

**Analysing effectivity of urban growth management in the  
National Capital Region Delhi, India**

Von der Fakultät Bau-und Umweltingenieurwissenschaften der Universität Stuttgart  
zur Erlangung der Würde eines  
Doktors der Ingenieurwissenschaften (Dr.-Ing.) genehmigte Abhandlung

Vorgelegt von

**Manisha Jain**  
Aus Neu Delhi, Indien

Hauptberichter: Prof. Dr.-Ing. Stefan Siedentop  
Mitberichter: Prof. Dr.-Ing. habil. Karina M. Pallagst

Tag der mündlichen Prüfung: 20.12.2012

Institute für Raumordnung und Entwicklungsplanung der Universität Stuttgart  
2012

This thesis was accepted as a doctoral dissertation in fulfilment of the requirements for the degree “Doctor of Engineering Sciences (Dr.-Ing.)” by the Faculty of Civil and Environmental Sciences at University of Stuttgart on 26.11.2012

Date of oral examination: 20.12.2012

**Examination Committee**

Head of examination

Prof. Dr.-Ing. Markus Friedrich

Main supervisor

Prof. Dr.-Ing. Stefan Siedentop

Co-supervisor

Prof. Dr.-Ing. Karina Pallagst

Urban and regional planning

**Manisha Jain**

**Analysing effectivity of urban growth management  
in the National Capital Region Delhi, India**

D 93 (Diss. Universität Stuttgart)

Shaker Verlag  
Aachen 2013

**Bibliographic information published by the Deutsche Nationalbibliothek**

The Deutsche Nationalbibliothek lists this publication in the Deutsche Nationalbibliografie; detailed bibliographic data are available in the Internet at <http://dnb.d-nb.de>.

Zugl.: Stuttgart, Univ., Diss., 2012

Copyright Shaker Verlag 2013

All rights reserved. No part of this publication may be reproduced, stored in a retrieval system, or transmitted, in any form or by any means, electronic, mechanical, photocopying, recording or otherwise, without the prior permission of the publishers.

Printed in Germany.

ISBN 978-3-8440-1682-6

ISSN 1611-1087

Shaker Verlag GmbH • P.O. BOX 101818 • D-52018 Aachen

Phone: 0049/2407/9596-0 • Telefax: 0049/2407/9596-9

Internet: [www.shaker.de](http://www.shaker.de) • e-mail: [info@shaker.de](mailto:info@shaker.de)

## **Acknowledgment**

I am greatly honoured and thankful to Prof. Stefan Siedentop for accepting me as a doctorate student when I had no research experience. He not only served as my main supervisor but also encouraged and challenged me with his constructive critique, never accepting less than my best efforts. Without his support, patience and guidance, completion of this dissertation would not have been possible. I extend my heartfelt gratitude to Prof. Karina M. Pallagst for agreeing to co-supervise this work, and for her valuable suggestions.

I extend my deep gratitude to Prof. Giseller Kaule, Dr. Hans-Georg Schwarz-v.Raumer, and Dr. Klaus Fischer for their support during my early struggling days in research. I am obliged to Dr. Hannes Taubenböck (DLR Munich) for providing me with the remote sensing images otherwise difficult to obtain, which further enhanced the quality and robustness of my work.

A special note of thanks to Dr. Manuel Weis for his timely input and suggestions on the GIS analysis without which I could not have succeeded in my empirical analysis, and Norbert Uphues, Philipp Zakrzewski, Dr. Wiwandari Handayani, Dr. Li Feng, Dr. Ebenezer Adaku and Angelika Krehl for being such cooperative and helpful colleagues to me. I would also like to thank Mr. Peter Kindl for discussing and enlightening me about the social issues in Germany. Besides, a special note of thanks to Dr. Shamaila Zia Khan for her input and critiques on my final manuscript, which helped me improve my work further.

The scholarship awards from the Landesgraduiertenförderung (Stuttgart) and Rosa-Luxemburg-Stiftung (Berlin) underscored my ability and capability as a scholar, providing me with the confidence needed. But the award of both the awards would not have been possible without Prof. Stefan Siedentop's recommendations.

From the above, I accepted the offer of Rosa-Luxemburg-Stiftung, I sincerely thank them for their financial support for successful completion of my dissertation. Moreover, a special note of thanks to Prof. Michael Weingarten for being so warm and cooperative during the interview sessions, and also for motivating me to further plan my research in collaboration with German and Indian institutions.

Finally, I would like to extend my deepest gratitude towards my parents (Dr. Alka Jain and Mr. Vijay Kr. Jain), my brother (Mr. Varun Kr. Jain) and my other family members, who kept up my morale and helped me to endure one of those frustrating and depressing days of research when nothing seems to go right. Above all I dedicate this work to my parents and Nanua Guruji; without their blessings I would not have made it to this day.



