

# Impacts of 'Basic' Thermal Insulation in Residential Buildings on Fuel Wood Demand and Primary Forests



IMPACTS OF 'BASIC' THERMAL INSULATION IN RESIDENTIAL  
BUILDINGS ON FUEL WOOD DEMAND AND PRIMARY FORESTS

An Integrative Study on Human Environment Interactions on  
Navarino Island, South Patagonia, Chile



Diploma Thesis

**IMPACTS OF ‘BASIC’ THERMAL  
INSULATION IN RESIDENTIAL  
BUILDINGS ON FUEL WOOD DEMAND  
AND PRIMARY FORESTS**

An Integrative Study on Human  
Environment Interactions on Navarino  
Island, South Patagonia, Chile

Anja Banzhaf

University of Göttingen,  
Institute of Geography

December 2011

Front cover pictures: Anja Banzhaf

GEORG-AUGUST-UNIVERSITY GÖTTINGEN

INSTITUTE OF GEOGRAPHY

---

Diploma Thesis

**IMPACTS OF 'BASIC' THERMAL INSULATION IN RESIDENTIAL  
BUILDINGS ON FUEL WOOD DEMAND AND PRIMARY FORESTS**

**An Integrative Study on Human Environment Interactions on  
Navarino Island, South Patagonia, Chile**

Anja Banzhaf

December 2011

**Referees**

Prof. Dr. Gerhard Gerold

Dr. Jan Barkmann



**EcoRegio**

herausgegeben von  
Prof. Dr. Gerhard Gerold  
Geographisches Institut  
der Universität Göttingen

Band 26

**Anja Banzhaf**

**Impacts of 'Basic' Thermal Insulation in  
Residential Buildings on Fuel Wood  
Demand and Primary Forests**

An Integrative Study on Human Environment Interactions  
on Navarino Island, South Patagonia, Chile

Shaker Verlag  
Aachen 2013

**Bibliographic information published by the Deutsche Nationalbibliothek**

The Deutsche Nationalbibliothek lists this publication in the Deutsche Nationalbibliografie; detailed bibliographic data are available in the Internet at <http://dnb.d-nb.de>.

Copyright Shaker Verlag 2013

All rights reserved. No part of this publication may be reproduced, stored in a retrieval system, or transmitted, in any form or by any means, electronic, mechanical, photocopying, recording or otherwise, without the prior permission of the publishers.

Printed in Germany.

ISBN 978-3-8440-1893-6

ISSN 1612-5894

Shaker Verlag GmbH • P.O. BOX 101818 • D-52018 Aachen

Phone: 0049/2407/9596-0 • Telefax: 0049/2407/9596-9

Internet: [www.shaker.de](http://www.shaker.de) • e-mail: [info@shaker.de](mailto:info@shaker.de)



### Affirmation

---

Hereby I affirm that I wrote this diploma thesis without any inadmissible help by a third party and without using any other means than indicated. Thoughts that were taken directly or indirectly from other sources are indicated as such.

Göttingen, December 2011

Anja Banzhaf



## *Acknowledgments*

---

The realization of this thesis involved a lot of time, people, energy, money, joy and despair. The period of time in which this study developed was shaped by very beautiful and extremely difficult personal experiences. Thus, apart from being an exciting scientific research project, for me personally this thesis is a result of an intensive and highly important personal learning process which I am very glad to have experienced. Many, many individuals gave scientific advice and personal support during this time, and my warmest thanks go to all of them. Special thanks go to my friends and my family.

It was only the support and enthusiasm of some people that made this study possible. At this point I would like to thank Dr. Jan Barkmann, for his help with the development of the research idea, countless positive hints and corrections, never-ending assistance and patience. Equally, I want to express my deep gratitude to Arturo Kunstmann, who made every effort to facilitate my study preparations, field work and writing process – tu energía, tus ideas y tu entusiasmo me inspiraron muchísimo, y sin ti no hubiera sido posible realizar muchas partes de este proyecto. Many thanks also go to Prof. Dr. Gerhard Gerold, for his unconditional support for the realization of this study. Likewise, I am very grateful to Prof. Dr. Jorge Czajkowski, who encouraged this project from the very beginning, received me in his research lab in La Plata and made many helpful comments regarding the energy calculations in this study – ¡muchas gracias!

During my study preparations I received manifold expert help. For positive suggestions and encouragement I would like to thank in particular Prof. Dr. Peter Obert, Jennifer Romero, Andrés Plaza, Dr. Claudia Cerda, Dr. Tilo Stroh and Dr. Ralph Chapman.

