

Lifetime Assessment and Robustness Validation for Automotive Electrical Traction Machines

Daniel Gerhard Huger

Vollständiger Abdruck der von der Fakultät für Elektro- und Informationstechnik der Universität der Bundeswehr München zur Erlangung des akademischen Grades eines

Doktor-Ingenieurs (Dr.-Ing.)

genehmigten Dissertation.

Gutachter:

1. Prof. Dr.-Ing. Dieter Gerling
2. Prof. Dr. techn. Norbert Seliger

Die Dissertation wurde am **05.12.2016** bei der Universität der Bundeswehr München eingereicht und durch die Fakultät für Elektro- und Informationstechnik am **29.03.2017** angenommen. Die mündliche Prüfung fand am **02.05.2017** statt.

Forschungsberichte Elektrische Antriebstechnik und Aktorik

Band 26

Daniel Huger

**Lifetime Assessment and Robustness Validation
for Automotive Electrical Traction Machines**

Shaker Verlag
Aachen 2017

Bibliographic information published by the Deutsche Nationalbibliothek

The Deutsche Nationalbibliothek lists this publication in the Deutsche Nationalbibliografie; detailed bibliographic data are available in the Internet at <http://dnb.d-nb.de>.

Zugl.: München, Univ. der Bundeswehr, Diss., 2017

Copyright Shaker Verlag 2017

All rights reserved. No part of this publication may be reproduced, stored in a retrieval system, or transmitted, in any form or by any means, electronic, mechanical, photocopying, recording or otherwise, without the prior permission of the publishers.

Printed in Germany.

ISBN 978-3-8440-5340-1

ISSN 1863-0707

Shaker Verlag GmbH • P.O. BOX 101818 • D-52018 Aachen

Phone: 0049/2407/9596-0 • Telefax: 0049/2407/9596-9

Internet: www.shaker.de • e-mail: info@shaker.de

Abstract

In the course of this work, lifetime prediction, testing and robustness validation specifically for electrical traction machines are covered. The concept of physical modeling – a combination of analytical multi-physics machine behavior models and lifetime models for machine components which allows lifetime prediction for a specific load and application – is investigated. For several machine components (bearings, magnets, winding, insulation, resin, lamination), lifetime models including physical interactions are set up and parametrized with accelerated lifetime tests.

In the first part basic lifetime and robustness assessment concepts are presented and common temperature-based lifetime models are discussed. A qualitative approach to failure analysis based on chains of effects and classification of possible machine failures is given and useful machine life in terms of key machine parameters is defined.

In the second part lifetime models for bearings, NdFeB magnets, wire insulation, resin and lamination are given. All relevant failure causes, amongst others temperature, temperature cycling, moisture, vibration, mechanical and impact load, voltage and chemical deterioration are investigated, failure mechanisms are discussed and their modes and effects are described. The electromagnetic and thermal machine behavior is modeled analytically and interactions and mutual reactions - also caused by aging - are included (for example relations between coil and magnet temperature, magnet aging and thermal derating). Results for an exemplary machine, application, load and environment are discussed.

The third part covers lifetime tests and model parametrization. An optimization method for temperature cycling tests is given which allows reducing the test duration. Methods for optimizing entire test procedures for lifetime testing and robustness validation are discussed in terms of time and cost savings. Temperature and temperature cycling tests are performed on various types of magnets, lamination (glued and welded), winding (distributed and concentrated), insulation and resin (various resins, casting and impregnation processes). The test results are used to parametrize lifetime models given in the second part.

Acknowledgments

The research covered in this thesis has been carried out between the years 2011 and 2016 at the *Chair of Electrical Drives and Actuators, University of Federal Defense Munich*, Germany, in cooperation with *Robust Design, AUDI AG*, Ingolstadt, Germany. I wish to use this opportunity to express my sincerest thanks and gratitude to all those who contributed to and supported this work at every opportunity.

First, I am deeply indebted to my supervisor and Head of Chair Prof. Dr.-Ing. Dieter Gerling, who rendered this work possible after all by giving financial support as well as countless valuable comments and encouragement. The perfect balance between technical guidance and great scientific latitude allowed for excellent working conditions.

I further wish to express my gratitude to the secondary supervisor Prof. Dr. techn. Norbert Seliger, *University of Applied Sciences Rosenheim*, Germany, for sharing his profound knowledge in lifetime assessment and failure mechanisms and furnishing the Second Opinion.

Besides, I wish to thank the Audi department I had the pleasure to work in, and all other Audi colleagues for their great financial support and shared expertise. Special thanks go to Sebastian Witt for countless encouragements, endless contributions and fruitful discussions. I am also much obliged to Jan Richnow and Dr. Tobias Menke for their generous support concerning lifetime testing.

In addition, I will always remember the remarkably pleasant working environment and great support at the Chair. My warmest thanks go to Johann Mayer, Hans-Joachim Koebler, Benno Lange and Harald Hofmann, just to name a few.

My special gratitude goes to my parents for their enormous support in all situations; you made this work possible.

Finally, I wish to express my deepest and warmest thanks to Janine for her unlimited understanding and encouragement; this thesis is dedicated to you.