# Table of Contents

<b>Table of Contents</b> .....	<b>v</b>
<b>List of Tables</b> .....	<b>ix</b>
<b>List of Figures</b> .....	<b>xi</b>
<b>List of Abbreviations</b> .....	<b>xiii</b>
<b>Abstract</b> .....	<b>xv</b>
<b>Zusammenfassung</b> .....	<b>xviii</b>
<b>I Introduction</b> .....	<b>1</b>
I.1 Research background.....	1
I.2 Problem statement.....	2
I.3 Research objectives, questions and approach (steps) .....	5
I.4 Organisation of the thesis .....	7
<b>II Exploring the connection between urban growth and growth management</b> .....	<b>9</b>
II.1 Understanding transformation of urbanisation .....	9
II.1.1 Urbanisation stages as a spatial cycle .....	9
II.1.2 Urbanisation and urban growth: Interrelated process.....	12
II.1.3 Factors shaping urbanisation: A comparison between developed and developing regions.....	13
II.1.4 Understanding sub-urbanisation: Some theories and factors .....	16
II.1.5 Understanding des (counter) urbanisation and its forces .....	17
II.1.6 When decentralisation becomes excessive or sprawl?.....	19
II.2 Changing spatial patterns of urban growth .....	22
II.2.1 Transformation of urban form at city level (micro).....	22
II.2.2 Transformation of urban spatial structure at the regional level (macro).....	24
II.2.3 Influence of transport on urban form .....	27
II.3 Components of urban growth management.....	28
II.3.1 Policies and instruments for managing urban growth.....	31
II.3.2 Evaluation for urban growth management .....	45
II.3.3 Role of planning and implementing agencies .....	51
II.3.4 Role of public participation and political support.....	54
II.3.5 Being prepared for growth .....	55
II.4 Referring to the Indian context .....	56
II.4.1 Indian urbanisation: What makes it different and dynamic?.....	56
II.4.2 What is known about Indian sub-urbanisation? .....	61
II.4.3 Transformation of spatial structure from dual core to sprawl.....	63

II.4.4	Urban planning environment in Indian cities.....	64
II.4.5	Urban planning and implementing agencies: Actors and tools.....	66
II.4.6	Spatial plans (policy document) to manage urban growth.....	68
II.5	Conclusion: Growth management accommodates growth effectively.....	70
<b>III</b>	<b>Analysis of actual trend of urban growth in National Capital Region Delhi with focus on National Capital Territory Delhi.....</b>	<b>71</b>
III.1	Case study area National Capital Region Delhi.....	71
III.2	Identifying the character of urban growth in NCR Delhi.....	74
III.2.1	Methodology and data source.....	74
III.2.2	Investigating urban development stage in NCR Delhi.....	75
III.2.3	Analysing demographic and socio-economic situation in NCR Delhi.....	76
III.2.4	Analysing spatial expansion in central area of NCR Delhi.....	79
III.3	What is driving the urban growth of Delhi?.....	84
III.3.1	Components of Delhi urban growth.....	84
III.3.2	Impact of economic forces on Delhi urban growth.....	86
III.3.3	Impact of transport on Delhi urban growth.....	90
III.3.4	Policy shift from balanced regional to city centric development.....	91
III.3.5	Impact of land use regulations on Delhi urban growth.....	93
III.3.6	Issues impacting land and housing market.....	95
III.4	Investigating urban growth management environment in NCR Delhi.....	97
III.4.1	Planning and implementation environment.....	98
III.4.2	Forms of public participation under missing political will.....	110
III.5	Conclusion: Missing institutional capacity to manage growth.....	112
<b>IV</b>	<b>Evaluating spatial plan policies in National Capital Territory and National Capital Region Delhi.....</b>	<b>115</b>
IV.1	Situation of spatial plan policy evaluation in NCR Delhi.....	115
IV.2	Evaluation of NCR Delhi spatial plan policies focusing on NCT Delhi.....	117
IV.2.1	Adopted framework for investigating chronological & vertical link.....	117
IV.2.2	Qualitative analysis: Identifying policy linkages.....	119
IV.3	Critique on plan review: Recommended evaluation approach.....	134
IV.3.1	Investigating the gaps between desired and actual development.....	136
IV.3.2	Result: Performance of policy objectives.....	160
IV.4	Conclusion: Missing institutional capacity to implement the projects.....	160
<b>V</b>	<b>Growth management best practices: What can Delhi learn?.....</b>	<b>163</b>
V.1	Factors analysed in practices of urban growth management.....	164
V.1.1	Regional characteristics: Pace of growth, area and total municipalities.....	164