My field work was accompanied by exciting moments, difficult situations, magnificent landscapes, great challenges and wonderful people. First I want to express my thanks to María de la Paz, Mariela Marcilese, Belen Salvertti and all of the LAYHS team for a hearty welcome in Argentina. Fanny Pino and the CERE team warmly received me in Punta Arenas – ¡ustedes hicieron todo para que me sienta cómoda! A crucial role for my work on Navarino Island played its inhabitants willing to participate in my interviews and allowing me to conduct temperature measurements in their buildings. Furthermore, my thanks go to Miguel Gallardo from CONAF, to the local municipality and especially to Governor Nelson Carcamo – su interés en el proyecto y su ayuda me motivaron mucho. At this point I would also like to thank Peter Schmohl from GASCO Magallanes who enabled the realization of thermal

## *Acknowledgments*

---

retrofits at a Navarino building during my stay on the island. The University of Magallanes provided a workplace in Puerto Williams, and the Omora team gave support in many different ways – ¡muchísimas gracias! A heartfelt gratitude also goes to Patita Ureta who made me feel home at the ‘fin del mundo’ – en tu casa pude vivir como si fuera la mía. And Juan: no voy a olvidar que tan importante fuiste antes y durante mi tiempo en Chile, aunque al final todo salio muy distinto de lo que imaginábamos. My time in South America was perfectly rounded up by a visit at Alejandro Gonzalez’ creative energy-efficient house in Bariloche – ¡un gusto enorme haberte conocido!

Back in Germany, an amazing person came into my life and made everything much easier. Payamor, Tatita!! Para mi tesis tu opinión crítica me sirvió de mucha ayuda. Hendrik was extremely supportive during the first and most difficult phase of the writing process. Steffen gave competent advice regarding the investment analysis. Georg and Maren helped during uncounted hours with the layout and formatting, read the entire manuscript and gave very helpful feedback. Ein riesengroßes Dankeschön! My thanks also go to Malte for proofreading parts of the thesis and to Hannes for designing the cover.

Finally I would like to thank the DAAD for the financial support that enabled this project.

Göttingen, December 2011

Anja Banzhaf





Despite harsh climate conditions, the majority of residential buildings in the extreme south of Chile lack suitable thermal insulation. Thus, energy consumption for space heating is high, and buildings are frequently characterized by uncomfortable and unhealthy indoor environments. Fuel wood plays a fundamental role as combustible for heating in southern Chile, and fuel wood extraction often causes or contributes to the degradation of local primary forests. Thus, there is a conflict between the protection of the diversity and integrity of Patagonian forest ecosystems and the use of wood as a locally available resource for space heating.

The principal aim of this study is to analyze whether this conflict could be reduced with 'basic' thermal insulation in residential buildings on Navarino Island. The thesis contains two related parts: First, the testing of several hypotheses regarding the impact of 'basic' thermal insulation on the fuel wood demand of Navarino residential buildings. Second, a set of wider observations that contribute to additional related research questions. The key hypotheses argue that it is possible to identify profitable thermal insulation measures for Navarino Island residential buildings, and that with these 'basic' measures more than 30% fuel wood savings can be achieved per building. If higher fuel wood demand reductions are to be reached, I hypothesize that considerable financial means are necessary.

In order to test the hypotheses, indoor temperature and humidity measurements were conducted, and possible fuel wood savings and profitability of 'basic' thermal insulation measures are calculated. In addition, local inhabitant perceptions of thermal building quality and comfort and their willingness to invest into building retrofits are examined. To answer further research questions, this study is set into the context of the Environmental Kuznets Curve for deforestation, and discussed in relation to general considerations about energy efficiency, fuel poverty, and the rebound effect.

The results of my research clearly show that the majority of Navarino civil residential buildings is insufficiently insulated. Despite high fuel wood consumption, indoor temperatures are low and indoor humidity is high. Navarino inhabitants perceive this living environment as uncomfortable; however, investments into thermal building insulation are not their priority. Thus, subsidies are required for speeding up the implementation of thermal building retrofits on Navarino Island.

Depending on underlying assumptions, up to 45% fuel wood savings per building are possible due to 'basic' thermal insulation measures. However, a considerable proportion of potential energy savings is expected to be offset by the rebound effect (e.g. due to the increase of indoor temperatures). Hence, 'basic' thermal building retrofits can lead to the increase of energy services, improve inhabitant health and well-being, and moderately reduce the fuel wood demand. For the achievement of higher fuel wood savings, far more complex energy efficiency measures and consumer education and information are necessary.





