

Nonlinear Deadbeat-Direct Torque and Flux Control for Highly Saturated Synchronous Reluctance Machines in Automotive Traction Applications

Michael Saur

Vollständiger Abdruck der von der Fakultät für Elektro- und Informationstechnik der
Universität der Bundeswehr München zur Erlangung des akademischen Grades eines

Doktor-Ingenieurs (Dr.-Ing.)

genehmigte Dissertation.

Prüfungsvorsitzender:

Prof. Dr.-Ing. Stefan Lindenmeier

Gutachter:

1. Prof. Dr.-Ing. Dieter Gerling

2. Prof. Robert D. Lorenz, Ph.D.

Die Dissertation wurde am 05.10.2016 bei der Universität der Bundeswehr München
eingereicht und durch die Fakultät für Elektro- und Informationstechnik am
22.11.2016 angenommen. Die mündliche Prüfung fand am 09.12.2016 statt.

Forschungsberichte Elektrische Antriebstechnik und Aktorik

Band 24

Michael Saur

**Nonlinear Deadbeat-Direct Torque and Flux Control
for Highly Saturated Synchronous Reluctance
Machines in Automotive Traction Applications**

Shaker Verlag
Aachen 2017

Bibliographic information published by the Deutsche Nationalbibliothek

The Deutsche Nationalbibliothek lists this publication in the Deutsche Nationalbibliografie; detailed bibliographic data are available in the Internet at <http://dnb.d-nb.de>.

Zugl.: München, Univ. der Bundeswehr, Diss., 2016

Copyright Shaker Verlag 2017

All rights reserved. No part of this publication may be reproduced, stored in a retrieval system, or transmitted, in any form or by any means, electronic, mechanical, photocopying, recording or otherwise, without the prior permission of the publishers.

Printed in Germany.

ISBN 978-3-8440-4990-9

ISSN 1863-0707

Shaker Verlag GmbH • P.O. BOX 101818 • D-52018 Aachen

Phone: 0049/2407/9596-0 • Telefax: 0049/2407/9596-9

Internet: www.shaker.de • e-mail: info@shaker.de

In Gedenken an Leo.

Abstract

This research extends prior research by presenting nonlinear deadbeat-direct torque and flux control (DB-DTFC) for highly saturated synchronous reluctance machines. Main objective is the improvement of the controls performance for automotive traction applications.

Flux linkage including harmonics is observed with a Gopinath observer and precisely closed-loop controlled with high bandwidth by applying DB-DTFC. Nonlinear DB-DTFC is developed by incorporating saturation and cross-saturation directly into the differential torque equation. Flux linkage-based loss models for machine and inverter are evaluated experimentally. Loss model-based flux magnitude is calculated in real-time and overall drive losses of SynRMs are minimized each single switching period. The excellent flux weakening capabilities of SynRMs combined with the direct control of flux applying DB-DTFC lead to a measured efficiency improvement of 1.4% under the highway driving cycle HWFET.

Increased disturbance rejection, as compared to current vector control (CVC), is achieved via simulation and experiment. Minimization of harmonics in current and a reduction of total loss are measured on a 5.5kW synchronous reluctance machine (SynRM) testbench. Moreover, real-time flux observer-based torque ripple estimation is presented. When flux estimation is accurate, instantaneous torque is fed back and unwanted pulsating torque is inherently minimized. FEM-simulations validate the torque ripple minimization.

Kurzfassung

Die direkte Regelung von Drehmoment und magnetischem Fluss zusammen mit einer Deadbeat-Regelung (DB-DTFC - Deadbeat-Direct Torque and Flux Control) hat sich in den letzten Jahren für Asynchron- und permanenterregte Synchronmaschinen als anerkannte Alternative zur weitverbreiteten Stromvektorreglung durchgesetzt. Viele Vorteile wie beispielsweise das verlustoptimale Regeln des magnetischen Flusses und die optimale Regelung an der Umrichterspannungsgrenze im Feldschwächbereich machen DB-DTFC prädestiniert für automobile Traktionsanwendungen. In dieser Arbeit wird der Stand der Technik von DB-DTFC um hoch nichtlineare, anisotrope Synchronmaschinen erweitert. Besonderes Augenmerk liegt hierbei auf hochausgenutzten Synchronreluktanzmaschinen für automobile Traktionsanwendungen.