V.1.2	Role of planning and implementing authority.....	165
V.1.3	Policies and tools for managing urban growth.....	166
V.1.4	Role of public participation and political support.....	166
V.2	Tokyo.....	167
V.2.1	Tokyo regional characteristics.....	167
V.2.2	Role of planning and implementing authorities in Tokyo region.....	168
V.2.3	Adopted urban growth management policies and tools in Tokyo region.....	169
V.2.4	Quality of public participation and political will in Tokyo region.....	172
V.2.5	Implications for Delhi.....	172
V.3	London.....	173
V.3.1	London regional characteristics.....	173
V.3.2	Role of planning and implementing authorities in London region.....	174
V.3.3	Adopted urban growth management policies and tools in London region.....	175
V.3.4	Quality of public participation and political support in London region.....	177
V.3.5	Implications for Delhi.....	178
V.4	Portland.....	178
V.4.1	Portland regional characteristics.....	179
V.4.2	Role of planning and implementing authorities in Portland region.....	179
V.4.3	Adopted urban growth management policies and tools in Portland region.....	181
V.4.4	Quality of public participation and political will in Portland region.....	182
V.4.5	Implications for Delhi.....	182
V.5	Paris.....	183
V.5.1	Paris regional characteristics.....	183
V.5.2	Role of planning and implementing authorities in Paris region.....	184
V.5.3	Adopted urban growth management policies and tools in Paris region.....	185
V.5.4	Quality of public participation and political will in Paris region.....	187
V.5.5	Implications for Delhi.....	188
V.6	Stuttgart.....	188
V.6.1	Stuttgart regional characteristics.....	188
V.6.2	Role of planning and implementing authorities in Stuttgart region.....	189
V.6.3	Adopted urban growth management policies and tools in Stuttgart region.....	190
V.6.4	Quality of public participation and political will in Stuttgart region.....	192
V.6.5	Implications for Delhi.....	192
V.7	Copenhagen.....	193
V.7.1	Copenhagen regional characteristics.....	193
V.7.2	Role of Copenhagen planning and implementing authorities.....	193

V.7.3	Adopted urban growth management policies and tools in Copenhagen region	195
V.7.4	Quality of public participation and political will in Copenhagen region	196
V.7.5	Implications for Delhi	197
V.8	Summary and conclusion	197
<b>VI</b>	<b>Policy recommendation</b>	<b>201</b>
VI.1	Problems identified: A rationale for policy recommendations	201
VI.2	Recommendation framework: How to perform better?	202
VI.2.1	Strengthening polycentric form of urban growth	202
VI.2.2	Identified gaps in effectuating decentralisation policies	204
VI.2.3	Linking the policy processes	208
VI.2.4	Needed capacity building to manage urban growth	209
VI.3	Research limitation	212
VI.4	Research prospects	214
	<b>List of References</b>	<b>215</b>
<b>A</b>	<b>Appendix</b>	<b>i</b>
A.1	Questionnaire	i
A.2	List of Abbreviations	iii
A.3	List of interviewees	v
A.4	Transcribed Interviews	v
	<b>Curriculum Vitae</b>	<b>xxiv</b>

