like to thank Prof. Fritz K. Brunner, head of the Institute of Engineering Geodesy and Measurement Systems at Graz University of Technology for supervising this thesis.

Many thanks go to Christian Lasseur, head of the Experimental Metrology Section at CERN, who proposed the topic of this thesis and who gave me as much time as possible to work on and finish this thesis even though the experiment installation schedules were pressing on the survey team. A big ‘Merci!’ to all members of CERN’s survey group who supported me in my thesis work and in the practical surveying work during my time at CERN.

I would like to thank especially Dirk Mergelkuhl and Antje Behrens for proof reading this thesis and Vasco Correia Carmona Pires and Andreas Herty for their help in taking the photographs of CERN survey equipment.

The support and encouragement of Werner Riegler, coordinator of the Austrian Doctoral Student Program, and of Christian Joram and Lucie Linssen of CERN’s Physics department have been very important enabling me to finish this thesis.

I am very grateful to have Alexander Koschik as my partner at my side. His help and support for me to work on and write this thesis and his dedication to understand surveying analysis techniques, often strange to a physicist's understanding, has been unrivalled.

# *Contents*

<b>Abstract</b>	<b>i</b>
<b>Zusammenfassung</b>	<b>iii</b>
<b>Acknowledgements</b>	<b>v</b>
<b>Contents</b>	<b>vii</b>
<b>1 Introduction</b>	<b>1</b>
1.1 CERN – LHC . . . . .	1
1.2 Motivation–ATLAS: Problem description . . . . .	4
1.3 Scope of the thesis . . . . .	8
<b>2 CERN ATLAS cavern network</b>	<b>11</b>
2.1 Coordinate systems for LHCPoint 1 – ATLAS . . . . .	12
2.2 The ATLAS cavern . . . . .	13
2.2.1 Underground structures . . . . .	13
2.2.2 Geological and geotechnical aspects . . . . .	15
2.2.3 Access structures . . . . .	20

*vii*

2.3	The ATLAS detector . . . . .	23
2.3.1	Detector systems . . . . .	23
2.3.2	Installation schedule . . . . .	26
2.3.3	Detector access scenarios . . . . .	29
2.3.4	Positioning requirements . . . . .	30
2.4	The metrological ATLAS cavern network . . . . .	32
<b>3</b>	<b>Metrology at CERN applied to detector positioning</b>	<b>37</b>
3.1	CERN reference systems . . . . .	38
3.2	Network point monumentation . . . . .	41
3.3	Measurement methods . . . . .	50
<b>4</b>	<b>Standard network and deformation analysis</b>	<b>59</b>
4.1	Network analysis . . . . .	59
4.1.1	The geodetic datum . . . . .	60
4.1.2	Accuracy . . . . .	62
4.1.3	Reliability . . . . .	63
4.2	Standard deformation analysis . . . . .	67
4.3	Conclusion . . . . .	72
<b>5</b>	<b>Alternative adjustment of deforming networks</b>	<b>73</b>
5.1	Deformation models . . . . .	74
5.2	Kalman Filter in geodetic applications . . . . .	75
5.3	Kalman Filter basics . . . . .	76
5.3.1	State–space model . . . . .	77
5.3.2	Discrete Kalman Filter . . . . .	79
5.3.3	Nonlinear filtering . . . . .	82
5.3.4	Innovation Analysis - Adaptive filtering . . . . .	85
5.3.5	Some characteristics of the KF . . . . .	88
5.4	KF equations for a kinematic 3D geodetic network . . . . .	90
5.4.1	From equations of motion to system equations . . . . .	91
5.5	Adaptive Kalman Filter for a kinematic network . . . . .	96
5.5.1	Summary of KF terms for kinematic 3D network . . . . .	97
5.5.2	Innovation analysis . . . . .	100
5.5.3	Stochastic stabilization . . . . .	107
5.5.4	KF characteristic terms . . . . .	110
5.5.5	Gain matrix $\mathbf{K}$ . . . . .	112
5.5.6	Selection of system model – Multiple-model filter . . . . .	114
5.6	Including additional information in KF . . . . .	115

5.6.1	Formulating conditions as additional information	115
5.7	Conclusion	117
<b>6</b>	<b>Application and results of adaptive Kalman Filter</b>	<b>119</b>
6.1	Implementation of the adaptive KF algorithm	120
6.2	Small simulated network data	124
6.2.1	Network configuration and observations	127
6.2.2	Analysis and comparison of adaptive KF results	128
6.2.3	Influence of stochastic stabilization on gain matrix	144
6.2.4	Including additional information in adaptive KF	154
6.2.5	Conclusion for the small simulated network	156
6.3	Simulated ATLAS cavern network data	157
6.3.1	Network data simulation	157
6.3.2	Network analysis	162
6.3.3	Analysis and comparison of adaptive KF results	165
6.3.4	Conclusion for simulated ATLAS cavern network	175
6.4	Real ATLAS cavern network data	182
6.4.1	The real ATLAS cavern network	182
6.4.2	ATLAS cavern network measurements	183
6.4.3	Analysis and comparison of adaptive KF results	189
6.4.4	Conclusion for the real ATLAS cavern network	209
6.5	Conclusions for application of the adaptive KF	211
<b>7</b>	<b>Conclusions</b>	<b>213</b>
	<b>Appendix</b>	<b>217</b>
<b>A</b>	<b>S-Transformation</b>	<b>217</b>
<b>B</b>	<b>Structure of the gain matrix <math>K</math></b>	<b>219</b>
	<b>List of References</b>	<b>223</b>
	<b>Curriculum vitae</b>	<b>231</b>