# Contents

<b>1. Cold homes and high fuel wood demands in the south of Chile</b>	<b>1</b>
<b>2. The study area: Navarino Island</b>	<b>4</b>
<b>3. Background and literature review</b>	<b>7</b>
3.1. Thermal insulation of residential buildings in the south of Chile . . .	7
3.1.1. Common deficiencies of building insulation in Patagonia . . .	8
3.1.2. Health aspects of ill-insulated buildings . . . . .	11
3.1.3. Potential energy savings due to thermal building insulation .	13
3.2. Fuel wood in the south of Chile . . . . .	15
3.2.1. Fuel wood in the energy matrix of Chile . . . . .	17
3.2.2. Fuel wood extraction and degradation of primary forests . . .	18
3.2.3. Health aspects of fuel wood use . . . . .	21
3.2.4. Efficiency of fuel wood use . . . . .	23
3.3. Fuel wood on Navarino Island . . . . .	28
3.4. The Environmental Kuznets Curve for deforestation . . . . .	32
3.5. Technological progress, energy efficiency, and the rebound effect . . .	35
3.6. Fuel poverty . . . . .	38
3.7. Aim, research questions and hypotheses of the study . . . . .	41
<b>4. Methods</b>	<b>44</b>
4.1. Operationalization of hypotheses . . . . .	45
4.2. Identification of ‘basic’ thermal insulation measures . . . . .	45
4.3. Classification of civil residential buildings on Navarino Island . . . .	47
4.4. Standardized questionnaire interviews . . . . .	48
4.4.1. Questionnaire design . . . . .	48
4.4.2. Selection of sample and administration of interviews . . . . .	50
4.4.3. Data analysis . . . . .	50
4.4.4. Critical reflection on questionnaire design and administration of interviews . . . . .	51
4.5. Expert interview with the local CONAF officer . . . . .	52
4.6. Investigation of thermal characteristics of ill-insulated buildings . . .	52
4.7. Calculation of possible fuel wood savings due to ‘basic’ thermal insu- lation and further energy efficiency measures . . . . .	53
4.7.1. Physical fundamentals . . . . .	53

4.7.2.	Calculation of thermal resistance and heat transfer coefficients of building elements . . . . .	58
4.7.3.	Calculation of <i>heat energy</i> demand with CASAnova . . . . .	60
4.7.4.	Calculation of <i>heating energy</i> demand . . . . .	61
4.7.5.	Calculation of fuel wood demand . . . . .	63
4.7.6.	Critical reflection on implemented calculations . . . . .	65
4.7.7.	Sensitivity analysis of some calculation parameters . . . . .	67
4.8.	Investment analysis for ‘basic’ thermal insulation measures . . . . .	70
4.9.	Case study: Retrofitting a Navarino building with ‘basic’ thermal insulation . . . . .	74
4.10.	Energy Efficiency Scenarios . . . . .	75
<b>5.</b>	<b>Results</b>	<b>76</b>
5.1.	Fuel wood use and forest management on Navarino Island . . . . .	76
5.1.1.	Fuel wood consumption and heating costs . . . . .	76
5.1.2.	Heating systems . . . . .	76
5.1.3.	Heating period . . . . .	77
5.1.4.	Resident opinions about fuel wood use . . . . .	79
5.1.5.	Actual situation of forest management on Navarino Island . . . . .	81
5.2.	Energy efficiency of residential buildings on Navarino Island . . . . .	83
5.2.1.	Building characteristics . . . . .	83
5.2.2.	Temperature, humidity and thermal radiation in Navarino buildings . . . . .	89
5.3.	Resident opinions on thermal building insulation . . . . .	92
5.3.1.	Resident perceptions of the indoor environment . . . . .	92
5.3.2.	Willingness to invest into thermal insulation . . . . .	94
5.4.	Analysis of building classification data . . . . .	96
5.5.	Potential energy savings . . . . .	100
5.5.1.	Thermal resistance and heat transfer coefficients of Navarino building elements . . . . .	100
5.5.2.	Possible energy savings due to thermal insulation . . . . .	103
5.5.3.	Additional energy savings due to the use of dry fuel wood and efficient stoves . . . . .	105
5.6.	Sensitivity analysis . . . . .	108
5.7.	Profitability of ‘basic’ thermal insulation of Navarino residential buildings . . . . .	110