## List of Tables

Table II-1:	Decadal breakup of stages of urban development for selected developed countries.....	11
Table II-2:	Urban growth management policies with their respective instruments.....	32
Table II-3:	Comparative core densities for cities in developing and developed countries.....	33
Table II-4:	Linkages between policy process and evaluation procedure.....	47
Table II-5:	Population (in million) and ranking of Indian urban agglomeration in 2011 and projected 2025.....	57
Table II-6:	Actors and tools in planning, implementation and development control.....	67
Table III-1:	Areas of the sub-regions for the participating states.....	71
Table III-2:	Population in Delhi Metropolitan Area towns (satellites) in 2001 and 2011.....	73
Table III-3:	Population in Regional Towns/Priority Towns in 2001 and 2011.....	73
Table III-4:	Rate (in percentage) of urban population change in NCR Delhi.....	76
Table III-5:	Rate (in percentage) of urban employment change in NCR Delhi.....	76
Table III-6:	Demographic and socio-economic indicators to analyse the character of suburbanisation.....	77
Table III-7:	Ratio's of demographic and socio-economic indicators for NCR-Delhi from 1971 to 2001.....	77
Table III-8:	Indicators to investigate spatial expansion of central area of NCR Delhi.....	79
Table III-9:	Result of spatial analysis.....	82
Table III-10:	Migrants in million by place of last residence for 2001 in Delhi Urban Agglomeration.....	85
Table III-11:	Residential market values for Delhi and surrounding satellite towns as on 2009.....	89
Table III-12:	Comparative analysis of the floor area ratio's in Indian cities and other cities of the world.....	94
Table III-13:	Planning and implementation agencies in NCT Delhi and NCR Delhi.....	99
Table III-14:	Identified gaps when no plan was available to guide the development.....	101
Table III-15:	Municipalities in NCT-Delhi with their areas, population served, and source of revenue.....	102
Table IV-1:	Assigned urban population according to NCRP 2001.....	121
Table IV-2:	Projected and assigned populations by MPD and NCRP 2001.....	122
Table IV-3:	Projected and assigned population by NCRP 2001.....	123
Table IV-4:	Projected population for 2011 and 2021 for NCT Delhi by NCRP and MPD 2021.....	125
Table IV-5:	Proposed densities according to NCRP 2001.....	128
Table IV-6:	Population decentralisation policy objectives, targets, indicators, results and rating.....	136
Table IV-7:	Actual and assigned urban population (in million) in Delhi metropolitan Area (DMA) for 2001.....	137
Table IV-8:	Assigned and actual urban population (in million) beyond Delhi and DMA in the region.....	137
Table IV-9:	Employment decentralisation policy objectives, targets, indicators, outcome and rating.....	138
Table IV-10:	Public sector employment from 1998 till 2001 in Delhi.....	139
Table IV-11:	Employment in factories prohibited in NCT-Delhi from 1998 to 2000.....	139
Table IV-12:	Central government employment for 1991 and 2001.....	140
Table IV-13:	MPD 2001 target and actual employment by 2001.....	141
Table IV-14:	Expansion of small scale industrial units in Delhi.....	142

Table IV-15: Shelter and settlement policy objectives, targets, indicators, outcome and rating .....	143
Table IV-16: Assigned population in million for regional centers and the actual census population for 2001.....	144
Table IV-17: Compact development and land use policy objectives, targets, indicators, outcome and rating.....	145
Table IV-18: Net urban population density for Tehsils in NCR Delhi in 1999.....	146
Table IV-19: Percentage of various land uses in some of the Tehsils in the region.....	146
Table IV-20: Calculated gross population densities according to assigned population in MPD 2001 .....	149
Table IV-21: Gross population densities of tehsils according to census 2001 .....	150
Table IV-22: Transport strategies problem, objectives, targets and indicators in NCRP 2001 .....	155
Table IV-23: Summarised final performance of the policy objectives.....	160
Table V-1: Description of regional characteristics.....	165
Table V-2: Aspects describing planning and implementation environment .....	166
Table V-3: Policies and tools analysed in the practices of urban growth management ....	166
Table V-4: Summary of Tokyo regional characteristics .....	167
Table V-5: Summary of the planning and implementing authorities in Tokyo region ....	169
Table V-6: Summary of the tools used for managing urban growth in Tokyo region .....	169
Table V-7: Summary of London regional characteristics .....	174
Table V-8: Summary of the planning and implementing authorities in London region ...	175
Table V-9: Summary of the tools used for managing urban growth in London region ....	175
Table V-10: Summary of Portland regional characteristics .....	179
Table V-11: Summary of the planning and implementing authorities in Portland region ...	180
Table V-12: Summary of the tools used for managing urban growth in Portland region ...	181
Table V-13: Summary of Paris regional characteristics.....	184
Table V-14: Summary of the planning and implementing authorities in Paris region.....	185
Table V-15: Summary of the tools used for managing urban growth in Paris region.....	185
Table V-16: Summary of Stuttgart regional characteristics.....	189
Table V-17: Summary of the planning and implementing authorities in Stuttgart region..	190
Table V-18: Summary of the tools used for managing urban growth in Stuttgart region...	190
Table V-19: Summary of Copenhagen regional characteristics.....	193
Table V-20: Summary of the planning and implementing authorities in Copenhagen region .....	195
Table V-21: Summary of the tools used for managing urban growth in Copenhagen region .....	195
Table V-22: Summary of the regional characteristics.....	197
Table V-23: Summary of the role of planning and implementing authorities in different regions.....	198
Table V-24: Summary of adopted policies and tools in different regions .....	198
Table V-25: Summary of public participation and political will in urban growth management.....	199
Table VI-1: Identified gaps and fillers for MPD 2021 and NCRP 2021 recommendations .....	205

