

Schriftenreihe der Reiner Lemoine-Stiftung



Christian A. Hans

Operation control of islanded microgrids

CHRISTIAN A. HANS

OPERATION
CONTROL OF
ISLANDED
MICROGRIDS

SHAKER VERLAG

OPERATION CONTROL OF ISLANDED MICROGRIDS

vorgelegt von

Dipl.-Ing. Christian A. Hans

(ORCID: 0000-0001-9329-4527)

an der Fakultät IV - Elektrotechnik und Informatik

der Technischen Universität Berlin

zur Erlangung des akademischen Grades

Doktor der Ingenieurwissenschaften (Dr.-Ing.)

GENEHMIGTE DISSERTATION

PROMOTIONS-AUSSCHUSS:

Vorsitzender: Prof. Dr.-Ing. Clemens Gühmann

Gutachter: Prof. Dr.-Ing. Jörg Raisch

Gutachterin: Dr. Alessandra Parisio

Gutachter: Dr. Pantelis Sopasakis

Tag der wissenschaftlichen Aussprache: 15. März 2021

Berlin 2021

Schriftenreihe der Reiner Lemoine-Stiftung

Christian A. Hans

Operation control of islanded microgrids

D 83 (Diss. TU Berlin)

Shaker Verlag
Düren 2021

Bibliographic information published by the Deutsche Nationalbibliothek

The Deutsche Nationalbibliothek lists this publication in the Deutsche Nationalbibliografie; detailed bibliographic data are available in the Internet at <http://dnb.d-nb.de>.

Zugl.: Berlin, Technische Universität, Diss., 2021

This publication was supported by the Open Access Publication Fund of Technische Universität Berlin.

This book is available under the license CC-BY.
Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License
(<https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/legalcode>)



Printed in Germany.

Print-ISBN 978-3-8440-8233-3
PDF-ISBN 978-3-8440-8300-2
ISSN 2193-7575
<https://doi.org/10.2370/9783844083002>

Shaker Verlag GmbH • Am Langen Graben 15a • 52353 Düren
Phone: 0049/2421/99011-0 • Telefax: 0049/2421/99011-9
Internet: www.shaker.de • e-mail: info@shaker.de

PREDICTION IS VERY DIFFICULT, ESPECIALLY ABOUT
THE FUTURE.

DANISH PROVERB

Abstract

Islanded microgrids (MGs) are small electric power networks that have no connection to a larger grid. They typically comprise storage units, renewable and conventional generators as well as loads. The central question of this work is: How to operate islanded MGs with high renewable share, i.e., how to control the energy of the storage units, and how to maximize infeed from uncertain renewable sources without compromising a safe operation?

To answer this question, different model predictive control (MPC) schemes for the operation of MGs are deduced. These are derived based on a generic model of an islanded MG with high renewable share. The schemes can be distinguished by the way they handle the uncertain load and renewable infeed: (i) certainty equivalence MPC, where a nominal forecast is fully trusted; (ii) minimax MPC, where time-varying forecast intervals are assumed; (iii) risk-neutral stochastic MPC, where a forecast probability distribution is fully trusted; and (iv) risk-averse MPC, where a forecast probability distribution is *not* fully trusted.

All schemes are posed in computationally tractable ways and compared in a numerical case study. The results of this study indicate that (i) certainty equivalence MPC can compromise a safe operation; (ii) minimax MPC leads to a safe operation at the expense of higher costs; (iii) risk-neutral stochastic MPC leads to a safe operation and low costs if the forecast probability distribution is accurate; and (iv) risk-averse MPC provides robustness to misestimated forecasts and unlikely events which leads to a safe operation at low costs.

In conclusion, how uncertain load and renewable infeed are modeled has a significant impact on safety and performance. Overall, risk-averse MPC was identified to be most suitable for the operation of islanded MG as it provides robustness to misestimated forecasts and unlikely events which translates into low costs and a safe operation.

Kurzfassung

Microgrids (MGs) im Inselbetrieb sind kleine elektrische Netze ohne Verbindung zu einem größeren Netz. Sie beinhalten typischerweise Speicher, erneuerbare und konventionelle Einheiten sowie Verbraucher. Die zentrale Frage dieser Arbeit lautet: Wie können MGs mit hohem Anteil erneuerbarer Erzeuger als elektrische Insel betrieben werden, d. h., wie sollte die gespeicherte Energie geregelt werden, und wie kann man erneuerbare Einspeisung maximieren, ohne die Versorgungssicherheit zu gefährden?

Um diese Frage zu beantworten, werden unterschiedliche Ansätze zur modellprädiktiven Regelung (englisch model predictive control, MPC) hergeleitet. Diese basieren auf einem gemeinsamen mathematischen Modell eines MGs. Die Ansätze unterscheiden sich darin, wie unsichere erneuerbare Erzeugung und Last modelliert werden: (i) Sicherheitsäquivalente MPC, bei der die nominelle Vorhersage als sicher angenommen wird; (ii) Robuste MPC, bei der zeitvariante Vorhersageintervalle angenommen werden; (iii) Risikoneutrale stochastische MPC, bei der die Wahrscheinlichkeitsverteilung der Vorhersage als sicher angenommen wird; und (iv) Risikoaverse MPC, bei der die Wahrscheinlichkeitsverteilung der Vorhersage als unsicher angenommen wird.