5.8. Thermal behavior of the case study building after ‘basic’ retrofits . . .	114
<b>6. Energy Efficiency Scenarios for Navarino Island</b>	<b>117</b>
6.1. Scenario assumptions . . . . .	117
6.1.1. Scenario 1 – <i>Status Quo</i> Trend . . . . .	119
6.1.2. Scenario 2 – Building Insulation . . . . .	120
6.1.3. Scenario 3 – Building Insulation Plus . . . . .	123
6.1.4. Scenario 4 – Low Energy Houses . . . . .	125
6.2. Scenario Analysis . . . . .	126
6.2.1. Scenario 1 – <i>Status Quo</i> Trend . . . . .	126
6.2.2. Scenario 2 – Building Insulation . . . . .	127
6.2.3. Scenario 3 – Building Insulation Plus . . . . .	128
6.2.4. Scenario 4 – Low Energy Houses . . . . .	128
<b>7. Can ‘basic’ thermal building retrofits reduce the conflict between local fuel wood use and destruction of primary forests?</b>	<b>131</b>
7.1. Summarizing overview and discussion of results . . . . .	131
7.2. Conclusion . . . . .	142
7.3. Future prospects . . . . .	145
<b>References</b>	<b>149</b>
<b>A. Appendix</b>	<b>167</b>
A.1. Regions of Chile . . . . .	167
A.2. Recent initiatives to promote energy efficiency in Chile . . . . .	168
A.3. Questionnaire . . . . .	169
A.4. Rough estimation of investment costs and period of amortization for thermal insulation measures on Navarino Island . . . . .	176
A.5. Question guideline for the expert interview with the local CONAF officer . . . . .	177
A.6. Detailed list of CASAnova input parameters . . . . .	178
A.7. Questionnaire results: frequencies and descriptive statistics . . . . .	181
A.8. Exemplary architectonic plans of two Navarino buildings . . . . .	189
A.9. Example pictures of self-constructed and social housings on Navarino Island . . . . .	191
A.10. Indoor temperature and humidity in ill-insulated Navarino buildings	193



## List of Figures

1.	The location of Navarino Island and the UNESCO Biosphere Reserve at the southern tip of Patagonia . . . . .	5
2.	The land cover of Navarino Island . . . . .	6
3.	Profile of a south Chilean building wall . . . . .	9
4.	Ill-insulated building in the extreme south of Chile . . . . .	10
5.	The Leñito at its information campaigns implemented by the SNCL	28
6.	Four components of the improvement of fuel wood use efficiency . . .	29
7.	Fuel wood use on Navarino Island . . . . .	30
8.	Example of an Environmental Kuznets Curve . . . . .	33
9.	Overview of the complex of problems regarding ill-insulated buildings and inefficient fuel wood use 3 . . . . .	43
10.	‘Basic’ thermal insulation measures, implemented by CERE-UMAG in 2008 at a Navarino building . . . . .	46
11.	Overview of implemented steps for fuel wood demand calculations . .	57
12.	Fuel wood stored in front of a Navarino dwelling . . . . .	77
13.	Commonly used stoves on Navarino Island . . . . .	78
14.	Impressions of actual forest management on Navarino Island . . . . .	83
15.	Water accumulation below a Navarino building . . . . .	84
16.	Self-constructed housings on Navarino Island . . . . .	85
17.	Social housings on Navarino Island . . . . .	86
18.	Government/Municipality housings on Navarino Island . . . . .	86
19.	Common thermal deficiencies of Navarino buildings . . . . .	88
20.	Indoor temperature in example building A . . . . .	90
21.	Indoor temperature and humidity in example building B . . . . .	91
22.	Heat losses through windows in two Navarino buildings, captured with an infrared camera . . . . .	92
23.	Individual temperature sensation compared to measured indoor temperatures . . . . .	93
24.	Possible energy savings and rebound effect for building 1 . . . . .	104
25.	Thermal building retrofits at the case study building . . . . .	114
26.	Temperature measurements in the case study building . . . . .	116
27.	A layer of smoke from fuel wood combustion over Puerto Williams, Navarino Island . . . . .	132
28.	Map of regions of Chile, with study area indicated . . . . .	167

29.	Exemplary floor plan of a Navarino building . . . . .	189
30.	Exemplary architectonic plan of a Navarino building . . . . .	190
31.	Example pictures of self-constructed housings on Navarino Island . .	191
32.	Example pictures of social housings on Navarino Island . . . . .	192
33.	Indoor temperature and humidity example building C . . . . .	193
34.	Indoor temperature and humidity in example building D . . . . .	193
35.	Indoor temperature and humidity in example building E . . . . .	194
36.	Indoor temperature and humidity in example building F . . . . .	195
37.	Living room and bedroom temperatures and humidity in example building G . . . . .	196