## List of Figures

Figure I-1:	Adopted research approach.....	6
Figure II-1:	Transformation of urban form with reference to clustering of urban activities	24
Figure II-2:	Four dimensions of spatial structure at metropolitan scale.....	25
Figure II-3:	Representation of Thompson strategies of land use and transport combination .....	28
Figure II-4:	Newman representation of city evolution .....	28
Figure II-5:	Model indicating components of effective urban growth management.....	31
Figure II-6:	Main stages of policy cycle supported by information and knowledge.....	45
Figure II-7:	Relationship between policy measures and ultimate impact .....	49
Figure II-8:	Dimensions of performance assessment .....	50
Figure II-9:	Levels in Indian planning framework.....	65
Figure II-10:	Local governance structure in India.....	68
Figure III-1:	National Capital Region Delhi with its participating states, indicated with Core and Ring division.....	72
Figure III-2:	Gross urban population density (people per hectare) for NCR Delhi from 1971 to 2001 .....	78
Figure III-3:	Spatial expansion of central area of NCR for 1977, 1999 and 2010 time period .....	81
Figure III-4:	Delhi spatial expansion from 1971 to 2001 .....	85
Figure III-5:	Location of business, offices and industries in centre of NCR Delhi .....	87
Figure III-6:	Slum location in NCT-Delhi before and after 1990.....	88
Figure III-7:	Approximate location of land rates per sq. meter (in INR) in Delhi .....	89
Figure III-8:	Land use map indicating floor area ratios break up in Delhi .....	94
Figure III-9:	Mismatch between planning zones, election zone, census, and revenue boundaries.....	103
Figure III-10:	Control of implementation agencies in NCT-Delhi under Federal and State government .....	104
Figure III-11:	Hierarchy of spatial plans in the region .....	108
Figure III-12:	Identified weak organisational and institutional capacity to manage urban growth.....	113
Figure IV-1:	Adopted framework to analyse spatial plan policies .....	117
Figure IV-2:	Concept of decentralisation as envisaged by IGP-GD 1956 and MPD 1981 ..	120
Figure IV-3:	Decentralisation envisaged by MPD 2001 .....	120
Figure IV-4:	Settlement hierarchy according to NCRP 2001 .....	122
Figure IV-5:	Proposed policy zones Regional Plans 2001 and 2021 .....	124
Figure IV-6:	Settlement hierarchy according to NCRP 2021 .....	124
Figure IV-7:	Greenbelt chronology in the plans .....	129
Figure IV-8:	Transport network according to NCRP 2001.....	132
Figure IV-9:	Transport network according to NCRP 2021.....	132
Figure IV-10:	Adopted evaluation approach .....	135
Figure IV-11:	Actual 1999 BUA in Center of NCR Delhi superimposed on NCRP-2001 proposal.....	148
Figure IV-12:	Actual BUA of 1999 superimposed on MPD-2001 proposal.....	148
Figure IV-13:	Built up area in 1999 in MPD 2001 recommended greenbelt.....	151
Figure IV-14:	Proposed land use as per MPD-1981 .....	152
Figure IV-15:	Proposed land use as per MPD-2001 .....	152
Figure IV-16:	Proposed greenbelt in MPD-2021.....	153
Figure IV-17:	BUA in one revenue deep Delhi greenbelt in 2010 .....	153

Figure IV-18: Delhi Mass Rapid Transit System and northern railway line by 2011 .....	158
Figure IV-19: Problems identified in the evaluation process.....	162
Figure V-1: Analysed factors for urban growth management in best practices .....	164
Figure V-2: Positioning of Delhi region with respect to the best practice regions in urban growth management.....	199
Figure VI-1: Recommended policies for intra-urban and inter-urban polycentric growth for NCR Delhi .....	204
Figure VI-2: Recommended interlinking for policy formulation, implementation and evaluation to manage urban growth effectively .....	210

## List of Abbreviations

ADB	Asian Development Bank
AFPO	Adequate Facility Provision Ordinance
BBL	Building Bye-Laws
BLU	Binding Land Use
BPO	Business Processing Office
BP	Building Permit
BUA	Built Up Area
CAA	Constitutional Amendment Act
CDP	City Development Plan
CBD	Central Business District
CIP	Capital Improvement Programs
CMA Towns	Counter Magnet Area Towns
CNCR	Central National Capital Region or DMA
DA	Development Authority
DC	Development Control
DDA	Delhi Development Authority
DMA Towns	Delhi Metropolitan Area Towns or CNCR
FAR	Floor Area Ratio
FDI	Foreign Direct Investment
GDA	Ghaziabad Development Authority
Govt.	Government
GoI	Government of India
GM	Growth Management
JNNURM	Jawaharlal Nehru National Urban Renewal Mission
J-H	Job-Housing
LA	Local Authority
LAP	Local Area Plan
LDP	Local Development Plans
LDF	Local Development Framework
LR	Land Readjustment
LRT	Light Rail Transit
LU	Land Use
LUP	Land Use Plan
MCD	Municipal Corporation Delhi
MLA	Minister of Legislative Assembly