Zunächst wird ein optimiertes Verfahren vorgestellt, welches mit Hilfe eines Zustandsstromreglers ermöglicht, die durch Sättigung- und Kreuzsättigungseffekte beeinträchtigten nichtlinearen Induktivitäten messtechnisch mit reduziertem Zeitaufwand zu ermitteln. Danach werden die Möglichkeiten zur Verlustminimierung von Maschine und Umrichter untersucht. Im letzten Teil werden Oberschwingungen in Strom und Fluss und deren Einfluss auf Drehmomentwelligkeit und Effizienz untersucht. Hierbei werden Stromvektorregelung und DB-DTFC hinsichtlich optimalem Störverhalten direkt miteinander verglichen und bewertet.

Acknowledgments

First, I would like to thank Prof. Dr.-Ing. Dieter Gerling for offering me such a great research opportunity. He enabled this incredibly interesting research project and took over the supervision on part of the Universität der Bundeswehr. I also thank my advisor in Madison, Prof. Robert D. Lorenz, Ph.D., for supporting me with his excellent advice, and helping me even at weekends and Thanksgiving!

Moreover, I would like to thank Dr.-Ing. Harald Hofmann for helping me with so many minor and also major issues in the lab.

I also need to thank Frank Hentschel for supporting me at the testbench. Furthermore I would like to thank Irene Fernandez, Francisco Ramos, Aday Perez, Axel Block, Paolo Recafina, Cosmas Ogbuka, Nicole Gefrides, Jelena Zdravkovic and Daniel Gaona for the great teamwork on this research.

I also thank Bastian Lehner and Benjamin Grothmann and all EAA-colleagues for the fruitful discussions and great time at the institute.

Am meisten möchte ich meinen Eltern Dorothea und Hermann danken, die mich nicht nur während dieser Arbeit, sondern mein ganzes Leben immer unterstützt haben.

Table of Contents

<i>Abstract</i>	<i>ii</i>
<i>Kurzfassung</i>	<i>iv</i>
<i>Acknowledgments</i>	<i>vi</i>
<i>Table of Contents</i>	<i>viii</i>
<i>Nomenclature</i>	<i>xiv</i>
<i>Introduction</i>	<i>1</i>
Motivation and Background.....	<i>1</i>
Research Contributions	<i>5</i>
Summary of Chapters.....	<i>6</i>
<i>Chapter 1 Review of the State-of-the-Art</i>	<i>7</i>
1.1 Saturation and Cross-Saturation Effects in Synchronous Reluctance Machines.....	<i>7</i>
1.1.1 Introduction	<i>7</i>
1.1.2 Analytical Calculation of Cross-Saturation considering Magnetic Co-Energy	<i>10</i>
1.1.3 Experimental Identification of Flux Linkage and Inductances Including Saturation and Cross-Saturation	<i>12</i>
1.1.4 Overview and Discussion of Experimental Identification Methods	<i>18</i>
1.2 State Variable Current Regulators for Salient Pole Machines.....	<i>20</i>
1.2.1 Introduction	<i>20</i>
1.2.2 Classic PI-Current Regulator Applying the Virtual Translation Technique	<i>21</i>
1.2.3 Active State-Feedback Resistance	<i>22</i>
1.2.4 Options for Decoupling the Speed Dependent Cross-Coupling.....	<i>25</i>
1.2.5 Extension to Salient Pole Machines	<i>28</i>
1.2.6 Comparison of Classical-, State-Feedback- and Complex Vector-Current Regulator for IPMs.....	<i>31</i>
1.3 Existing Deadbeat-Direct Torque and Flux Control (DB-DTFC) for IPMs.....	<i>32</i>
1.3.1 Introduction	<i>32</i>
1.3.2 Characteristics and Opportunities of DB-DTFC	<i>33</i>
1.3.3 Mathematical Derivation and Graphical Representation of DB-DTFC for IPMs	<i>35</i>
1.3.4 Enhanced Gopinath Style Flux Observer.....	<i>38</i>
1.3.5 Discrete Time Implementation of DB-DTFC for IPMs Including Compensation of the Computational Time Delay.....	<i>40</i>