Alle Ansätze werden so hergeleitet, dass sie mit existierenden Verfahren numerisch gelöst werden können und in einer Simulationsstudie miteinander verglichen. Die Ergebnisse der Studie legen nahe, dass (i) die sicherheitsäquivalente MPC zu verringerter Versorgungssicherheit führt; (ii) die robuste MPC zu einem sicheren Betrieb und erhöhten Kosten führt; (iii) die risikoneutrale stochastische MPC zu einem sicheren Betrieb und niedrigen Kosten führt, wenn die angenommene Wahrscheinlichkeitsverteilung korrekt ist; und (iv) die risikoaverse MPC robust gegenüber fehlerhaften Wahrscheinlichkeitsverteilungen und unwahrscheinlichen Ereignissen ist, was zu einem sicheren Betrieb und niedrigen Kosten führt.

Zusammenfassend lässt sich sagen, dass die Modellierung von unsicherer erneuerbare Erzeugung und Last einen großen Einfluss auf Versorgungssicherheit und Kosten hat. Alles in allem wurde die risikoaverse MPC als tauglicher Ansatz für den Betrieb von MGs im Inselbetrieb identifiziert, da sie robust gegenüber fehlerhaften Vorhersagen und unwahrscheinlichen Vorfällen ist und zu einem sicheren Betrieb mit niedrigen Kosten führt.

Acknowledgements

I want to thank the people who supported me during the last years.

First and foremost, Jörg Raisch. Thank you for your guidance, your support and constructive discussions on many intermediate steps that led to this work. Thank you for providing so much autonomy while being always open for advice. I am very grateful for your trust.

I would also like to thank Pantelis Sopasakis for many discussions in Lucca, Leuven and Belfast. Your patient, uncomplicated and supporting nature makes collaborating with you so enjoyable. Thank you for always having an open ear and a place to crash for a visit.

Moreover, I want to thank Alessandra Parisio for agreeing to be part of doctoral committee. Your work had a big impact on my research and opened perspectives for many things discussed in this thesis. I am very happy that you are on board.

Furthermore, Clemens Gühmann for offering to be the chair of my doctoral committee. Thank you for being such a calm and supporting host in my PhD defense.

This thesis would not have been possible without the ideal and financial support of the Reiner Lemoine Foundation. I am very grateful for the possibility to follow my personal research interest and the freedom to study in Berlin and abroad during the initial phase of my doctoral work. Thank you all, especially, Annegret Jatzkewitz, Clemens Triebel, Anja Lemoine, Busso von Bismarck and Lutz Plöttner.

A big shout out to my colleagues at the Control Systems Group at Technische Universität Berlin. Especially to my office mates Johannes Schiffer, Vladislav Nenchev and Ajay Kumar Sampathirao. Thank you, Johannes and Vlad, for being so welcoming in the first place. In particular, for your friendship and for good chats during coffee breaks and table tennis matches, for many fruitful discussions and great collaborations that led to this thesis. Thank you Ajay for being such a good office mate. I still remember our meetings in the park and us scaring other parents by discussing optimization theory at the playground. I would also like to thank all the other colleagues for fruitful discussions in formal and informal settings, especially, Thomas Schauer, Thomas Seel, Ajay Krishna, Lia Strenge, Steffen Hofmann, Fabio Molinari and Johannes Trunk. Also, a big thanks to all the students who joined our team in the last years, especially, Philipp Zitzlaff, Elin Klages, Pavlo Gaiduk, Adrian Schödl, Alexandre Forel, Joseph Theune and Han Zhou. Further-

more, I want to thank Ulrike Locherer for her help in all the organizational things. Also, thanks to Arne Hertzsch for his support and open mindedness towards new solutions.

I would further like to thank collaborators on topics related to this thesis. Thank you Alberto Bemporad, Lars Grüne, Johannes Schiffer, Carsten Reincke-Collon, Panagiotis (Panos) Patrinos, Pantelis Sopasakis, Philipp Braun, Vladislav Nenchev, Ajay Krishna, Elin Klages, Peter Merk and Thomas Kral.

I also want to thank my former colleagues at Younicos AG. First of all, Carsten Reincke-Collon for his support during my time as a doctoral candidate. You had a great impact on my interest on control-related issues in microgrids. Thank you for your help on a personal, scientific and organizational level. I always appreciate your reflected and pragmatic perspective on things. I would also like to thank Clemens Triebel for his support. I admire your enthusiasm and deep commitment for renewable energies. Erich Bosch for always taking the time for technical and personal conversations. I have learned so much from you and your intrinsic motivation to get to the bottom of things. Peter Merk for helping me to get on board in the first place. You are a great DJ and colleague. Peter as well as Tim Tröndle, Sebastian Klockziem, Thomas Kral and Triin Tamra have not only been colleagues but also friends.