MP	Master Plan
MPD	Master Plan Delhi
MRTS	Mass Rapid Transit System
NCR	National Capital Region
NCRP	National Capital Region Plan
NCRPB	National Capital Region Planning Board
NCT Delhi	National Capital Territory Delhi
NBC	National Building Code
NDMC	New Delhi Municipal Corporation
NH	National Highway
OS	Open Spaces
PLU	Preparatory Land Use
PP	Public Participation
PuT	Public Transportation
RC	Regional Center
RP	Regional Plan
RSS	Regional Spatial Strategies
RWA	Resident Welfare Association
SEZ	Special Economic Zones
SPP	Spatial Plan Policies
TCP	Town and Country Planning
TCPO	Town and Country Planning Organisation
TDR	Transfer Development Right
TOD	Transit Oriented Development
UCA	Urban Control Area
UGB	Urban Growth Boundary
UGM	Urban Growth Management
ULB	Urban Local Bodies
UMTA	Unified Metropolitan Transport Authority
UTD	Urban Transport Division
UP	Uttar Pradesh
UPA	Urban Promotion Area
USB	Urban Service Boundary
ZP	Zonal Plans



## **Abstract**

Urban growth and its management has been the concern for planners in both developed and developing countries. Their definitions reflect the relationship between the two, the former is a process of concentration of people or economic activities and the latter is a proactive approach enabling communities to maintain and improve their quality of life as they grow. Urban growth (or urban change) is often modelled as a cyclical process of urbanisation, suburbanisation, counter urbanisation and reurbanisation, and each stage is marked by peculiar characteristics of demographic, socio-economic, spatial development and problems associated with it. To deal with the stage specific problems, planners make use of urban growth management policies or strategies and tools to achieve effective urban growth.

If growth management is the application of planning policies and tools in a coordinated manner to achieve desired pattern of growth, then long term planning with infrastructure, housing, employment and social service are central to effective growth management, which is defined as a dynamic process for anticipating and accommodating development needs by balancing competing community-building goals and coordinating local and regional-scale interests. The right mix of policies and tools depending upon the governmental environment and their implementation are essential for effective urban growth management.

The old Indian metropolises of Mumbai, Kolkata, Chennai and Delhi are characterised by a lack of basic infrastructure, long congestion and commuting time, and outward expansion with large land take. The new incipient Bangalore, Hyderabad, and Pune are also on the same path of growth. The increasing population pressure coupled with lacking infrastructure has made the metropolises vulnerable to catastrophe from both climatic and non-climatic threats, where the latter is amplified by the former.

By 2030, Delhi urban agglomeration will accommodate 33 million people to remain second largest agglomeration of the world and will be a major contributor to Indian GDP. The economic growth of Delhi has not resulted in an overall development instead in the formation of slums, traffic congestion, sprawl, and environmental degradation. The current growth management approach will result in urban grid lock and decline. To deal with the above problems planners adopted population and employment decentralisation influenced by Greater London Plan (Abercrombie Plan) but have met with limited success in terms of providing respite to urban dwellers raising doubts on the effectiveness of the adopted growth management policies.

Not much literature is available for evaluating the effectiveness of growth management policies, although growth management policies have been adopted vastly in developed as well as in developing countries, but attempts to evaluate their effectiveness have been very limited. Piecemeal review of National Capital Region Plan (NCRP) the regional plan for National Capital Region (NCR) Delhi and master plan for NCT Delhi has been performed by scholars and the government agencies for preparing City Development Plan, regional plans and master plans for Delhi, but a systematic and comprehensive evaluation of the growth management policies has not been performed so far.

Evaluating the effectiveness of the growth management policies is just half answer to the problem of effectively managing urban growth, as it will only inform planners of where what went wrong, instead what is needed is a framework to manage rapidly growing cities. In the light of the above this research aims to develop a conceptual framework for managing urban growth effectively. To reach the above target the research was divided into three steps which form the three main objectives:

- To analyse the actual trend of urban growth in NCR Delhi with focus on NCT Delhi, in order to know what is the character of urban growth? What factors have driven the urban growth? How is the planning and implementation environment?
- To evaluate the spatial policies for NCT Delhi and NCR Delhi, in order to identify what and where are the gaps between actual and desired growth? What is spatial policy evaluation? Eventually developing and testing the evaluation approach.
- To learn from international best practices of urban growth management in order to improve the growth management situation in NCR Delhi. To know which factors are crucial for urban growth management? What are core competencies of the case studies and what can be transferred to NCR Delhi context?