---

**List of Tables**

1.	Input parameters for the calculation of U-values . . . . .	59
2.	Input parameters for modeling thermal insulation of buildings 1–3 in CASAnova . . . . .	61
3.	Input parameters for the calculation of heating energy demands of buildings 1–5 . . . . .	62
4.	Calorific value of Chilean wood . . . . .	64
5.	Input parameters for the calculation of fuel wood demand and forest area . . . . .	65
6.	Values tested with the sensitivity analysis for building 3 . . . . .	69
7.	Input parameters for the investment analysis for refurbishments in ill-insulated buildings . . . . .	74
8.	Resident arguments in favor and against fuel wood use . . . . .	80
9.	Resident arguments for and against the destruction of forest due to fuel wood extraction . . . . .	81
10.	Resident opinions about fuel wood supply in the future . . . . .	81
11.	Cross table analysis: Building category and occupant opinions about indoor temperature . . . . .	97
12.	Cross table analysis: Building category and occupant opinions about thermal insulation . . . . .	98
14.	Cross table analysis: Building category and perception of air currents . . . . .	98
13.	Cross table analysis: Building category and problems of heating in cold mornings . . . . .	99
15.	Cross table analysis: Building category and perceived heat storage capacity . . . . .	99
16.	Cross table analysis: Building category and outer appearance of the building . . . . .	100
17.	Anova multiple comparisons: Building type and fuel wood demand . . . . .	101
18.	Cross table analysis: Building category and distribution of stove types . . . . .	101
19.	Construction and thermal characteristics of buildings 1–5 . . . . .	102
20.	Energy demand of buildings 1–5 . . . . .	104
21.	Energy savings due to different thermal insulation measures in buildings 1–3 . . . . .	105
22.	Energy savings due to the replacement of stoves . . . . .	105
23.	Energy savings due to the use of dry fuel wood . . . . .	106

24.	Fuel wood demand of buildings 1–5, considering different stove efficiencies and wood humidity . . . . .	107
25.	Sensitivity analysis for input parameters of fuel wood demand calculations . . . . .	109
26.	Material list and investment costs for basic thermal retrofits in ill-insulated buildings . . . . .	111
27.	Net Present Value, period of amortization and Internal Rate of Return of thermal insulation measures under three different assumptions . .	113
28.	Energy Efficiency Scenario assumptions and results . . . . .	130
29.	Summarizing overview of energy demand for space heating of buildings 1–5 . . . . .	136
30.	Main causes and possible solutions for inefficient fuel wood use on Navarino Island . . . . .	143
31.	Investment costs for basic thermal insulation measures, estimated prior to detailed investigations . . . . .	176
32.	Period of amortization for basic thermal insulation measures, estimated prior to detailed investigations . . . . .	176
33.	Detailed list of CASAnova input parameters . . . . .	178
34.	Frequencies: Outer appearance of investigated buildings . . . . .	181
36.	Descriptive statistics: Combustible and stove use . . . . .	182
37.	Frequencies: Combustible and stove use on Navarino Island . . . . .	183
39.	Frequencies: Inhabitant opinions about thermal building qualities . .	184
40.	Frequencies: Willingness to invest into thermal insulation measures .	186



## **List of Abbreviations**

ACH	Air Changes per Hour
CERE-UMAG	Centro de Estudios de los Recursos Energéticos, Universidad de Magallanes (Center for Energetic Resource Studies at the University of Magallanes)
CLP	Chilean Peso
CNE	Comisión Nacional de Energía (National Energy Commission)
CONAF	Corporación Nacional Forestal (National Forestry Corporation)
CONAMA	Comisión Nacional del Medio Ambiente (National Environment Commission)
CCTE	Certificación del Comportamiento Térmico para Edificios en Chile (Software for the certification of thermal behavior of Chilean buildings)
CV	Calorific value
EKC	Environmental Kuznets Curve
IRR	Internal Rate of Return
MINVU	Ministerio de Vivienda y Urbanismo (Ministry of Housing and Urbanism)
NPV	Net Present Value
PDA	Plan de Descontaminación Atmosférica (Atmospheric Decontamination Plan)
PPEE	Programa País de Eficiencia Energética
RT	Reglamentación Térmica (Thermal Building Regulations for Chile)
SNCL	Sistema Nacional de Certificación de Leña (National System of Fuel wood Certification)
UF	Unidad de Fomento (Calculation currency that permanently includes the Consumer Price Index)
WHO	World Health Organization