Contents

Abstract	i
Acknowledgments	iii
I. Fundamentals and Scientific Objectives	1
1. Introduction and Motivation	1
1.1. On the Benefits of Lifetime Prediction	1
1.2. Objectives, Approaches and Structure	2
1.3. Reliability versus Robustness	4
1.3.1. Terminology and Definitions	4
1.3.2. Reliability in the Automotive Industry Standards	6
1.4. Physical Lifetime Modeling	8
1.4.1. Basic Concept	8
1.4.2. Comparison to conventional robustness validation methods	11
2. Temperature-based Lifetime Testing and Modeling	13
2.1. High-Temperature Model and Endurance Test	14
2.2. Temperature Cycling Model and Endurance Test	15
2.3. Linear Damage Accumulation	17
3. Qualitative Approaches to Failure Analysis	19
3.1. Chain of Effects	20
3.1.1. Vehicle Level	20
3.1.2. Drivetrain Level	21
3.1.3. Machine Level	22
3.1.4. Rotor Level	23
3.1.5. Mutual Effects and Interactions Matrix	25
3.2. Classification of Machine Failures	27
3.3. Useful Life in terms of Machine Parameters (Key Parameters)	27

II. Physical Lifetime Modeling of Electrical Machines	31
4. Lifetime Models for Machine Components	31
4.1. Bearings	31
4.1.1. Initial lifetime equation	33
4.1.2. Axial and radial deflection and tilting	34
4.1.3. Nominal lifetime equation	36
4.1.4. Improved Lifetime Equation	37
4.1.5. Variable Operating Conditions and Time Dependence	40
4.1.6. Bearing Currents	41
4.2. NdFeB Magnets	42
4.2.1. Overview	42
4.2.2. Physical Model	43
4.2.3. Temperature Dependence	48
4.2.4. Failure Mechanisms and Suitable Degradation Models	50
4.2.5. Failure Modes and Combined Degradation Model	62
4.2.6. Aging and Failure Effects	67
4.3. Wire Insulation and Resin	69
4.3.1. Overview	69
4.3.2. Failure Mechanisms and Suitable Lifetime Models	69
4.3.3. Failure Mode and Combined Lifetime Model	73
5. Physical Modeling of Electrical Traction Machines	75
5.1. Electromagnetic Modeling	76
5.1.1. Voltage and Torque Equations	77
5.1.2. Maximum Torque per Ampere Algorithm (MTPA)	78
5.1.3. Field Weakening Algorithm (FWA)	78
5.1.4. Control Mode Selection	78
5.1.5. Loss Calculation	79
5.1.6. Controller Block Diagram	81
5.1.7. Electromagnetic Machine Model	81
5.2. Thermal Modeling	82
5.2.1. Network Definition	83
5.2.2. System of Equations and its Solution	85
5.2.3. Modeling Time Dependence in the System Matrix	88
6. Exemplary Simulation Results	91
6.1. Machine Simulation Results for a CAD/C	91
6.1.1. Dependence on Ambient Temperature	93
6.1.2. Dependence on Magnet Aging	95

6.2. Lifetime Simulation Results for a CADC	97
III. Accelerated Lifetime Tests and Model Parametrization	99
7. Temperature Cycle Test Optimization	99
7.1. General Approach	99
7.2. Single-Capacity Model	102
7.2.1. General Solution	102
7.2.2. Exponential Approach for Ambient Temperature	103
7.2.3. Discrete Ambient Temperature	104
7.3. Dual-Capacity Model	106
7.3.1. General Solution	107
7.3.2. Exponential Approach for Ambient Temperature	107
7.3.3. Example	109
8. Lifetime and Robustness Test Procedure Optimization	113
8.1. Multiple Aging Mechanisms	113
8.2. Operating and Passive Endurance Tests	114
8.3. Minimizing the Overall Test Duration	114
8.4. Minimizing the Overall Expense	115
8.5. Test Strategy for Lifetime Assessment	117
8.6. Test Strategy for Robustness Validation	118
9. Lifetime Testing and Model Parametrization	121
9.1. NdFeB Magnets	121
9.1.1. Test Specimen	122
9.1.2. Measurement method	123
9.1.3. High-Temperature Storage	127
9.1.4. Temperature Cycling	133
9.1.5. Magnets Buried in Lamination Stack Pockets	141
9.2. Lamination Stack	144
9.2.1. Test Samples	144
9.2.2. Measurement Method	144
9.2.3. Test Parameters	145
9.2.4. Aging in terms of Electromagnetic Properties	145
9.3. Winding, Wire Insulation and Resin	148
9.3.1. High-Temperature Storage	149
9.3.2. Temperature Cycling	161

Conclusion and Outlook	165
Appendices	167
A. Thermal Machine Modeling	167
A.1. Equations for thermal modeling	167
A.2. Components of the system matrix	169
A.3. Modeling Time Dependence in the System Matrix (Solution)	171
B. Data Sheets for Investigated Machines	173
B.1. 12-slot 10-pole brushless DC machine	173
B.2. Toyota Prius 2004 version	174
List of Symbols	175
List of Symbols	182
List of Acronyms	183
List of Figures	187
List of Tables	190
Bibliography	197