To achieve the above objectives, the adopted research approach was a mix of qualitative and quantitative analysis, making use of Remote Sensing images (1977, 1999, and 2010), and census data (from 1961 to 2001). To analyse actual trend of urban growth in NCR Delhi, Klaassen-Van den Berg cyclical model of urban growth with a mix of socio-economic, population and spatial indicators was used. The results concluded that Delhi Metropolitan Area was in the phase of absolute decentralisation since 1981 and had sprawled by 2001. In fact, both market forces and government polices coupled with land use regulations lead to the current growth pattern, requiring strengthening of lacking building capacity (human resources, organisational and institutional).

For analysing the effectiveness of growth management policies for NCT Delhi and NCR Delhi, both quantitative (indicator based analysis) and qualitative (interviews and research articles) analysis was used to measure the performance of the policy objectives. The result indicated that policies are not faulted and were in alignment with the growth trend. The failure to meet their desired outcome was due to weak enforcement and implementation, coordination and cooperation between the states and authorities, missing public participation, use of old redundant data and weak political will, again highlighting the need for building capacity.

Qualitative analysis employing research articles was performed to learn lessons from international best practices of urban growth management. The analysis established that effective urban growth management is a function of regional composition, role of planning and implementing authority and agencies, combination of regulatory and fiscal based instruments, and public and political will to manage urban growth. In fact lacking or missing of any of the above factors impacts the effectiveness of urban growth management. The parallel drawn for NCR Delhi once again highlighted the need for capacity building in order to manage growth in rapidly growing Delhi region.

The final product of the research is a framework for planners consisting of standards for not only identifying the actual trend of growth, but also an approach to evaluate the policy performance, in order to upgrade the policy measure according to the growth trend. It also indicates the areas for building capacity for achieving effective urban growth management in National Capital Region Delhi.

## Zusammenfassung

Die Themen Stadtwachstum und *Urban Growth Management* beschäftigen sowohl Planer in Industrie-, als auch in Schwellen- und Entwicklungsländern. Während Ersteres einen Prozess der Konzentration von Menschen oder ökonomischen Aktivitäten darstellt, ist Letzteres ein proaktiver Ansatz, der es ermöglichen soll die Lebensqualität in stark wachsenden Städten zu erhalten und zu verbessern.

Stadtentwicklung wird im Modell von Klaassen-Van den Berg als ein zyklischer Prozess der Urbanisierung, Suburbanisierung, Counterurbanisierung und Reurbanisierung modelliert – und jede Stufe ist durch besondere Merkmale der demografischen, sozio-ökonomischen sowie räumlichen Entwicklung gekennzeichnet. Um mit den spezifischen Problemen jeder Stufe umzugehen und das städtische Wachstum effektiv zu steuern, benutzen Planer die Werkzeuge und Techniken des Urban Growth Management, das als ein dynamischer Prozess des Vorausplanens und Anpassen an Entwicklungserfordernisse definiert ist. Von zentraler Bedeutung für ein effizientes Urban Growth Management ist neben einer langfristigen Planung von sozialer und technischer Infrastruktur, Wohnraum und gewerblicher Entwicklung auch der Ausgleich von konkurrierenden lokalen Interessen und die Koordination auf regionaler Ebene.

Charakteristisch für die alten indischen Metropolen Mumbai, Kolkata, Chennai und Delhi ist ein Mangel an grundlegenden Infrastrukturen, regelmäßige Überlastung der Straßen aufgrund von Pendelverkehr und eine städtebauliche Expansion mit großem Flächenverbrauch. Aber auch aufstrebende Städte, wie zum Beispiel Bangalore, Hyderabad und Pune, zeigen ähnliche Wachstumstendenzen. Der zunehmende Bevölkerungsdruck im Zusammenhang mit fehlender Infrastruktur hat die indischen Metropolen sowohl für klimatische bedingte Katastrophen als auch andere Bedrohungen anfällig gemacht.

In dieser Arbeit wird die indische Hauptstadtregion Delhi (*National Capital Region*) vertieft untersucht. Bis zum Jahr 2030 wird die Agglomeration Delhi auf 33 Millionen Menschen anwachsen und dabei die zweitgrößte Agglomeration der Welt bleiben, die ungefähr der höchste Teil des indischen Bruttoinlandsprodukts generieren wird. Das dynamische Wirtschaftswachstum von Delhi hat jedoch bisher nicht zu einer generell positiven Stadtentwicklung geführt. Stattdessen kam es zur Bildung von Slums, Verkehrsbelastung, Zersiedelung und Umweltzerstörung. Um mit diesen Wachstumsproblemen umzugehen, haben sich die verantwortlichen Planer von Delhi von der Idee der Dezentralisierung von

Bevölkerung und Arbeitsplätzen des *Greater London Plans* (Abercrombie Plan) inspirieren lassen. Da es aber bisher misslang die Situation zu entschärfen, gibt es zunehmend Zweifel an der Wirksamkeit der angewandten Growth Management Strategy und es droht ein Kollaps des städtischen Systems.