I would also like to thank my parents Michaela Roth, Walter Schackert and Christian Roth for supporting me in all possible ways. You always encouraged me to try new things and believe in what I am doing. Thanks for your love Susanne Hans, Philipp Roth and Pascal Roth; Max and Elfriede Hans as well as Dieter Kühn for being proud and curious grandparents; Hille Piezunka for lending a hand whenever possible; Henning Piezunka for his advice and great sense of humor; and Angela Schrauber for supporting us in always turbulent times. A big thanks to my friends for reminding me of all the things outside university: Martin Stuckenbröker, Martin von der Ohe, Jan Dähne, Moritz Vialon, Daniel Adam, Mary Kuhnt, Andreas Bach, Ilka Schoeneberger, Mathias Schoeneberger, Nina Botsch and Ilkay Oksuz.

Last but definitely not least, I would like to thank Anne Piezunka for being with me in all these years. Thank you for the discussions and the conspiratorial walks in the park, for being always so supportive, open and curious. I could not imagine a better partner in crime than you!

Contents

1	<i>Introduction</i>	1
1.1	<i>Motivation</i>	2
1.2	<i>Contributions</i>	4
1.3	<i>Related work</i>	10
1.4	<i>Publications</i>	16
1.5	<i>Outline</i>	17
2	<i>Problem statement</i>	19
2.1	<i>Microgrid concept</i>	19
2.2	<i>Hierarchical control of MGs</i>	21
2.3	<i>Requirements for operation control</i>	23
2.4	<i>Summary</i>	28
3	<i>Preliminaries</i>	29
3.1	<i>Notation</i>	29
3.2	<i>Model predictive control</i>	31
3.3	<i>Optimization theory</i>	33
3.4	<i>Power transmission</i>	38
3.5	<i>Summary</i>	45

4	<i>Microgrid model</i>	47
4.1	<i>Introduction</i>	49
4.2	<i>Assumptions</i>	50
4.3	<i>Load</i>	52
4.4	<i>Conventional generators</i>	53
4.5	<i>Renewable generators</i>	54
4.6	<i>Storage units</i>	55
4.7	<i>Transmission network</i>	57
4.8	<i>Power sharing</i>	62
4.9	<i>Overall model</i>	66
4.10	<i>Summary</i>	69
5	<i>Model predictive control formulation</i>	71
5.1	<i>Model variables</i>	71
5.2	<i>Cost function</i>	72
5.3	<i>Problem formulation</i>	75
5.4	<i>Example</i>	77
5.5	<i>Summary</i>	78
6	<i>Forecast</i>	79
6.1	<i>Preliminaries</i>	80
6.2	<i>ARIMA forecasting</i>	83
6.3	<i>Load</i>	85
6.4	<i>Wind turbine</i>	86
6.5	<i>Photovoltaic power plant</i>	89
6.6	<i>Summary</i>	91

7	<i>Certainty equivalence MPC</i>	93
7.1	<i>Model variables</i>	93
7.2	<i>MPC problem formulation</i>	94
7.3	<i>Example</i>	95
7.4	<i>Summary</i>	97
8	<i>Minimax MPC</i>	99
8.1	<i>Introduction</i>	100
8.2	<i>Model variables</i>	103
8.3	<i>Cost function</i>	113
8.4	<i>MPC problem formulation</i>	114
8.5	<i>Example</i>	115
8.6	<i>Summary</i>	116
9	<i>Scenario trees</i>	119
9.1	<i>Formal description</i>	119
9.2	<i>Model variables</i>	123
9.3	<i>Generation from forecast scenarios</i>	131
9.4	<i>Summary</i>	138
10	<i>Risk-neutral stochastic MPC</i>	139
10.1	<i>Expectation</i>	140
10.2	<i>MPC problem formulation</i>	142
10.3	<i>Example</i>	145
10.4	<i>Summary</i>	146
11	<i>Risk-averse MPC</i>	149
11.1	<i>Measuring risk</i>	150

11.2	<i>MPC problem formulation</i>	156
11.3	<i>Example</i>	163
11.4	<i>Summary</i>	165
12	<i>Case study</i>	167
12.1	<i>Simulation setup</i>	168
12.2	<i>Simulation with simple MG</i>	172
12.3	<i>Simulation with extended MG</i>	186
12.4	<i>Summary</i>	195
13	<i>Conclusion</i>	197
13.1	<i>Summary</i>	197
13.2	<i>Future research directions</i>	200
A	<i>Simulation results with extended MG</i>	205
	<i>Bibliography</i>	209
	<i>List of Figures</i>	239
	<i>List of Tables</i>	243
	<i>Abbreviations</i>	245
	<i>Nomenclature</i>	247
	<i>Index</i>	251