1.3.6 Existing Research on DB-DTFC IPMs Considering Iron Saturation	43
<i>Chapter 2 Experimental Parameter Identification and CVC Implementation.....</i> 49	
2.1 Introduction.....	49
2.2 Test Bench Configuration.....	49
2.2.1 Machine Under Test	49
2.2.2 Test Bench Setup Including Controller and Power Measurement Devices	52
2.3 Implementation and Evaluation of Classical, State-Feedback Decoupling and Complex Vector CVC for SynRM.....	53
2.3.1 Effect of Active Resistance State-Feedback on SynRM.....	54
2.3.2 Comparison of Classical, State-Feedback Decoupling and Complex Vector CVC for SynRM.....	55
2.3.3 Direct Comparison of Experiment and Simulation of Complex Vector CVC at Maximum Speed	58
2.4 Parameter Identification Applying the Automated Constant Speed Method.....	59
2.4.1 Introduction	59
2.4.2 Automated Current Sequence.....	59
2.4.3 Experimentally Identified Flux Linkages and Apparent Inductances	61
2.4.4 Validation of the Experimentally Identified Parameters.....	64
2.4.5 Determination of the Differential Inductances	65
2.5 Conclusions.....	66
<i>Chapter 3 Implementation of Nonlinear DB-DTFC for Highly Saturated SynRM.....</i> 67	
3.1 Mathematical Derivation of DB-DTFC for SynRM Neglecting Iron Saturation and Cross-Saturation	67
3.2 Implementation of the Enhanced Luenberger Style Position Observer.....	70
3.3 Implementation of the Luenberger Style Current Observer for SynRM.....	71
3.4 Implementation and Evaluation of the Enhanced Nonlinear Gopinath Style Flux Observer for SynRM	74
3.4.1 Introduction	74
3.4.2 Gopinath Flux Observer Structure and Tuning	75
3.4.3 Modelling Saturation and Cross-Saturation in the Current Model of the Gopinath Flux Observer	76
3.4.4 Experimental Evaluation of the Nonlinear Gopinath Flux Observer for SynRM	78

3.5	Overview of DB-DTFC for SynRM ^s Including Current and Gopinath Style Flux Observer	80
3.6	Experimental Evaluation of DB-DTFC Step Response Applying Parameter Detuning	81
3.7	Finite Settling Step Control Applying Transient Overmodulation for SynRM ^s	84
3.7.1	Computation of the Maximum Voltage Command.....	84
3.7.2	Experimental Evaluation of the Full Inverter Voltage Utilization.....	87
3.8	Mathematical Derivation of Nonlinear DB-DTFC Incorporating Saturation and Cross-Saturation into the Differential Torque Equation	89
3.8.1	Introduction	89
3.8.2	Nonlinear Torque Model Including Saturation and Cross-Saturation	91
3.8.3	Nonlinear Differential Torque Equation for DB-DTFC	93
3.9	Experimental Comparison of Linear and Nonlinear DB-DTFC.....	95
3.10	Conclusions.....	99

Chapter 4 Model-Based Loss Minimizing Control for SynRM^s .. 101

4.1	Introduction.....	101
4.2	Experimental Loss Model Evaluation	103
4.2.1	Flux Linkage-Based Copper Loss Model Considering Temperature.....	103
4.2.2	Evaluation of Iron Loss Models	103
4.2.3	Evaluation of Flux Linkage-Based Inverter Loss Model	107
4.2.4	Experimental Determination of the Loss Optimal Flux	108
4.2.5	Experimental Evaluation of the Model-Based Determination of the MTPA, MTPL and MTPLD Flux	110
4.3	Real-Time Computation of the Loss Model-Based Optimal Flux Linkage	112
4.3.1	Analytical Loss Optimal Flux Determination with Minimum Computation Time.....	113
4.3.2	Enhanced Golden Section Method Applying MTPA and MTPV as Searching Interval Boundaries	117
4.4	Evaluation via Simulation of Dynamic Flux Linkage-Trajectories For MTPV, MTPA and MTPL.....	118
4.4.1	Comparison of Flux Linkage-Trajectories for MTPV, MTPA and MTPL	118
4.4.2	Simulation of Torque Sequence at Different Speeds Applying MTPL	120
4.5	Experimental Evaluation of Model-Based Real-Time Computation of Loss Minimizing Flux Linkage Considering Inverter Limits.....	123
4.6	Experimental Evaluation and Comparison of Control Strategies of Loss Minimizing Flux Linkage over the Entire Torque-Speed Range	127