Es existiert nicht viel Literatur über die Effektivität des Urban Growth Management der Delhi National Capital Region. Die Überprüfung der Pläne (*National Capital Region Plan 2001* und des *Delhi Master Plan 2001*) durch Wissenschaftlern und Regierungsstellen erfolgte lediglich im Rahmen der Fortschreibung, aber eine systematische und umfassende Evaluierung der Growth Management Strategie blieb bislang aus. Aber die Evaluierung alleine wäre auch nur die halbe Antwort auf die Probleme, da sie Planer lediglich darüber informiert, an welcher Stelle etwas schief gelaufen ist. Vielmehr wird ein übergreifender Ansatz benötigt, um rasantes Stadtwachstum managen zu können. Angesichts der oben genannten Problemstellung zielt diese Arbeit darauf ab, einen konzeptionellen Rahmen für ein effektives Urban Growth Management zu entwickeln. Die Untersuchung gliedert sich in drei Schritte, welche die drei wesentlichen Hauptziele bilden:

- Die Analyse und Charakterisierung der tatsächlichen Entwicklung des städtischen Wachstums in der Delhi National Capital Region. Welche Faktoren haben das Wachstum vorangetrieben? Wie funktionieren die öffentliche Planung und deren Umsetzung?
- Die Entwicklung und Erprobung eines Ansatz zur Evaluierung der räumlichen Bedingungen der Delhi National Capital Region .
- Die Untersuchung von internationalen Best-Practice des Urban Growth Management auch hinsichtlich einer Übertragbarkeit auf die Situation der Delhi National Capital Region. Welche Variablen sind für ein erfolgreiches Urban Growth Management entscheidend? Was kann davon auf Delhi übertragen werden?

Um diese drei Hauptziele zu erreichen, wurde eine Mischung aus qualitativen und quantitativen Analysen, unter Verwendung von Fernerkundungsdaten und Zensus-Daten eingesetzt. Um die tatsächliche Entwicklung des städtischen Wachstums in der Hauptstadtregion Delhi zu analysieren, wurde das Modell der zyklischen Urbanisierung von Klaassen-Van den Berg verwendet und mit einem Set aus räumlichen, sozio-ökonomischen und Bevölkerungsindikatoren unterlegt. Die Ergebnisse belegen, dass die Metropolregion von Delhi im Jahr 2001 in die Phase der absoluten Dezentralisierung und Zersiedelung eingetreten ist. Außerdem konnte gezeigt werden, dass sowohl Marktkräfte als auch politische

Entscheidungen, zusammen mit den Bodennutzungsvorschriften, zu dem derzeitigen Wachstumsmuster führten.

Für die Analyse der Effektivität der Growth Management Strategie für Delhi und die National Capital Region wurden quantitative und qualitative Methoden verwendet. Es zeigte sich, dass die Planungspolitik grundsätzlich dem Wachstumstrend angemessen ist, aber die anvisierten Ziele trotzdem verfehlt wurden. Zu den Umsetzungsproblemen gehören neben einer ungenügenden und veralteten Datenbasis die mangelnde Kooperation zwischen den Behörden, die fehlende Öffentlichkeitsbeteiligung, sowie ein zu schwach ausgeprägter politische Wille. Dies unterstreicht die Notwendigkeit des Ausbaus von *Capacity Building Maßnahmen*.

Die Analyse von internationalen Erfolgskonzepten des Urban Growth Management kam zu dem Ergebnis, dass folgende Variablen das Funktionieren von Growth Management Strategien entscheidend beeinflussen: die regionale Zusammensetzung, die Rolle der Planung und der Umsetzung von Behörden und Agenturen, die Kombination von regulatorischen und fiskalischen Instrumenten, der öffentliche und politische Wille. Jede Veränderung einer dieser Variablen ändert die Effektivität des Urban Growth Management.

Das Ergebnis des Forschungsprojekts ist ein Rahmenkonzept für Planer, das nicht nur Indikatoren für die Charakterisierung von aktuellen Wachstumstrends beinhaltet, sondern auch einen Ansatz zur Bewertung der Leistungsfähigkeit der Planungspolitik. Das Rahmenkonzept bietet also die Grundlagen für eine Analyse der Stadtentwicklung und eine Evaluation und Anpassung der Planungspolitik. Eine wichtige Erkenntnis der Arbeit ist auch, dass ein kontinuierliches *Capacity Building* notwendig ist, um ein effektives Urban Growth Management für die Hauptstadtregion Delhi zu erreichen.