4.6.1	Loss Distribution of Machine and Inverter Applying MTPL	127
4.6.2	Comparison of MTPA and MTPL Over Entire Torque Speed Range.....	129
4.6.3	Driving Cycle-Based Loss and Efficiency Evaluation.....	130
4.7	Conclusions.....	130
<i>Chapter 5 Minimizing Flux and Current Harmonics by Increased Disturbance Rejection Due to Higher Bandwidth.....</i>		133
5.1	Introduction.....	133
5.2	Disturbance Rejection Evaluation of CVC and DB-DTFC for SynRM.....	134
5.3	Evaluation of Loss Minimizing Opportunities by Increased Disturbance Rejection.....	136
5.3.1	Improvement of Current Distortion and Efficiency by Increased Disturbance Rejection due to Higher Bandwidth.....	136
5.3.2	Detailed Loss and Waveform Evaluation.....	139
5.4	Real-Time Torque Ripple Estimation and Minimization	142
5.4.1	Instantaneous Torque Estimation Considering Position Dependent Change of Magnetic Co-Energy.....	142
5.4.2	Validation of Real-Time Estimated Torque Ripple with FEM	143
5.4.3	Experimental Evaluation of Torque Ripple Minimization due to Increased Disturbance Rejection	144
5.4.4	Minimizing Torque Ripple by Closing the Loop with Estimated Instantaneous Torque	146
5.5	Conclusions.....	148
<i>Chapter 6 Conclusions, Contributions, and Recommended Future Work.....</i>		149
6.1	Key Conclusions of this Work.....	149
6.1.1	Nonlinear DB-DTFC applied to Highly Saturated SynRM.....	149
6.1.2	DB-DTFC Offers Excellent Loss Minimizing Capabilities for SynRM.....	151
6.1.3	Harmonics in Flux and Current can be reduced by Applying DB-DTFC	152
6.1.4	Pulsating Torque can be Estimated Flux Observer-Based and Minimized Applying DB-DTFC	153
6.2	Key Contributions of this Research.....	154
6.2.1	Development of a Pulsating Torque Minimizing Methodology	154

6.2.2	Development of a Methodology to Minimize Losses caused by Current and Flux Harmonics Based on Increased Disturbance Rejection.....	155
6.2.3	Development and Evaluation of Model-Based LMC DB-DTFC for SynRMs Including Inverter Losses	156
6.2.4	Development and Implementation of Nonlinear DB-DTFC for SynRMs.....	158
6.3	Recommended Future Work.....	159
<i>References</i>		161

Nomenclature

Symbol	Description
θ, Θ	angular position
ω, Ω	angular velocity
ϕ	angular phase
θ_e, Θ_e	kinematic position error
ω_{re}	rotor electrical velocity
ω_{rm}	rotor mechanical velocity
T_s	sample period
T, T_{em}	electro-mechanical torque
T_d	disturbance torque
P_m	motor power
P_L	load power
\underline{u}_{dq}	stator voltage complex space vector ($u_d + ju_q$)
\underline{i}_{dq}	stator current complex space vector ($i_d + ji_q$)
p	machine pole pair number
J_m, J_L	motor, load inertia
R_s	stator resistance
L_d, L_q	d- and q-axis stator apparent inductance
l_d, l_q	d- and q-axis stator differential inductance
M_{dq}, m_{dq}	mutual apparent/differential inductance
ψ_{pm}	permanent magnet flux linkage
$Im\{ \}$	imaginary part of complex quantity
$Re\{ \}$	real part of complex quantity

Superscripts

$()^*$	time derivative operator $d/dt ()$
$()^s$	stationary reference frame
$()^r$	rotor reference frame
$()^e$	excitation/synchronous reference frame

Subscripts

$()_s$	stator
$()_r$	rotor
$()_{dq}$	complex vector of the form $()_d + j()_q$

Abbreviations

EV	eigenvalue
FEA, FEM	finite element analysis/method
SynRM	synchronous reluctance machine
IPMSM	interior permanent magnet synchronous machine
IM	induction machine
FOC	field oriented control
DTC	direct torque control
DB-DTFC	deadbeat direct torque and flux control
FSS	finite settling step
CVC	current vector control
MTPA	maximum torque per ampere
MTPV	maximum torque per voltage
MTPL	maximum torque per losses
MTPL _D	maximum torque per losses of the drive (machine+inverter)
VSI	voltage source inverter
LUT	look-up table
HFI	high frequency injection
BEV	battery electric vehicle
MUT	machine under test
OSE	ordinary Steinmetz equation
DID	disturbance input decoupling
SVM	space vector modulation